

Research Article

Light interception and radiation use efficiency (RUE) in maize (*Zea mays*. L) intercropping with greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.)

Govindaraj T

Agro Climate Research Centre, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India

N. Maragatham*

Centre for Students Welfare, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India

S P. Ramanathan

Agro Climate Research Centre, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India

V. Geethalakshmi

Vice Chancellor, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India

M. K. Kalarani

Directorate of Crop Management, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India

*Corresponding author. E-mail: mm65@tnau.ac.in

Article Info

<https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v15i3.4751>

Received: May 24, 2023

Revised: August 13, 2023

Accepted: August 20, 2023

How to Cite

Govindaraj, T. *et al.* (2023). Light interception and radiation use efficiency (RUE) in maize (*Zea mays*. L) intercropping with greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 15(3), 1044 - 1050. <https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v15i3.4751>

Abstract

Intercropping is growing two or more crop species simultaneously, different canopy architectures by row configuration, changing light interception, radiation utilisation, and increased yield. The present study aimed to evaluate different intercropping systems affected light interception per cent and radiation use efficiency in maize (*Zea mays* L.) intercropping with greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) different ratios. Field experiments were conducted for *Kharif* 2022 and *Rabi* 2022-2023 seasons, which were laid out in a split-plot design and replicated three times. Three Nitrogen levels viz., N₁ - 75 % RDN (Recommended dose of Nitrogen), N₂ - 100% RDN, N₃-125% RDN had taken as the main plot and three intercropping treatments were taken as subplot viz., M₂G₂ - replacement series (two row of maize and two row of Greengram), M₄G₂- replacement series (four rows of maize and two row of Greengram), M₂G₃-paired row system (two rows of maize and three rows of Greengram), and sole maize. Both light interception and radiation use efficiency were significantly affected by intercropping systems. Light interception per cent of the main crop (maize) was significantly higher (69.0, 75.5 and 71.0 % during *Kharif* and 60.1, 78.1 and 76.6 during *rabi*) at vegetative, flowering and maturity phases, respectively. The *Kharif* 2022 and *Rabi* 2022-2023 maximum Radiation Use Efficiency (RUE) of Maize intercropping with green gram (maize + greengram) was higher in T₁₂ (M₂G₃ paired row with 125 % Recommended Dose of Nitrogen) recorded as 2.46 (*Kharif*) and 1.43 (*rabi*). The outcome might be utilised to optimise the row configuration of intercropping design, explain the mechanism of intercropping on light utilisation, and improve radiation use efficiency.

Keywords: Greengram, Intercropping, Light interception, Maize, Radiation use efficiency

INTRODUCTION

Maize is India's third most significant cereal crop after rice and wheat, and it is farmed in a variety of settings, ranging from extremely semi-arid to sub-humid and humid (which accounts for 82% of the land under cultivation during the *Kharif* season). It accounts for roughly 10% of total food grain production in the country (India

Agristat, 2020). Due to its wider adaptability in different seasons and different agro-climatic conditions, maize is being intercropped with various crops. In addition, maize is a wider-spaced crop and offers abundant scope for intercropping adoption. Growing two or more crops adjacent to one another is known as intercropping.

The main objective of intercropping is to increase yield

by utilising resources. In the intercropping system, a tall-statured crop is intercropped with a short-statured crop, such as the intercropping of cereal with legumes (Maitra *et al.*, 2019). Intercropping also may be considered as being limited by the short-statured intercrop's low yield, which is mostly caused by a reduction in intercepted Photosynthetic Active Radiation (PAR). There is intense interspecific light competition between soybean and maize in intercropping. Maize plants shade the legume plants. Furthermore, the maize plants miss PAR in the space between adjacent corn strips. The intercepted PAR of understory soybean is increased by the wide separation between adjacent maize strips (Wang *et al.*, 2015).

