

# Studies on site specific nutrient management (SSNM) on hybrid sunflower seed production in Southern Karnataka

# D. H. Patil\*, M. A. Shankar, Y. G. Shadakshari and N. Krishnamurthy

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Gandhi Krishi Vigyan Kendra, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore-560065 (Karnataka), INDIA

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: dhpatil\_uas@rediffmail.com

Received: September 9, 2017; Revised received: November 11, 2017; Accepted: February 13, 2018

**Abstract:** A field experiment was conducted at the Main Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Hebbal, Bangalore, to know the effect of Site Specific Nutrient Management (SSNM) on growth (plant height (cm), Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>), leaf area index (LAI) and dry matter production (g plant-<sup>1</sup>) and yield (capitulum diameter (cm), No. of filled seeds, 1000 seed weight (g) and seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of hybrid sunflower seed production. The study indicated that significantly higher growth parameters *viz.*, plant height (155.4 cm), leaf area (1293.10cm<sup>-2</sup>), leaf area index (0.69) and total dry matter accumulation (88.16 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded with SSNM for 1.2 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> + Farm Yard Manure (FYM) as compared to recommended practice (Recommended Dose of Fertilizer 62.50:75:62.50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>). The application of fertilizers based on SSNM for a target yield of 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM resulted in significantly higher yield and yield attributes compared to the only application of RDF + FYM. The important yield parameters recorded that were significantly higher were *viz.*, head diameter (19.89 cm) number of filled seeds per head (353.24), seed weight per plant (19.76 g), 1000 seed weight (43.72 g), hybrid seed yield (1003 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Keywords: Growth, Productivity, Site specific nutrition, Sunflower, Yield

# **INTRODUCTION**

Sunflower is an introduced oilseed crop which has made much impact and is gaining more importance in recent years. In India, it is cultivated over an area of 4.7 lakh hectares producing 4.3 lakh tons with a productivity of 697 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous 2016-17). The major states that grow sunflower include are Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu. Globally it is cultivated on 250 lakh hectares with a production of 340 lakh tons having the productivity of 1391 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Rai *et al.* 2016) World major sunflower production comes from Ukraine, Russia, European Union, Argentina and china. Sunflower is a drought tolerant crop due to its deep tap root, which makes it the best substitute for all rain fed crops. Sunflower crop being a short duration (95-100 days), it is adaptable to different conditions. It can be grown in all the seasons (kharif, rabi and summer) with medium fertile to high fertile soils. Besides, it yields high quality oil. The productivity of sunflower has decreased from 737 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (2014 - 15) to 697 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (2015-16) inspite of using the higher levels of inputs like better genotypes, fertilizers and pesticides (Anonymous, 2015). The average crop yields in farmers' fields are much lower compared to potential yield. The lower productivity of sunflower is mainly due to non availability of good

quality seeds, technological constraints in crop husbandry, nutritional constraints, crop protection constraints and socio economic constraints as reported by Kannan et al. (2011), Deshpande (2012) and Komol Singh et al. (2015). Out of these, availability of best quality genotype and better crop nutrition are very important for higher yield. Among the various approaches for crop nutrition, the targeted yield approach has been found to be highly popular in India. As the production potential of sunflower crop is much higher than the present average on the farmers' field, there is a scope to increase the production by matching with balanced nutrition through soil testing and crop demand. The optimal N, P and K doses (Recommended Dose of Fertilizer 62.50:75:62.50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) based on soil testing would help not only in attaining desired target yields but also to maintain soil health over a period of time. In view of above situation, a field experiment was planned to develop a schedule for better nutrient management to maximize the hybrid sunflower seed yield based on soil test values for attaining different yield targets under rainfed Alfisols of Karnataka.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments were carried out for two seasons during 2013 and 2014 at the Main Research Station,

