



Bio-intensive integrated management strategy for mustard aphid *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt. (Homoptera: Aphididae)

Sunita Yadav* and S. P. Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125 001 (Haryana), INDIA

*Corresponding author. E-mail: sunitayadav10@rediffmail.com

Received: December 20, 2014; Revised received: March 13, 2015; Accepted: March 31, 2015

Abstract: Among the various treatments evaluated for their bio-efficacy against mustard aphid on Indian mustard during 2011-12 and 2012-13 at CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, the spray of Dimethoate 30 EC @ 1 ml/l followed by *Verticillium lecanii* @ 10^8 CS/ml was proved to be the best treatment with pooled mean aphid population of 4.5, 3.25 and 1.65 aphids/plant as against 22.0, 24.0 and 26.0 aphids/plant in the control after 3, 7 and 10 days of treatment, respectively. The pooled mean seed yield was also maximum (1485.0 kg/ha) in this treatment as compared to control (1305.0 kg/ha). The treatment was found on par with spray of dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *Coccinella septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha with pooled mean aphid population of 5.0, 4.0 and 2.0 aphids/plant after 3, 7 and 10 days of treatment, respectively and pooled mean seed yield of (1470.0 kg/ha). But the cost benefit ratio was maximum (7.25) in treatment dimethoate followed by *C. septempunctata* and NSKE @ 5% followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha (6.68). Thus, entomopathogenic fungi like *V. lecanii* or NSKE along with release of *C. septempunctata* can be used as alternative measure to manage mustard aphid instead of solely relying on insecticides.

Keywords: *Brassica*, *Coccinella septempunctata*, Dimethoate, NSKE, *Verticillium lecanii*

INTRODUCTION

Rapeseed-mustard (*Brassica* spp.) are the major Rabi oilseed crops, grown over an area of 6.34 million hectare with a production of 7.82 million tones and productivity of 1234 kg/ha in 2012-13 in India (Thomas *et al.*, 2014). More than 43 species of insect pests infest rapeseed-mustard crop in India, out of which about a dozen of species are considered as major pest (Singh, 2009). Among them aphid species i.e. *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt., *Brevicornae Brassicae* L. and *Myzus persicae* Sulzer are the key pest (Desh Raj 1996; Sarangdevot *et al.*, 2006) resulting into qualitative and quantitative yield losses. Among aphids, mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) is predominant and is a key pest of rapeseed and mustard causing up to 96 per cent yield losses and 5-6 % reduction in oil content (Shylesha *et al.*, 2006). Such losses may go upto 100% in certain mustard growing regions (Aamir and Khalid, 1961; Singh and Sachan, 1999). Both nymph and adult stages of this pest caused economic damage by sucking the cell sap from leaves, petioles, tender stems, inflorescence and pods (Srivastava, 2002). Due to continuous desapting by large aphid population yellowing, curling and subsequent drying of leaves take place, which ultimately leads to formation of weak pods and undersized seeds in the pods. The aphids also secrete the honeydew which provides suitable medium for the development of sooty mould which ultimately hampers the process of photosynthesis

(Bakhetia and Sekhon, 1989).

A number of chemical insecticides have been found effective against this pest in different parts of the country (Singh *et al.* 2009; Mandal *et al.*, 2012; Singh *et al.*, 2014). But chemical insecticides are not only toxic to natural enemies of aphid such as *Diaeletiella rapae*, *Chrysoperla zastrowi arabica*, coccinellids and syrphid flies (Nagar *et al.*, 2012), but these are also responsible for environmental pollution, health hazards to human beings, toxic to pollinators, pest resurgence, development of resistance in insect-pests and residues in oil and cake (Singh, 2001). Therefore, it is necessary to find eco friendly methods for managing mustard aphid to protect the natural enemies and pollinators as well as human health. Keeping the above facts in mind the present investigation was undertaken to evaluate the bio-intensive integrated management strategy for effective control of *L. erysimi*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Research Area of Oilseeds Section, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during Rabi seasons of the year 2011-12 and 2012-13. Experiment was conducted in a completely randomized block design with ten treatments including control and replicated thrice with plot size of 4.2×3m on mustard cv. RH 30. The crop was sown during first fortnight of November with row to row and plant to plant as 30cm and 10cm respectively and all the standard

agronomic practices were followed to raise the good crop. Ten treatments including control were T₁: *Verticillium lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by *Coccinella septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha, T₂: *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by NSKE @ 5%, T₃: *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l, T₄: NSKE @ 5% followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha, T₅: NSKE @ 5% followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m, T₆: Neem oil @ 2% followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha, T₇: Neem oil @ 2% followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m, T₈: Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha, T₉: Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m and T₁₀: Control with no spray. The population of aphids was counted from ten randomly selected plants from each plot one day before and 3, 7, and 10 days after spray of insecticides. The aphids were counted from the top 10 cm apical twigs of these selected plants with the help of a magnifying glass by tally counter. The numbers of aphids / plant were converted into % reduction of aphid population over the control. Yield was recorded from net plot area and converted in to kilogram per ha and data were statistically analyzed in appropriate programme in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) as outlined by Gomez and Gomez (1976). The incremental cost benefit ratio was calculated by prevailing market price of mustard seed, cost of insecticides and labour used with the following formula.