There are many different intercropping configurations, and the crop intercepted PAR varies significantly. It positively correlates with the crop dry matter. Radiation-use efficiency (RUE), defined as crop biomass produced per unit of total solar radiation or photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) intercepted by the canopy. It was applied in the avenues to genetically improve the yield and in the growth and yield responses to increased CO₂ concentration. The RUE varies significantly depending on the crop species and cropping strategy. Intercrops with tall statures have the same RUE as their sole crop (Wang *et al.*, 2021). HOWEVER, the RUE of short-statured intercrop is higher than that of its sole crop because of the dispersed light effect and lower light saturation.

The replacement series of intercropping systems increase the PAR interception and Strip intercropping is growing as a popular method as a result of its high Radiation Use Efficiency (RUE) (Liu *et al.*, 2017). In this context, the research is required to describe the intercepted PAR and RUE in maize and greengram intercropping systems. Hence, the present study was made for the maize-greengram intercrop with different ratios *viz.*, paired row systems to find out: i) effects on light interception in monocropped maize and intercropping systems, ii) RUE in maize-green gram in different intercropping systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site

The field study was conducted at *Kharif* 2022 and *Rabi* 2022- 2023 at the Eastern Block Farm, Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. The experimental site was located between 11°83' North latitude and 76°71' E East longitude at an elevation of 426.7 m above the mean sea level. The climate of the region was semiarid tropical and mean annual rainfall of was 674.2 mm in 47 rainy days. The maximum and minimum annual mean temperatures were 31.5°C and 21°C, respectively. The mean relative humidity ranged from 49.1% (14:22 hours) to 84.9%

Table 1. Treatment details for maize intercropping with greengram and nitrogen levels

Treatment No.	Treatment details
T ₁	N ₁ + Sole Maize
T ₂	N ₂ + Sole Maize
T ₃	N ₃ + Sole Maize
T ₄	N ₁ + M ₂ G ₂
T ₅	N ₂ + M ₂ G ₂
T ₆	N ₃ + M ₂ G ₂
T ₇	N ₁ + M ₄ G ₂
T ₈	N ₂ + M ₄ G ₂
T ₉	N ₃ + M ₄ G ₂
T ₁₀	N ₁ + M ₂ G ₃
T ₁₁	N ₂ + M ₂ G ₃
T ₁₂	N ₃ + M ₂ G ₃

N₁-75% RDN; N₂-100% RDN; N₃-125% RDN; M₂G₂- (Maize + Green gram; 2:2), M₄G₂ - (Maize + Greengram; 4:2), M₂G₃ (Maize + Greengram; 2:3); RDN - Recommended Dose of Nitrogen

(07:22 hours). The mean bright sunshine hour was 7.3 hours per day, with a mean solar radiation of 429.2 cal/cm. Daily weather data were converted into Standard Meteorological Weekly (SMW) data of the respective cropping season *viz.*, *Kharif* (26 – 41st MSW) and *Rabi* (52 – 15th MSW). The maximum temperature of 28.8°C to 32.3°C, minimum temperature of 22°C to 23.5°C, total rainfall of 297 mm, wind speed of 3.7 to 17.6 km/hr prevailed during *Kharif* 2022, whereas it was prevailed during *rabi* 2022-2023 had a maximum temperature of 29.2 °C to 36 °C, minimum temperature of 17.7 °C to 24.2 °C, total rainfall of 41 mm, wind speed of 4.6 km/hr to 7.7 km hr⁻¹. The weather and climate data recorded from the Meteorological observatory (Class A) available at Agro Climate Research Centre, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, was used for this study. The experiment site contained sandy clay loam texture with a pH -value of 7.7, EC (dS m⁻¹) 0.17, Organic carbon (g kg⁻¹) 3.7, Available N (kg ha⁻¹) 137, Available P₂O₅ (kg ha⁻¹) 8, Available K₂O (kg ha⁻¹) 152, Bulk density (Mg cm⁻³) 1.23, Particle density (Mg cm⁻³) 2.54, Porosity (%) 51.6 in the top 30 cm soil layer.

