Abstract: Diurnal fluctuation of the phytoplankton and zooplankton population (numerical densities) and the physico-chemical attributes of water are studied in an aquaculture pond at Guwahati, Assam. The studied 24-hour diel cycle, the phytoplankton and zooplankton show significant (t-test, p < 0.01) variation in their numerical density at an interval of 4 hours. The studied physico-chemical parameters of water included Temperature, Total Alkalinity (TA), Total Hardness (TH), Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Free Carbon dioxide (FCO) and pH are analyzed concurrently, which reveals a significant fluctuation (t-test, p < 0.01) in the day and night hours. Multivariate correlation analysis portrays significant and positive correlations among the total population density of phytoplankton with respect to water temperature (r = 0.845, p<0.05) while it maintains significant negative correlations with TA (r = -0.837, p< 0.05), TH (r = -0.768, p<0.05) and FCO (r = -0.830, p<0.05). However, total numerical density of zooplankton reveals positive and significant correlations with TA (r = 0.842, p< 0.05) and FCO (r = 0.758, p< 0.05) while it shows significant negative correlations with water temperature (r = -0.906, p< 0.01) and pH (r = -0.912, p< 0.01).
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INTRODUCTION

Diurnal variation in plankton is apparent in tropical as well as temperate water bodies. The phytoplankters are found abundantly in the surface water during the day hours while zooplanktons are copiously found in the night hours. It has been found that diel fluctuation of plankton is guided by a number of physico-chemical parameters and biological components of an aquatic system (Lampert, 1993; Melo and Huszar, 2000, Pinilla, 2006). The light has been considered as the most important factor triggering the diel vertical migration of plankton (Ringelberg and Van Gool, 2003). However, other environmental factors such as temperature (Haney, 1993), dissolved oxygen (Hanazato and Yasuno, 1989; Horpilla et al., 2000) and availability of food (Leibold, 1990) are also involved in regulating such behaviours of plankton. Many zooplankters exhibit diel vertical migration as a defense to avoid predation by larger insects and fishes (Muluk and Bakioglu, 2005; Pasternak et al., 2006). In the presence of fish, they migrate to the deeper water during the day hours, where there is insufficient light and low dissolved oxygen, both of which are unfavourable for the fishes. On the other hand, they (zooplankton) migrate upward and stay near the surface at night, when there is abundant food.

Although a number of studies have been done on the diel dynamics of helooplankton in different parts of the world, such studies are unattended in this geographical region. Therefore the present investigation is aimed to record the diel fluctuation in the numerical densities of heleoplankton groups with respect to the physico-chemical attributes of pond water in an aquaculture tank in Guwahati, Assam.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out during the Monsoon season of 2004 in a freshwater fish pond situated in the Aquaculture site of UGC-SAP (DRS) Project, Department of Zoology, Gauhati University. The pond lies on latitude 26°09'26"N and longitude 91°40'21"E. The surface area of the pond is about 1.4 hac with mean depths during monsoon and retreated period are respectively 2.5 m and 1.2 m. The physico-chemical parameters of water selected in the present investigation in order to study their diel fluctuation are Water Temperature (WT), pH, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Free Carbon dioxide (FCO), Total Alkalinity (TA) and Total Hardness (TH). The samples of water and plankton were collected simultaneously for 24 hours (8 AM of the day to 8 AM of the next day) at an interval of 4 hours.
method of Welch (1948). The phytoplankton were recorded as unit cell per liter while the zooplankton as individual number per liter of the pond water. In case of filamentous and colonial phytoplankters, one filament or one colony was considered as one unit. Identification of the phytoplankton was done up to generic level with the help of standard keys, books and works (Edmondson, 1959; Smith, 1971) while the zooplankton was identified up to the species level by consulting various works (Pennak, 1953; Edmondson, 1959 and Tonapi, 1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the diel cycle of day and night, the surface water temperature of the studied pond showed a day time increase reaching the maximum at 4 PM which declined to the minimum at 4 AM due to the action of overlying wind of night hours (Talling, 2004). Moreover, a positive relationship among water temperature, pH and DO (Table 4) has been discernable in the studied pond. Such a relationship was also reported by Cressa (1993). However, an inverse diurnal trend was exhibited by TA with respect to water temperature (r = -0.915, p< 0.01) and pH (r = -0.822, p< 0.05) in the present observation. Similarly, an inverse correlation was also observed in the case of FCO2 with respect to water temperature (r = -0.854, p< 0.05), DO (r = -0.793, p< 0.05) and pH (r = -0.939, p<0.01).

