Eco-friendly management of major diseases in jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.)
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Abstract: Field experiment was conducted for disease management with seven eco-friendly treatments in *Corchorus olitorius* jute during 2012-2013 under randomized block design (RBD) in three replications with variety JRO-524. The ecofriendly treatment 50% N: P: K + seed treatments with 
Azotobacter and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (PSB) @ 5g/Kg+ *Trichoderma viride* (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS was found superior with minimum per cent disease incidence (3.46 and 1.43%) as compared to control (13.17 and 4.96%). The second best treatment was found with Farm yard manure (FYM) @ 5t/ha + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg+ *T. viride* (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha 21DAS)+ *P. fluorescens* spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS and showed 3.61 and 2.0% disease incidence. The treatment with N: P: K @ 60:30:30 and seed treatment with carbendazim 50WP @ 2g/Kg at 45DAS showed 4.56 and 2.10 % disease incidence. Dry fibre yield was highest (30.33q/ha) in the plots treated with FYM @ 5t/ha + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg+ *T. viride* (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + *P. fluorescens* spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS) and it was lowest in untreated control (15.69 q/ha).Variation in yield was attributed due to difference in plant height.
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INTRODUCTION

Jute is an important bast fibre crop next to cotton. It is mainly grown in Bangladesh, China, India, Nepal, Thailand, Myanmar, Pakistan and Bhutan. The area under jute cultivation during 2013-14 was 8.27 lakh hectares with production of 114 lakh bales. In India jute cultivation is confined to the states of West Bengal, Bihar, Assam, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, Meghalaya and some parts of Nagaland with an area of 0.62, 0.14, 0.07, 0.02, 0.02 and 0.02 million hectares and production of 9.40, 1.28, 0.74, 0.19, 0.11 and 0.05 million bales dry fiber respectively. The total productivity of jute in India is 23.53q/ha with an export earnings from jute based products is to the tune of 2050 crore per annum (Anonymous, 1990, 2013). Jute fibre is used for making bags, decorative, textiles and geo textiles, and its sticks are also used for fuel, door panels of automobiles and for making false ceiling boards. Stem rot and Root rot of jute caused by *M. phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid is economically the most important dreadful diseases of jute affecting both the cultivated species *C. olitorius* L. and *C. capsularis* L. resulting in yield loss and fiber quality. The other diseases compelling jute crop to suffer are seedling blight, leaf blight, anthracnose and leaf mosaic (Roy et al., 2008). Among them disease caused by *M. phaseolina* is devastating. *M. phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid is the pycnidal stage of the pathogen. The pycnidal coupled with sclerotial stage are primarily responsible for the infection of disease in jute (Ghosh, 1983; Mandal, 1990). The disease is seed, soil and air borne in nature which continuously damage the crop starting from germination to maturity in both seed and fiber crops (De, 2013). Seed is an important source of primary inoculum then infection through soil. The incidence of stem rot epidemic can be gauged from primary infection, as secondary infection is usually four times the primary infection (Anonymous, 2006). All the plant parts are vulnerable to the diseases initiating from seed germination till harvest and causes severe infection up to tune of 35-40%. March sown crop is more prone to stem rot and root rot. Cloudy condition, heavy rainfall and humid weather and temperature of (34 ± 1°C) prevail the disease to become more severe (Rao, 1980; Ghosh, 1983; Mandal, 1990). Due to wide host range and changing climatic conditions management of this pathogen has become cumbersome. Similarly,
there are no resistant/tolerant varieties in C. olitorius and C. capsularis (Kat et al., 2009). Over relying on chemicals also develop fungicide-resistant strains of plant pathogens, resurgence, lethality to beneficial organism and loss of biodiversity. Thus, due to the importance of disease and difficulty of its control through prevalent methods, it seems that there is urgent need for the development of integrated control by a combination of several methods that are effective coupled with eco-friendly in nature. These ecofriendly management modules are cost effective, durable, free from environment pollution and relatively safe for farmers who can’t rely on synthetic pesticides. Therefore, an attempt has been made to manage the diseases caused by M. phaseolina using (bioagents, fungicides, biofertilizer, etc.) in ecofriendly management strategies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data obtained (Table 1) for two years were pooled and analyzed to conclude the results of applied integrated management of major disease. Eco friendly treatments indicated that treatment with 50% N: K + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS was found superior among the treatments in controlling of diseases. The per cent incidence of disease stem rot and root rot was 3.46 and 1.43% respectively, while, in check it was 13.17 and 4.96%, respectively. The second best treatment was FYM @ 5t/ha + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS showed 3.61 and 2.0% incidence of stem rot and root rot diseases. The treatment with N: P: K @ 60:30:30 and seed treatment with carbendazim 50WP @ 2g/Kg at 45DAS resulted 4.56 and 2.10% incidence. Application of potash @ 50 -100kg K2O/ha as a basal dose can check the disease to a considerable extent (Cheng and Tu, 1970). Stem rot disease incidence decreased with application of micronutrient (Zn, Fe, Bo) along with N: P: K (Thakur ji, 1974; Thakurji et al., 1976). The results revealed that the variation in basal diameter was non-significant but significant variation among the treatments was found in plant height being highest in T1 treatment (329 cm) consisting with N: P: K fertilizer and carbendazim fungicide. Dry fibre yield was highest (30.33 q/ha) in the plots treated with T3 treatment including FYM @ 5t/ha + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS, T5-50% N:P:K + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg + spraying of IAA @ 0.01% at 45DAS, T6-FYM @ 5t/ha + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS) and while it was lowest in untreated control (15.69 q/ha) and in carbendazim treatment, it was 28.42q/ha. Yield variation was due to variation in respect of plant height. Strain of T. viride, Aspergillus niger (Strain AN-27) and some other species of fluorescent Pseudomonas have been established as very effective biocontrol agents for stem and root rot in jute (Roy et al., 2008; Srivastava and Singh, 2009). Antagonistic fungal isolates of Trichoderma, Gliocladium, Aspergillus, Penicilium and PGPR isolates showed promising antagonistic properties inhibiting highly virulent isolates (R 9) of M. phaseolina to a great extent (Bandopadhyay et al., 2006). Eco-friendly treatments with T. harzianum as seed treatment alone or in combination with P. fluorescens and foliar spray with chemical fungicides significantly reduced the Alternaria blight disease of mustard (Meena et al., 2011). The treatment with soil application of T. viride thrice was found best in controlling seedling blight, collar rot, stem rot and root rot diseases of jute and giving minimum per cent disease incidence as compared to control (Srivastava et al., 2010). Despite