Experimental design and planting materials

The maize and greengram intercropping replacement series and paired row system with different ratios were used for this field experiment. Each plot size was 5m X 5m (25m²). Moreover, this experiment was laid out in a split-plot design with three replications. The main plot consists of different Nitrogen levels (N₁-75% of RDN, N₂-100% of RDN, 125% of RDN) and the sub-plot consisted of maize intercrop with a greengram different ratio (M₂G₂, M₂G₃ and M₄G₂) where M₂G₂ (Maize + Greengram; 2:2) - replacement series 2 rows of maize with spacing 60 cm x 25 cm and 2 rows of greengram with spacing 30 cm x 10 cm, M₂G₃ (Maize + Green-

gram; 2:3) paired row system 2 rows of maize with spacing 45 cm x 25 cm and 3 rows of greengram with spacing 30 cm x 10 cm) and M₄G₂ (Maize + Greengram; 4:2) - replacement series 4 rows of maize with spacing 60 cm x 25 cm and 2 rows of greengram with spacing 30 cm x 10 cm. The maize hybrid COH (M) 8 and Greengram variety CO 8 were chosen for conducting the research. A Recommended dose of Fertilizer (RDF) was adopted at 250:75:75 N: P₂O₅: K₂O kg ha⁻¹. The chemical fertilizers N, P, and K were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate (SSP), and muriate of potash (MOP) respectively. The entire dose (100%) of P₂O₅, K₂O was applied basally before sowing. The N was applied in split doses 50:25:25 per cent at 0, 30, and 60 days after sowing, respectively.

Sampling and measurements

Light interception

The light interception is defined as light penetration through the plant canopy. The PAR measured at using EMCON Line quantum sensor vegetative stage, flowering stage and maturity stage of maize and intercrop. It measures the PAR in terms of Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density (PPFD) with the unit of μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. The line quantum sensor was placed along the planting rows. The PAR was measured in the top (I₀) and bottom of the canopy(I) during bright sunshine hours at 1100 to 1200 noon clear sunny days.

$$\text{Light interception} = \frac{I_0 - I}{I_0} \tag{1}$$

$$\text{Light interception (\%)} = \frac{I_0 - I}{I_0} \times 100 \tag{2}$$

where,

I₀ – PAR at above the canopy

I – PAR at below canopy

Leaf Area Index (LAI) and Extinction Coefficient (k)

The leaf area of every single leaf was determined by multiplying the leaf length by the greatest leaf width by the crop-specific coefficient factors of maize and greengram.

$$LAI = \frac{\text{Leaf area}}{\text{Ground area}} \tag{3}$$

The Leaf Area Index (LAI) and light penetration relationship were used to determine the extinction coefficient (k) of a crop canopy using the equation proposed by (Subo *et al.*, 2001)

$$k = -\frac{\ln[I/I_0]}{LAI} \tag{4}$$

Estimation of the daily radiation interception

The first turbid layer contains sole maize turbid medium, while the second turbid layer has maize and greengram turbid mediums. The fraction of radiation inter-

cepted by maize in the first turbid layer (Keating and Car berry,1993),

F_{M1}, is given by

$$F_{M1} = 1 - \exp^{-K_M L_{M1}} \tag{5}$$

where,

K_M - extinction coefficient of maize

L_{M1} - maize LAI in the first turbid layer.

The fraction of radiation intercepted by maize and greengram in the second turbid layer (F_{M2} and F_G, respectively) is given by

$$F_{M2} = \frac{K_M L_{M2}}{K_M L_{M2} + K_G L_G} \times [1 - \exp^{-K_M L_{M2} - K_G L_G}] \tag{6}$$

$$F_G = \frac{K_G L_G}{K_M L_{M2} + K_G L_G} \times [1 - \exp^{-K_M L_{M2} - K_G L_G}] \tag{7}$$

$$LM1 = \frac{hM - hG}{hM} (LM) \tag{8}$$

$$LM2 = \frac{hG}{hM} (LM) \tag{9}$$

where,

K_M - extinction coefficient of maize

K_G - extinction coefficient of greengram

L_M – LAI of maize (second turbid layer)