In the present investigation the significant variation (t-test, p<0.01) in the emergence of phytoplankters during the day hours with their nocturnal decrease in density was clearly instituted. On the other hand, the zooplankters exhibit a significant increase (t-test, p<0.01) in their numerical density during the night hours. Similar trend of diel variation in phytoplankton and zooplankton was reportedly established in fresh water bodies of India (Gaur and Khan, 1995) as well as in different parts of the world (Lauridsen et al., 1999; Easton and Gophen, 2003; Reichwaldt et al., 2005; Nakajima et al., 2008).

Among different phytoplankton groups significant diel variations in phyco-chemical parameters were observed. The diurnal variation of physico-chemical parameters of the Pond water and numerical densities (u.l-1) of phytoplankton and total phytoplankton are reported in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively.

**Table 1.** Diurnal variation of physico-chemical parameters of the Pond water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Total alkalinity (mg.l-1)</th>
<th>Total hardness (mg.l-1)</th>
<th>Water temperature (°C)</th>
<th>FCO2 (mg.l-1)</th>
<th>DO (mg.l-1)</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 Noon</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 PM</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 Midnight</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 AM</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S.E. 3.9966 2.11248 0.656366 0.857143 0.228274 0.301583
S.D. 10.574 5.58911 1.73658 2.26779 0.603955 0.797914
t- test (p) 1.61x10-7 3.06x10-9 7.3x10-9 0.001 1.14x10-7 1.15x10-7
Skewness -0.478674 0.00721378 -0.0776094 0.443958 -0.204386 -0.156916

**Table 2.** Diurnal variation of numerical densities (u.l-1) of phytoplankton groups and total phytoplankton

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Myxophyceae</th>
<th>Chlorophyceae</th>
<th>Euglenineae</th>
<th>Dinophyceae</th>
<th>Bacilleriophyceae</th>
<th>Total phytoplankton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 Noon</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1543</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 PM</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 Midnight</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 AM</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S.E. 112 114 30.2 4 6.5 8.5
S.D. 10.574 5.58911 1.73658 2.26779 0.603955 0.797914
t- test (p) 1.61x10-7 3.06x10-9 7.3x10-9 0.001 1.14x10-7 1.15x10-7
Skewness -0.478674 0.00721378 -0.0776094 0.443958 -0.204386 -0.156916
variation in numerical density (Table 2) is observed in Myxophyceae (t-test, p<0.05), Chlorophyceae (t-test, p<0.01), Euglenineae (t-test, p<0.05) and Dinophyceae (t-test, p<0.01). However, the diel fluctuation in the group Bacilleriophyceae is found insignificant (t-test, p>0.05). Besides, the significant diurnal variation (Table 3) in numerical density is also recorded in the zooplankton groups like Protozoa (t-test, p<0.05), Rotifera (t-test, p<0.01) Copepoda (t-test, p<0.05) and Cladocera (t-test, p<0.01).