Cost benefit ratio = Additional profit over the control – Cost of treatment

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pooled mean aphid population in pre treatment observations was homogenous throughout the experimental field and ranged from 17.75 to 18.95 aphids/10 cm main apical shoot (Table 1). Overall mean of the data indicated a significant difference among the treatments and control. Data recorded on 3rd day after application revealed that aphid population was decreased in every treatment except untreated plot. Treatment T₉ (Dimethoate 30 EC @ 1 ml/l followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml) proved most effective with minimum number of aphids (4.50 aphids/plant) and it was on par with T₈ (Dimethoate 30 EC @ 1 ml/l followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha). Both the treatments were significantly ($p=0.05$) superior over rest of the treatments. Treatment T₃ (*V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l) was found to be the next effective treatment (7.50 aphids/plant) and differ significantly from rest of the treatments. In all other treatments, i.e. *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha, *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by NSKE @ 5%, NSKE @ 5% followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha, NSKE @ 5% followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m, Neem oil @ 2% followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha and Neem oil @ 2% followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m aphid population ranged from 10.50 to 12.00 and were on par with each

other. Maximum aphids (22.00 aphids) were recorded in control plot. Kumar and Singh (2009) reported that use of *V. lecanii* alone provided good aphid control and also in combination with *C. carnea* and oxy-demeton methyl. These studies support the present investigation. Data recorded on seventh day after spray also showed decreased pattern of aphids in all the treatments except control plot. The minimum aphid population (3.25 aphids) was again observed in Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m treatment and it was on par with Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha treatment (4.00 aphids) and *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l treatment (5.0 aphids). In all other treatments (T₁, T₂, T₄, T₅, T₆ and T₇) aphid population ranged from 7.50 to 10.00 and were on par with each other. Maximum aphids (24.00 aphids) were recorded in control plot.

Again the aphid population after 10 days of spray was minimum (1.65) in Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m treatment and it was on par with Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha treatment (2.00 aphids), *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l treatment (3.0 aphids) and *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by NSKE @ 5% treatment (5.00 aphid). In all other treatments aphid population ranged from 7.00 to 8.50 and significantly better over control (26 aphids).

The per cent reduction of aphid population after 10 days of spray was maximum under Treatment T₉: Dimethoate 30 EC @ 1 ml/l followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m (90.96 %) followed by T₈: Dimethoate 30 EC @ 1 ml/l followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha (89.19%) and T₃: NSKE @ 5% followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha (83.70%). Minimum reduction in aphid population was recorded in treatment T₅: Neem oil @ 2% followed by *Chrysoperla carnea* @ 50,000 larvae/ha followed by T₄: NSKE @ 5% followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m and T₇: Neem oil @ 2% followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m. Singh *et al.*, (2009) found that *C. septempunctata* @ two adults/plant were effective in reducing 96.19% of the aphid population in 10 days followed by *C. septempunctata* @ two larvae per plant (93.42%) and *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ spores/ml (84.90%).

The maximum crop yield (1485 kg/ha) was recorded in treatment T₉ (Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m) and it was found to be on par with treatment T₈ (Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha) (1470 kg/ha), whereas minimum yield (1305 kg/ha) was recorded in control. These results coincide with the findings of Singh and Singh (2009) who observed a significantly higher yield of mustard seed under dimethoate 30 EC @ 300 g a.i./ha.. Sinha *et al.* (2001) also reported dimethoate was moderately toxic to mustard aphid in field condition and increase the yield of mustard. Singh *et al.* (2008) evaluated *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ spores/ml of water

Table 1. Pooled mean efficacy of different treatments against mustard aphid, *L. erysimi*.