L_G – LAI of greengram (second turbid layer)

Radiation use efficiency (RUE)

RUE (g MJ⁻¹) was calculated as above-ground biomass (g m⁻²) divided by the amount of solar radiation intercepted (MJ m⁻²) (Tsubo *et al.*,2002). The I₀ was the quantity of daily incident PAR (MJ m⁻²). In order to convert total radiation to PAR, the daily total radiation data were multiplied by 0.5 (Gao *et al.*, 2010).

$$RUE_M = \frac{\text{Above ground biomass}}{I_0(F_{M1} + F_{M2})} \tag{10}$$

$$RUE_G = \frac{\text{Above ground biomass}}{I_0 F_G} \tag{11}$$

where,

I₀ - Cumulative incident PAR (MJ m⁻²)

F_{M1} and F_{M2} - fraction of intercepted PAR of the intercropped maize

F_G - fraction of intercepted PAR of the intercropped greengram

Statistical analysis

SPSS software was used to do all data analysis. ANOVA (Analysis of variance) was performed to assess significant differences, and the LSD (Least Significance Difference) test was employed to compare the means at a 5% probability level. The mean values were reported as mean SE (standard error), based on three repli-

cates per treatment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Leaf area index (LAI)

The LAI was measured at vegetative, flowering and maturity stages during *Kharif* 2022 and *rabi* 2022-2023, as depicted in Table 2. LAI of maize and greengram intercropping system increased rapidly from the vegetative stage to the flowering stage and decreased at the maturity phase.

Among the *Kharif* 2022 and *Rabi* 2022-2023 cropping seasons, the main crop (maize) LAI had higher in T₁₂ (M₂G₃ paired row inter-cropping system with 125 % RDN) which was 3.76, 6.01, 5.26 at vegetative, flowering and maturity phase, respectively during *Kharif* season and 3.38, 5.26, 5.07 during *rabi* season and followed by T₃ (sole maize with 125 % RDN). The lower LAI value was found in T₄ (M₂G₂ replacement intercropping system with 75 % RDN) in both *Kharif* and *rabi* seasons. The LAI of intercrop (greengram) had significantly higher in T₁₂ (M₂G₃ paired row intercropping system with 125 % RDN) which was 0.96 and 3.61 at vegetative and flowering phase, respectively during *Kharif* and 0.89 and 3.49 during *rabi* season followed by T₆ and T₉ (M₂G₂ and M₄G₂ with 125% RDN) in both the seasons.

In sole maize at T₁₂, higher plant population had increased the LAI which was due to a higher number of leaves. In addition, physiological growth rates were found to be higher in high density planting which increased the leaf area and leaf area index. The LAI values were lesser in intercropping system when compared to the sole crop which was due to the shading

effect by maize in the intercrop. Increased maize density increased the LAI in both sole crop and intercropping. The results support Valadabadi and Farahani (2010), who reported that increased plant density increased the LAI of maize. Wang *et al.* (2020) reported a similar result in a maize-peanut intercropping system and found that light interception in the maize-peanut intercropping was higher than in the sole groundnut. Even though the LUE of monocropped and intercropped maize was identical, peanut utilised light more effectively. The present study also indicated that intercropped maize's density influence on light interception was less pronounced than in monocropping. This supports the previously reported finding that the optimum plant density of dominating species in intercropping is lower than in monocropping (Wang *et al.*,2017).