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment was conducted for ecofriendly disease management of M. phaseolina infecting Corchorus olitorius (jute) during 2012-2013 at experimental plots of Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya (UBKV) Coochbehar in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications using variety, JRO-524 in a plot size of 3 x 5 m2 with row to row spacing 30 cm and plant to plant 10 cm after thinning at 20-25 days after sowing. The recommended integrated practice of practices were followed as and where required. Different integrated treatments included: T1- N:P:K @ 60:30:30 + seed treatment with carbendazim @ 2g/Kg at 45DAS, T2-50% N:P:K + seed treatment with Azotobacter and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (PSB) @ 5g/Kg at 45DAS, T3-50% N:P:K + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and seed application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS, T4-50% N:P:K + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg + spraying of IAA @ 0.01% at 45DAS, T5-FYM @ 5t/ha + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS, T6-FYM @ 5t/ha + seed treatment with Azotobacter and PSB @ 5g/Kg + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS) + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS + T. viride (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + P. fluorescens spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS.
fungicide remains more effective in reducing diseases in plants, increasing public concern about environmental health is proving to be major hindrance in use of chemical pesticides including fungicides. Hence, use of low dose of fungicides, integrated with other means like bioagents, biofertilizer, hormones, inorganic fertilizers and farm yard manure seems to be best method of ecofriendly disease management without environmental pollution.

Conclusion

Stem rot and root rot of jute caused by *M. phaseolina* are major diseases of jute (*C. olitorious*) in India that results in economic losses. There is no another alternative and effective method to manage this deadly disease in the field. So, it may be concluded that treatment with 50% N: P: K + seed treatment with *Azotobacter* and PSB @ 5g/Kg + *T. viride* (seed treatment @ 5g/Kg of seed and soil application @ 2Kg/ha at 21DAS) + *P. fluorescens* spray @ 0.2% at 45DAS was found to be more superior ecofriendly treatment in controlling of stem rot and root rot disease of jute.
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