Prevalence of $\text{bla}_{\text{IMP-1}}$ among \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolated from patients with burn infections
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Abstract
Carbapenems are often used as antibiotics for treating infections by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} is considered a major cause of health care issues associated with higher mortality and morbidity rates worldwide. This study aimed to detect the prevalence of carbapenemase gene $\text{bla}_{\text{IMP-1}}$ that is produced by carbapenem-resistant \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolated from burn infection patients. A total of 137 burn swabs were collected from burn patients in different hospitals in Babylon province from December 2021 to April 2022. All of the swabs were streaked on selective media cetrimide agar. Antibiotic susceptibility was performed for Imipenem and meropenem and polymerase chain reaction for species specific 16S rDNA gene and $\text{bla}_{\text{IMP-1}}$ gene was done for all isolates. 50 isolates were confirmed by amplicon of species-specific 16S rDNA for \textit{P. aeruginosa}. Results of antibiotic susceptibility for imipenem and meropenem revealed that 5/50 (10%) and 13/50(26%) of \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates were resistant to imipenem and meropenem, respectively. Co-susceptibility (co-resistance or co-sensitive) for both imipenem and meropenem results showed 5/50 (10%) display resistance for both imipenem and meropenem, 8/50 (16%) display resistance for only meropenem while 37/50(74%) sensitive for both and none was resistant to imipenem and sensitive for meropenem. Phenotypic detection of Metallo-$\beta$-lactamase gave inverse result while the Mean±SD of inhibition zone (mm) for imipenem disc (10μg) = 29.34±7.11 and for imipenem+EDTA (10 μg+750 μg) =21.8±4.98. Investigation of $\text{bla}_{\text{IMP-1}}$ gene revealed that 23/50 (46%) have bla$_{\text{IMP}}$ while 18/50 (36%) were sensitive for imipenem and meropenem but have $\text{bla}_{\text{IMP-1}}$. The current study concludes the possibility of the presence of resistance gene among phenotypically sensitive isolates pushing great threat of antibiotic misuse and leaving the infection untreated.
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INTRODUCTION

\textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} is an opportunistic human pathogen capable of causing a great threat to health, especially in immunocompromised patients (Farhan et al., 2019). It is the main cause of nosocomial infections and due to their ability to acquire resistance to a wide range of antibiotics making it a major global concern (Kumar and Illamani, 2021; Hashemi, et al., 2021; Jafari-Sales et al., 2020). It is responsible for a wide range of infections, including urinary tract, respiratory system, burn and wound infection and otitis media (Paudel et al., 2021). \textit{P. aeruginosa} is one of the most dangerous burn wound infections pathogens causing 75% of all deaths in patients and is considered a major medical challenge because of its difficulty to treat (Farhan et al., 2019).

Carbapenems antibiotics are effective against multi-drug-resistant bacteria, but their widespread use yields in the emergence of resistant strains. Carbapenem hydrolyzing enzymes are assigned into four groups according to Ambler classification A, B, C and D (Paudel et al., 2021; Vural et al., 2020). The metallo-$\beta$-lactamase (MBLs) belong to group B and they require divalent cations (such as zinc ion) as cofactors for enzyme activity, which is inhibited by the action of a metal ion chelator such as EDTA and thiol-based compounds. This behavior is considered as dependent phenotype detection. The MBLs efficiently hydrolyze all beta lactams, except aztreonam and in vitro MBLs con-
Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2021.

Phenotypic detection of MBL production
Imipenem–EDTA combination disc testing was used for the detection of MBL-producing isolates. A solution of 0.5 M EDTA was prepared by dissolving 18.61 g of EDTA in 100 mL distilled water with pH 8. (Also used an artificial disc of imipenem/EDTA 10/750 was used). The tested isolates were cultured on Muller–Hinton agar plates. Two 10 μg imipenem disks were placed on agar plates and 10 μL EDTA solution added to one imipenem disc. Inhibition zones around discs with EDTA were measured after 18-24 hours’ incubation at 37°C and compared with others without EDTA. An increase in zone diameter of at least 7 mm around the imipenem–EDTA disc was considered positive results (Chau et al., 2019).

Detection of bla\textsubscript{IMP–1}, MBL gene by PCR
DNA extraction was done by using FavorPrep™ Blood / Cultured Cell Genomic DNA Extraction Mini Kit (FABKG100) (Favorgen/Tiwan). The extracted DNA was subjected to PCR amplification reaction using specific primers for MBL genes (bla\textsubscript{IMP–1}). The DNA amplification was done using GoTaq® Green Master Mix, 2X (M7122) (Promega/USA). The primers were purchased lyophilized; (Macrogen/Korea) and reconstituted by the addition of sterile nuclease free water and stored at –20°C. Forward primer (blaIMP-F): 5’TGAGCAAGTTATCTGTATTC3′ and reverse primer (blaIMP-R): 5’TTAGTTGCTTGGTTTTGATG3′ which annealed at 51°C to give 956 bp amplicon (Spiker et al., 2004).