Light interception (%)

The light interception (%) of maize were recorded at different growth stages *viz.*, vegetative, flowering and maturity phase during *Kharif* 2022 and *rabi* 2022-2023, depicted in Table 2. The Light interception (%) increased gradually from vegetative to flowering stage and decreased during maturity phase in both seasons. Main crop (maize) had a significantly higher light interception (%) at T₁₂ (M₂G₃ paired row intercropping system with 125 % RDN), which was 69.0, 75.5 and 71.0 per cent during the vegetative, flowering and maturity phase, respectively during *Kharif* and 60.1, 78.1 and 76.6 per cent during *rabi*. It was followed by T₃ (sole maize with 125 % RDN). The lowest value of light interception (%) was significantly noticed in T₇ (M₄G₂ replacement intercropping system with 75 % RDN) during both *Kharif* and *rabi* seasons. The intercrop

Table 2. LAI dynamics of intercropped maize and greengram during the growing seasons of *Kharif* 2022 and *Rabi* 2022-2023

Treatment	Kharif 2022					Rabi 2022-2023				
	Vegetative stage		Flowering Stage		Maturity phase	Vegetative stage		Flowering stage		Maturity phase
	Main Crop	Inter crop	Main crop	Inter crop	Main Crop	Main crop	Inter crop	Main crop	Inter crop	Main crop
T ₁	2.36	-	3.94	-	3.15	2.10	-	3.41	-	3.02
T ₂	2.87	-	4.60	-	3.74	2.59	-	3.74	-	3.59
T ₃	3.45	-	4.60	-	4.02	3.16	-	4.02	-	3.88
T ₄	2.10	0.48	3.50	1.76	2.80	1.87	0.43	2.80	1.68	2.69
T ₅	2.15	0.73	3.45	2.78	2.80	1.94	0.67	2.80	2.68	2.69
T ₆	3.36	0.95	4.89	3.94	4.28	3.06	0.88	3.97	3.81	4.13
T ₇	3.19	0.54	4.31	1.98	3.50	2.56	0.49	3.77	1.89	3.37
T ₈	2.69	0.76	4.60	2.85	4.03	2.88	0.71	4.03	2.74	3.88
T ₉	3.16	0.82	5.32	3.61	4.26	3.01	0.76	4.61	3.36	4.08
T ₁₀	2.47	0.55	4.64	2.03	3.71	2.32	0.50	4.02	1.94	3.56
T ₁₁	2.98	0.62	5.30	2.69	4.31	2.65	0.56	4.31	2.48	4.14
T ₁₂	3.76	0.96	6.01	3.61	5.26	3.38	0.89	5.26	3.49	5.07
Mean	2.87	0.71	4.59	2.80	3.82	2.62	0.65	3.89	2.67	3.67
Cd (0.05)	0.12	0.03	0.21	0.13	0.15	0.09	0.03	0.17	0.14	0.16
Sed	0.06	0.01	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.01	0.08	0.06	0.08

Table 3. Light interception (%) of intercropped maize and greengram during the growing seasons of *Kharif* 2022 and *Rabi* 2022-2023

Treatment	Kharif 2022					Rabi 2022-2023				
	Vegetative stage		Flowering Stage		Maturity phase	Vegetative stage		Flowering stage		Maturity phase
	Main Crop	Inter crop	Main crop	Inter crop	Main Crop	Main crop	Inter crop	Main crop	Inter crop	Main crop
T ₁	60.0	-	62.5	-	55.1	48.3	-	60.3	-	57.8
T ₂	63.8	-	72.0	-	54.3	51.8	-	74.9	-	68.3
T ₃	67.9	-	74.0	-	69.4	58.8	-	75.4	-	73.4
T ₄	62.7	30.7	72.1	66.9	64.4	41.4	52.8	69.1	53.9	68.6
T ₅	66.4	38.0	74.1	71.9	67.6	47.4	58.9	70.8	60.8	71.5
T ₆	64.6	32.2	65.7	67.8	57.2	50.2	52.9	73.6	55.1	61.4
T ₇	51.3	20.1	53.9	53.8	42.3	32.9	38.1	58.2	35.7	48.1
T ₈	57.9	26.2	63.7	61.2	54.6	38.3	53.7	65.4	46.0	59.1
T ₉	62.9	30.1	69.9	66.6	62.4	41.4	64.5	69.3	53.4	66.1
T ₁₀	53.6	27.1	52.1	58.7	40.1	43.6	39.6	64.1	42.4	53.0
T ₁₁	59.9	30.3	62.4	63.7	53.0	53.4	46.9	70.1	49.5	57.7
T ₁₂	69.0	44.1	75.5	74.7	71.0	60.1	62.9	78.1	64.7	76.6
Mean	61.6	30.9	66.5	65.0	57.6	47.2	52.2	69.1	51.2	63.4
Cd (0.05)	2.7	0.95	3.3	2.63	2.8	2.16	2.4	2.01	2.58	3.3
Sed	1.3	0.44	1.6	1.24	1.3	1.04	1.17	0.97	1.22	1.6