Treatments	Number of aphids/ 10 cm apical shoot/plant						Yield (kg/ ha)	Increase in yield (%)
	Before spray	3 DAS	% reduction	7 DAS	% reduction	10 DAS		
T ₁ <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml followed by <i>C. septempunctata</i> (@ 5,000 beetles/ha	18.25	11.50	36.99	8.50	53.42	7.00	61.64	1420.00
T ₂ <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml followed by NSKE (@ 5%	17.75	10.50	40.85	7.50	57.75	5.00	71.83	1430.00
T ₃ <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml followed by Dimethoate (@ 1 ml/l	18.40	7.50	59.24	5.00	72.83	3.00	83.70	1440.00
T ₄ NSKE (@ 5% followed by <i>C. septempunctata</i> (@ 5,000 beetles/ha	18.95	11.00	41.95	10.00	47.23	8.50	55.15	1430.00
T ₅ NSKE (@ 5% followed by <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/m	18.50	12.00	35.14	10.00	45.95	8.50	54.05	1440.00
T ₆ Neem oil (@ 2% followed by <i>C. septempunctata</i> (@ 5,000 beetles/ha	18.50	12.00	35.14	9.00	51.35	7.00	62.16	1420.00
T ₇ Neem oil (@ 2% followed by <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/m	18.50	11.00	40.54	8.50	54.05	7.50	59.46	1435.00
T ₈ Dimethoate (@ 1 ml/l followed by <i>C. septempunctata</i> (@ 5,000 beetles/ha	18.50	5.00	72.97	4.00	78.38	2.00	89.19	1470.00
T ₉ Dimethoate (@ 1 ml/l followed by <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/m	18.25	4.50	75.34	3.25	82.19	1.65	90.96	1485.00
T ₁₀ Control	18.50	22.00		24.00			26.00	1305.00
CD(p=0.05)		2.05		3.00			3.30	15.80

NS=Non-significant; DAS= Days after spray

Table 2. Economics analysis of different treatments against mustard aphid, *L. erysimi*.

Treatments	Cost of insecticides (Rs./ ha)	Labour charge Rs./ ha)	Total Expenditure on insecticide (Rs./ ha)	Mean yield (kg/ha)	Gross income* (Rs./ ha)	Net return over control (Rs./ ha)	Cost benefit ratio
T₁ <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml followed by <i>C. septempunctata</i> @ 5,000 beetles/ha	1280.00	321.00	1601.00	1420.00	42600.00	34500.00	2.15
T₂ <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml followed by NSKE @ 5%	1520.00	321.00	1841.00	1430.00	42900.00	37500.00	2.04
T₃ <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml followed by Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l	1642.00	321.00	1963.00	1440.00	43200.00	4050.00	2.06
T₄ NSKE @ 5% followed by <i>C. septempunctata</i> @ 5,000 beetles/ha	240.00	321.00	561.00	1430.00	42900.00	3750.00	6.68
T₅ NSKE @ 5% followed by <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/m	1520.00	321.00	1841.00	1440.00	43200.00	4050.00	2.20
T₆ Neem oil @ 2% followed by <i>C. septempunctata</i> @ 5,000 beetles/ha	1250.00	321.00	1571.00	1420.00	42600.00	3450.00	2.20
T₇ Neem oil @ 2% followed by <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/m	2530.00	321.00	2851.00	1435.00	43050.00	3900.00	1.37
T₈ Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by <i>C. septempunctata</i> @ 5,000 beetles/ha	362.00	321.00	683.00	1470.00	44100.00	4950.00	7.25
T₉ Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by <i>V. lecanii</i> @ 10 ⁸ CS/m	1642.00	321.00	1963.00	1485.00	44550.00	5400.00	2.75
T₁₀ Control				1305.00	39150.00		

*Mustard rate@Rs.3000/q

against mustard aphid in the field and found some promising results provided sufficient relative humidity in the atmosphere. Singh and Meghwal (2010) reported that maximum yield was recorded in *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml and *C. septempunctata* @ 3,000 beetles/ha. The highest BCR (7.25) was obtained from Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha treated plots followed by NSKE @ 5% followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha (6.68), Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *Verticillium lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m (2.75), NSKE @ 5% followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m (2.20) and neem oil @ 2% followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha (2.20). The lowest BCR was obtained from Neem oil @ 2% followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/m treated plots (1.37), *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by NSKE @ 5% (2.04) and *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml followed by Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l (2.06) (Table 2). Akhauri and Singh (2009) studied the bio-efficacy of some insecticides and bio-products against mustard aphid, *L. erysimi* (Kalt.) in yellow sarson and found that highest return (1:24.6) were obtained in NSKE @ 5% followed by dimethoate 30EC (22.7), imidacloprid 17.8% SL (19.4), betacyhalothrin (18.1), neem oil (15.9), endosulfan (14.4) and diflubenzuron (9.0). Singh and Singh (2009) observed a favourable cost-benefit ratio under the treatments i.e. dimethoate 30 EC @ 300 g a.i./ha against *L. erysimi*. These results are in corroboration with the present study. Meena *et al.* (2013) evaluated microbial agents and bio-products for the management of *L. erysimi* and found the most favourable cost-benefit ratio under the treatment i.e. dimethoate 30 EC @ 300 g a.i./ha (1:38) followed by neem seed kernel extract @ 5% (1:18).