RESULTS
The results of all 50 isolates grown on cetrimide agar submitted to confirmation by PCR for amplification of P. aeruginosa specific fragment of 16S rDNA revealed that all of them were P. aeruginosa (Fig. 1). Results of antibiotic susceptibility for imipenem and meropenem revealed that 5/50 (10%) and 13/50(26%) of P. aeruginosa isolates were resistant for imipenem and meropenem respectively (Fig. 2). Co-susceptibility (co-

Fig. 1. Agarose gel (1.5% in TBE) electrophoresis for P. aeruginosa specific amplicon of 16S rDNA (956bp)
resistance or co-sensitive) for both imipenem and meropenem are highlighted in Fig. 3. It was revealed that 5/50 (10%) display resistance for both imipenem and meropenem, 8/50(16%) displayed resistance for only meropenem while 37/50(74%) was sensitive for both and none was resistant to imipenem and sensitive for meropenem. Phenotypic detection of Metallo-β-lactamase gave inverse result while the Mean±SD of inhibition zone (mm) for imipenem disc (10μg) =29.34±7.11 and for imipenem+EDTA (10 μg+750 μg)

Table 1. Phenotypic detection of Metallo-β-lactamase among P. aeruginosa isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Inhibition zone (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>29.34±7.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP+EDTA</td>
<td>21.8±4.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=21.8±4.98 as mentioned in Table 1. Results of investigation of bla_{IMP-1} gene among 50 P. aeruginosa isolates revealed that 23/50 (46%) have bla_{IMP-1} (Fig. 4). Phenotypic resistance or sensitive isolate reflected the resistance gene content and so it was involved in routine work to predict resistance gene presence. The results of the relation of genotype to phenotype gave unexpected results when 18/50 (36%) were sensitive for imipenem and meropenem but had bla_{IMP-1} (Fig. 5) and this is the big issue when phenotypically sensitive isolates have a resistance gene.

**DISCUSSION**

The emergence of P. aeruginosa carbapenem-resistant represents a threat to the clinical approach because it decreased susceptibility to a range of antimicrobials and possesses ability to develop resistance to other classes (Morales et al., 2003). The current results reports that the percentages of P. aeruginosa in burns swabs are (36.49%), and this result is higher than in the Iraqi results of Ulabeen in 2016 recorded (8.2%) (Ulabeen, 2016), Al-Rubaye and colloquies in 2020 was (24.27%) (Al-Rubaye et al., 2020). This difference in rate may belong to the level of health care, the speed arrival of patient to the hospital, the patient had taken antibiotics in advance, or may be because of the long hospital hospitalisation. This percentage may increase within 72 hours due to an infection acquired from nosocomial infection due to contact with patients or through using of contaminated instruments that lead to spread these bacteria (Al-Rubaye et al., 2020). Other studies stated that resistance to imipenem 71% and meropenem was 63% (Al-Rubaye et al., 2020) and Azeez and Bakr 2019 showed resistant to imipenem and meropenem was 4% and 20%, respectively (Azeez...
and Bakr, 2019). This variant may have occurred because the random use of antibiotics had an important role in the emergence of resistance to these antibiotics. However, in other countries such as Pakistan, the most common bacterial isolate from burn patients was *P. aeruginosa* with (24.91%) and showed resistance to different antibiotics including imipenem and meropenem (Chaudhary *et al.*, 2019). In United States, most *P. aeruginosa* isolates had resistance to Carbapenems (Jenny and Kingsbury, 2018). In Philippines 31.1% has been reported resistance to imipenem, in Thailand 28.7%, Japan 28.5%, Singapore 23.3%, Korea 22%, and Romania 48%, and in Germany, Austria, Denmark, Finland, French, Luxemburg < 20% (Vaez *et al.*, 2017). In Iran the prevalence of imipenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa strains* was 57.1% in Ardabil (Safarirad *et al.*, 2021). In this study, the results revealed that 5/50 (10%) displayed resistance for both imipenem and meropenem, 8/50(16%) displayed resistance for only meropenem while 37/50(74%) sensitive for both and none was resistant to imipenem and sensitive for meropenem. Furthermore, the results of the investigation of *blaIMP+1* Gene among 50 *P. aeruginosa* isolates revealed that 23/50 (46%) - have *blaIMP+1*, and these results of genotype detection was unidentical with most phenotype method when the results are little invers of expected when found that the *blaIMP+1* present in 36% sensitive isolates to imipenem and meropenem and this issue may be explained as gene silencing and no production of *blaIMP+1* enzyme. The additional unexpected results were the decreasing the inhibition zone upon addition of EDTA to imipenem and it can be explained may be due to decreasing the uptake of IMP-EDTA combination of diminishing the uptake by porins. However, previous studies revealed variant percentages of *blaIMP+1* Prevalence, in Erbil was 57% (Azeez and Bakr, 2019), in Ardabil city was 35.5% (Safarirad *et al.*, 2021), Iranian burn centers 76.8% (Jabalameli *et al.*, 2018) and in Minia, Egypt was 42.8% (Farhan *et al.*, 2019).

Fig. 5. Presence of *blaIMP+1* and Co-susceptibility among *P. aeruginosa isolates*

**Conclusion**

The results concluded implication of *blaIMP+1* gene in resistance to carbapenem and the possibility of presence of a resistance gene among phenotypically sensitive isolates may push a great threat of misuse of antibiotics and leave the infection untreated.
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