(greengram) had significantly higher light interception in T₁₂ (M₂G₃ paired row intercropping system with 125 % RDN), which was 44.1 and 74.7 at vegetative and flowering phase, respectively during *Kharif* and 62.9 and 64.7 during *rabi*. In both seasons, the light interception was followed by T₆ and T₉ (M₂G₂ and M₄G₂ with 125% RDN).

Leaf area development of the crops had been influenced by environmental factors such as temperature, rainfall and limited moisture *etc.*, where faster leaf area growth in T₁₂ treatment had caused higher PAR interception during the vegetative stage. Similarly, T₁₂ treatment had higher LAI, which influenced the light interception (%) during the different growth stages. Light interception had differed in intercropping system compared to sole crops due to vertical arrangements of dense crop canopy architecture under temporal and spatial complementarity. Compared to intercrop, maize light interception was high which was mainly due to high plant height and LAI at all the growing stages during both the cropping periods. The result was supported by Du *et al.* (2021) and Naher and Hossain (2021), who found higher PAR conversion efficiencies in maize and legume intercropping systems.

Extinction coefficient

The Extinction coefficient of maize intercrop with greengram at vegetative, flowering and maturity stages during *Kharif* 2022 and *rabi* 2022-2023 were depicted in Table 3. Extinction coefficient of maize and greengram intercropping system was increased rapidly from vegetative to flowering stage and then decreased at maturity

phase. Among *Kharif* 2022 and *Rabi* 2022-2023 cropping seasons, Main crop (maize) had a significantly higher extinction coefficient in T₁₂ treatment, which was and at vegetative, flowering and maturity phase, respectively, during *Kharif* (0.28,0.29 and 0.15, respectively) and, during *rabi* (0.29,0.16 and 0.18 respectively).

The lowest extinction coefficient was found at the M₄G₂ replacement intercropping system with 75% RDN in both cropping seasons. Extinction coefficient of inter crop (greengram) was significantly higher in M₂G₃ paired row intercropping system with 125 % Recommended dose of Nitrogen (RDN), which was 0.29 (*Kharif*) and 0.34 and 0.41,0.33 (*rabi*) during vegetative and flowering phase respectively followed by M₂G₂ and M₄G₂ with 125% RDN in both *Kharif* and *rabi* seasons. Among the main crop and intercrop, the extension coefficient of intercrop was less which was due to the shading effect by maize and thereby, increased the ratio of diffuse to direct radiation inside the intercrop canopy. These results are concordant with Raza *et al.* (2022), who found a higher extension coefficient in the intercrop due to the maize crop's erect nature and shading.

Radiation Use Efficiency (RUE)

The RUE of maize intercropping with greengram in *Kharif* 2022 and *rabi* 2022-2023 seasons were depicted in Table 4. Main crop and intercrop (maize + greengram) had higher RUE in T₁₂ (M₂G₃ with 125 % (RDN) which was 2.46 and 1.43 during *Kharif* and *rabi*, respectively. In both the cropping seasons, the lower value of RUE was recorded in T₇ (M₂G₂ replacement intercropping system with 75 % RDN). The higher LUE

Table 4. Extinction coefficient of intercropped maize and greengram during the growing seasons of *Kharif* 2022 and *Rabi* 2022-2023