In the present investigation altogether seventeen species of phytoplankters are found to exhibit more or less diurnal fluctuation in their population density, of which Microsystis sp., Trachelomonas sp., Lyngbya sp. and Staurastrum sp. register their highest density at 8 am (Fig. 4). Higher density of Lyngbya hieronymusii and Staurastrum anatinum during the morning hours is also reported by Goswami (1985) while Verma (1967) recorded maximum density of Trachelomonas at 9 am. Morning abundance of Microsystis sp. with subsequent decrease in the afternoon
during the day hours corroborates the findings of Takamura and Yasuno (1984). Contrary to this, some researchers recorded maximum density of *Mycrosetis aeruginosa* at 12 noon and 4 pm (Gaur and Khan, 1995). However, the density of many phytoplankters namely, *Nostoc* sp., *Cosmarium* sp., *Closterium* sp., *Euglena* sp., *Ceratium* sp., *Peridinium* sp., *Navicula* sp., *Frustulia* sp., *Asterionella* sp. and *Cyclotella* sp. in the present study have increased from the morning hours to reach the peak at around 4 pm (Fig. 4). Moreover, three species namely *Spirulina* sp. and *Volvox* sp. exhibit their maximum abundance at 12 noon (Fig. 4). More or less similar trends are also reported by Goswami (1985). On the other hand, the present observation does not corroborate the findings of Verma (1967) who reported the maximum density of *Euglena* in surface water at noon hours and Blaauboer (1982) who accounted the maximum density of *Ceratium hirudinella* during the evening and night hours.
Fig. 4. Diurnal variation of numerical densities (u.l \-1) of phytoplankton species.

Fig. 5. Diurnal variation of numerical densities (n.l \-1) of zooplankton species.

with subsequent decrease in the morning hours. In addition to this, the trend of diurnal fluctuation of zooplankton groups is different according to its major constituent members, the quantitative variation of which eventually determined the density of the whole zooplankton community. In general, the Brachionoids except Keratella exhibit an increase in their density from the evening and attaining peak at around 4 am which gradually decrease during the day hours and maintain the trough period at the mid day hours (Fig. 5). Contrary to this, an increase in the density of Keratella is discernible in the studied pond during the day hours (Fig. 5) corroborating the findings of Zanatta et al. (2007). However, Kemdirim (2000) did not find diel movement in Keratella and Hexarthra sp. in Kangimi reservoir, Nigeria. In the present study, Copepods and Cladocerans tend to produce their maximum density mostly during the mid night hours (Fig. 3) Chang and Hanazato (2004) also reported a two or three times increase of Mesocyclop sp. density at the midnight hours over the day time density. Muluk and Beklioglu (2005) reported a significant increase in the Daphnia population during the night hours. The causative factors for diel movements of plankters are a complex phenomenon (Welch, 1952). Although light intensity is considered to be the principal factor (Kemdirim, 2000, Pinilla, 2006) having control over the vertical migration of limnoplankton, the actual causes of such migration still appear speculative. Reichwaldt et al. (2005) reported that the diel vertical migration of Daphnia spp. is effected by the fluctuation of water temperature. Moreover, in fish ponds, plankton are highly influenced by the predation pressure of planktivorus and omnivorus fishes (Sarvala et al., 1998; Tatarai et al., 2003; Pasternak et al., 2006). Easton and Gophen (2003) on the other hand opined that diel vertical migration do not substantially reduce the predation risk of zooplankton prey with the fish. In present study, total population density of phytoplankton exhibited positive and significant correlations with water temperature (r= 0.845, p<0.05) and
pH (r = 0.881, p<0.01) while it maintained significant negative correlations with TA (r = -0.837, p<0.05), TH (r = -0.768, p<0.05) and FCO₂ (r = -0.830, p<0.05). In contrast, total numerical density of zooplankton revealed positive and significant correlations with TA (r = 0.842, p<0.05) and FCO₂ (r = 0.758, p<0.05) while it showed significant negative correlations with water temperature (r = -0.906, p<0.01) and pH (r = -0.912, p<0.01). In conclusion, the present investigation portrays different degrees of the diel fluctuation in the population densities of phytoplankton and zooplankton groups in an aquaculture tank in this subtropical region. Further, the physicochemical parameters such as water temperature, DO, FCO₂, TA show significant variation in the studied pond at different times of the day. Multivariate correlation analysis performed in the present study reveals an important information regarding the correlation of diel dynamics of helioplankton with some physico-chemical attributes of pond water.
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