Conclusion

From the above discussion it may be concluded that among the tested treatments, Dimethoate @ 1 ml/l followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha and NSKE @ 5% followed by *C. septempunctata* @ 5,000 beetles/ha may be recommended for most economic and effective management of mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* on rapeseed mustard crop.

REFERENCES

- Aamir, K. and Khalid, M. (1961). *Insect pests attack on rapeseed*. Jalal Publisher, Lahore, 200 pp.
- Akhauri, R.K. and Singh, N.K. (2009). Bio-efficacy of some insecticides and bio-products against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) in yellow sarson. *Journal of Oilseed Research*, 26: 456-457.
- Bakharia, D.R.C. and Sekhon, B.S. (1989). Insect-pests and their management in rapeseed-mustard. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 6: 269-299.
- Desh, R., Devi, N., Singh, A.B. and Verma, S.C. (1996). Relative susceptibility of germplasms of three cruciferous oilseed crops to three different aphid species and chemical basis of their differential reactions. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 20(2): 115-120.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1976 Statistical procedures for agricultural research with emphasis on rice. IRRI, Los Baños, Philippines. 264 p.
- Mandal, D., Bhowmik, P. and Chatterjee, M.L. (2012). Evaluation of new and conventional insecticides for the management of mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt. (Homoptera: Aphididae) on rapeseed (*Brassica juncea* L.). *The Journal of Plant Protection Sciences*, 4(2): 37-42.
- Meena, H., Singh, S.P. and Nagar, R. (2013). Evaluation of microbial agents and bio-products for the management of mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) *The Bioscan*, 8(3): 747-750.
- Nagar, A., Singh, S.P., Singh, Y.P., Singh, R., Meena, H. and Nagar, R. (2012). Bioefficacy of vegetable and organic oils, cakes and plant extracts against mustard aphid *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.). *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 74(2): 114-119.
- Sarangdevot, S.S., Kumar, A. and Chundawat, G.S. (2006). Field bio-efficacy of some newer insecticides against aphids infesting tomato crop. *Pestology*, 30(3): 20-22.
- Shylesha, A.N., Azad Thakur, N.S., Pathak K.A., Rao K.R., Saikia K., Surose, S., Kodandaram, N.H. and Kalaishekar, A. (2006). Integrated management of insect pest of crops in north eastern hill region. Technical Bulletin No. 19. ICAR RC for NEH Region, Umiam, 50p
- Singh, P.K. (2001). Control of mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) (Homoptera: Aphididae) with minimum insecticide use. *Journal of Aphidology*, 15: 139-42.
- Singh, D.K., Pal, S., Dwivedi, R.K. and Pal, R.K. (2014). Efficacy of insecticides against Mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 22 (1):39-41
- Singh, C.P. and Sachan, G.C. (1999). Eco-friendly management of *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt. in *Brassica carinata*. Proceeding of 10th International Rapeseed Conference, Canberra, Australia.
- Singh, S.P. (2009). Insect pest management in oilseed crops. *Indian farming*, 58 (7):29-33
- Singh, S.P. and Singh, Y.P. (2009). Bio-efficacy of pesticides against mustard aphid. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 17: 240-242.
- Singh, Y.P., Meghwal, H.P. and Singh, S.P. (2009). Evaluation of some bioagents against Mustard Aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi* (Kaltenbach) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) on single plant in field condition. *Journal of Biological Control*, 23 (1): 95-97.
- Singh, Y.P., Singh, S.P. and Meghwal, H.P. (2008). Evaluation of bioagents against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) (Homoptera: Aphididae), under net covered condition in field. *Journal of Biological Control*, 22: 321-326.
- Singh Y.P. and Meghwal H.P. (2010). Evaluation of some bioagents against mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi* kaltenbach) (Homoptera: Aphididae) under field conditions. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 72(1): 66-70
- Sinha, R.P., Kumari, K. and Singh, S.N. (2001). Relative efficacy and persistence of toxicity of insecticides against mustard aphid. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 63 (2): 186-91.
- Srivastava, A. (2002). Nefarious pests of rapeseed-mustard. The tribune (On line edition) Chandigarh, India.
- Thomas, L., Sharma A.K. and Singh D. (2014). Strategies for enhancing rapeseed mustard production in Rajasthan. Directorate of rapeseed-mustard research, Sewar, Bharatpur 3213003, Rajasthan, India. pp 44