Treatment	<i>Kharif</i> 2022					<i>Rabi</i> 2022-2023				
	Vegetative stage		Flowering stage		Maturity Phase	Vegetative stage		Flowering stage		Maturity phase
	Main	Inter	Main	Inter	Main	Main	Inter	Main	Inter	Main
	Crop	crop	crop	crop	Crop	crop	crop	crop	crop	crop
T ₁	0.32	-	0.29		0.48	0.38	-	0.31	-	0.37
T ₂	0.29	-	0.25		0.45	0.36	-	0.28	-	0.32
T ₃	0.25	-	0.23	-	0.41	0.33	-	0.25	-	0.29
T ₄	0.45	0.37	0.36	0.46	0.57	0.41	0.53	0.35	0.56	0.43
T ₅	0.36	0.39	0.26	0.31	0.52	0.39	0.51	0.32	0.41	0.36
T ₆	0.34	0.36	0.29	0.35	0.49	0.35	0.47	0.31	0.48	0.32
T ₇	0.41	0.38	0.39	0.42	0.52	0.4	0.52	0.33	0.58	0.34
T ₈	0.32	0.34	0.23	0.33	0.47	0.36	0.48	0.29	0.42	0.33
T ₉	0.31	0.33	0.26	0.31	0.44	0.35	0.47	0.26	0.43	0.31
T ₁₀	0.31	0.36	0.16	0.43	0.38	0.32	0.44	0.21	0.39	0.21
T ₁₁	0.31	0.32	0.18	0.38	0.35	0.3	0.42	0.18	0.42	0.21
T ₁₂	0.28	0.29	0.15	0.34	0.33	0.29	0.41	0.16	0.33	0.18
Mean	0.33	0.35	0.25	0.37	0.45	0.35	0.47	0.27	0.45	0.31
Cd (0.05)	0.013	0.014	0.009	0.013	0.009	0.01	0.021	0.012	0.014	0.012
SEd	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.006	0.004	0.005	0.009	0.006	0.006	0.006

Table 5. Radiation use efficiency (g MJ⁻¹) of intercropped maize and greengram during the growing seasons of *Kharif* 2022 and *Rabi* 2022-2023

Treatment	<i>Kharif</i> 2022	<i>Rabi</i> 2022-2023
T ₁	1.75	1.23
T ₂	2.12	1.24
T ₃	2.23	1.31
T ₄	1.7	0.89
T ₅	1.8	1.03
T ₆	1.84	1.09
T ₇	1.82	1.02
T ₈	2.14	1.24
T ₉	2.32	1.41
T ₁₀	1.76	1.00
T ₁₁	2.38	1.31
T ₁₂	2.46	1.43
Mean	2.03	1.18
Cd (0.05)	0.04	0.034
Sed	0.019	0.016

of inter crop (greengram) had recorded in M2G3 paired row intercropping system with 125 % Recommended dose of Nitrogen (RDN) during the *Kharif* and *rabi* seasons, followed by M₂G₂ and M₄G₂ with 125% RDN in both the cropping seasons. The RUE of maize intercrop with greengram (T₁₂) had higher values than sole maize crop due to the increased diffused light and less light saturation in intercropping. Also, intercropping was more efficient in utilizing solar radiation with denser populations than sole maize crop. The similar findings

were also found by Raza *et al.* (2019) and reported that maintaining narrow, wide spacing in maize intercropping can increase dry matter accumulation and radiation usage efficiency.

Conclusion

The present study concluded that the maize hybrid COH (M) 8 intercropping with greengram variety CO 8 at different ratios showed higher LAI, light interception (%) and RUE in T₁₂ treatment (M₂G₃ - paired row intercropping system with 125 % RDN) at all the crop growing periods during both the cropping seasons (*Kharif*, 2022 and *Rabi*, 2022-2023) than the sole maize and other intercropping systems *viz.*, M₂G₄, M₂G₂. The lowest values were found in the T₄ (M₂G₂ replacement intercropping system with 75 % RDN) at all stages during both the cropping seasons. In general, Light interception and RUE was higher in the intercropping system. The RUE in the replacement series was less influenced by the intercropping system resulting in higher light interception, and RUE, which could improve dry matter accumulation compared to the other intercropping methods.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Du, X., Wang, Z., Lei, W. & Kong, L. (2021). Increased planting density combined with reduced nitrogen rate to

- achieve high yield in maize. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 358.
2. Gao, Y., Duan, A., Qiu, X., Sun, J., Zhang, J., Liu, H. & Wang, H. (2010). Distribution and use efficiency of photosynthetically active radiation in strip intercropping of maize and soybean. *Agronomy journal*, 102(4), 1149-1157.
 3. India Agristat (2020). Area, production, and average yield, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation report, New Delhi. <http://www.indiaagristat.com>
 4. Keating B. A. & Carberry, P. S. (1993). Resource capture and use in intercropping: solar radiation. *Field Crops Res.*, 34, 273–301.
 5. Liu, X., Rahman, T., Yang, F., Song, C., Yong, T., Liu, J., ... & Yang, W. (2017). PAR interception and utilization in different maize and soybean intercropping patterns. *PLoS one*, 12(1), e0169218.
 6. Maitra, S., Palai, J. B., Manasa, P. & Kumar, D. P. (2019). Potential of intercropping system in sustaining crop productivity. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*, 12(1), 39-45.
 7. Naher, Q. & Hossain, M. A. (2021). Light Interception and Productivity of Maize Intercropped with Legumes in Kharif Season. *Bangladesh Agronomy Journal*, 24(2), 137-149.
 8. Raza, M. A., Feng, L. Y., van der Werf, W., Cai, G. R., Khalid, M. H. B., Iqbal, N. & Yang, W. (2019). Narrow row planting pattern increases the radiation use efficiency and seed yield of intercrop species in relay intercropping system. *Food and Energy Security*, 8(3), e170.
 9. Raza, M. A., Yasin, H. S., Gul, H., Qin, R., Mohi Ud Din, A., Khalid, M. H. B., ... & Yang, W. (2022). Maize/soybean strip intercropping produces higher crop yields and saves water under semi-arid conditions. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, 1006720.
 10. Subo, M., Walker, S. & Mukhala, E. (2001). Comparisons of radiation use efficiency of mono-/inter-cropping systems with different row orientations. *Field Crops Res.*, 71: 17–29.
 11. Tsubo, M. & Walker, S. (2002). A model of radiation interception and use by a maize–bean intercrop canopy. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 110(3), 203-215.
 12. Valadabadi, S. A. & Farahani, H. A. (2010) Effect of planting density and pattern on physiological growth indices in maize (*Zea mays* L.) under nitrogenous fertilizer application. *J Agric Ext Rural Dev* 2: 40-47. Link: <https://bit.ly/3jT1QZY>.
 13. Wang, Z. K., Zhao, X. N., Wu, P. T., He, J.Q., Chen, X.L., Gao Y. (2015). Radiation interception and utilization by wheat/maize strip intercropping systems. *Agr Forest Meteorol.*, 204: 58–66.
 14. Wang, Q., Sun, Z., Bai, W., Zhang, D., Zhang, Y., Wang, R. & Zhang, L. (2021). Light perception and use efficiency differ with maize plant density in maize-peanut intercropping. *Frontiers of Agricultural Science and Engineering*, 8 (3), 432-446.
 15. Wang, R., Sun, Z., Zhang, L., Yang, N., Feng, L., Bai, W., Zhang, D., Wang, Q., Evers, J.B., Liu, Y., Ren, J., Zhang, Y., van der Werf, W. (2020). Border-row proportion determines strength of interspecific interactions and crop yields in maize/peanut strip intercropping. *Field Crops Res.*, 107819.
 16. Wang, Z., Zhao, X., Wu, P., Gao, Y., Yang, Q. & Shen, Y. (2017). Border-row effects on light interception in wheat/maize strip intercropping systems. *Field Crops Res*, 214, 1–13.