ISSN: 0974-9411 (Print), 2231-5209 (Online) | journals.ansfoundation.org

University of Agricultural Sciences, Hebbal, Bangalore. The experiment was laid-out with Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). There were nine treatments and three replications. Composite soil samples were collected from the experimental field before sowing, and they were analyzed for important physical and chemical characteristics (coarse sand, fine sand, silt, clay, pH, EC, bulk density, organic carbon, available N, available  $P_2O_5$ , available  $K_2O$ , available S, available Zn and available B. The results of the analysis and the methods followed are presented in table 1. The textural class of the experimental site was red sandy loam having medium fertility status. The soil was neutral in pH and free from excess salts, medium in organic carbon, low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorous and potassium. Based on these soil test values by following the principles of site specific nutrient management, the chemical fertilizers were applied. Irrigation was provided to the crop once in 15 days based on the need for the crop. The FYM was applied as per the treatment before two weeks of sowing. Both male and female parents (CMS 234 A and RHA 6D-1) were obtained from AICRP on sunflower scheme, Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Gandhi Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Bangalore and were sown separately. At the time of flowering, male sunflower heads were covered with cloth bags to avoid pollen theft by insects. In the morning pollen was collected in the petriplate from each sunflower head and with the help of brush hand pollination was done to individual flowers in female parent (234 A). The process was continued till flowering and seed setting was complete. Plant biometric parameters viz., plant height, leaf area, leaf area index, dry matter production, days to fifty percent flowering, days to maturity, capitulam diameter, the total number of seeds, number of filled seeds, percent seed filling, 1000 seed weight, hybrid seed yields were recorded at regular interval. Yield attributes were recorded at the time of harvest. The results were analyzed by using standard procedures.

**Criteria for deciding SSNM levels:** International plant Nutrition Institute (IPNI) developed standards for Nutrient removal by each crop. According to IPNI, the nutrient removal by groundnut is 58.1:19.6:30.1 NPK kg t<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous, 2006). Therefore Nutrient to be applied for groundnut considering the above removal for 2.5 tha<sup>-1</sup> is as: N:  $58.1 \times 2.5 = 145.25$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> : 19.6 X 2.5 = 49.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; K<sub>2</sub>O :  $30.1 \times 2.5 = 75.25$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Further taking the supply factor into consideration, (1) if soil nutrient rating is medium - apply exactly removal quantity, (2) If soil nutrient rating is low - apply 30 % more and (3) If soil nutrient rating is high - apply 30 % less.

	Methodology of	2012	<u><u> </u></u>
Particulars	Measurement	- 2013	Status
I. Mechanical composition			
1. Coarse sand (%)		54.19	
2. Fine sand (%)		26.03	
3. Silt (%)	International pipette method (Piper, 1966)	9.16	
4. Clay (%)		10.62	
5. Soil type		Sandy loam	
II. Chemical properties			
1. pH (1:2.5)	Buckman's Zerb metric pH meter(Piper, 1966)	6.7	Neutral
2. EC $(1:2.5)$ (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	Conductometry (Jackson, 1967)	0.23	Low
3. Bulk density $(g/cm^3)$	Core sampler method (Piper, 1966)	1.39	-
4. Organic carbon (%)	Walkley and Black Wet digestion method (Jackson, 1973)	0.66	Medium
5. Available N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Alkaline permanganate method (Subbaiah and Asija, 1959)	239.6	Low
6. Available $P_2O_5(kg ha^{-1})$	Olsen's method (Jackson, 1973)	22.30	Medium
7. Available $K_2O$ (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Neutral normal ammonium acetate method (Jackson, 1973)	190.54	Medium
8. Available S (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Turbidometry (Jackson, 1973)	14.69	Medium
9. Available Zn (ppm)	DTPA extractant method (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978)	0.53	Low
10. Available B (ppm)	Carmine red method (Hatcher and Wilcox, 1950).	0.46	Low
The standard values for classif	fication of nutriants as neutral low and medium are as under		

 Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of soil in the groundnut and sunflower experimental field at Main Research Station,

 Hebbal, Bangalore.

	,			
Dantianlan	Range of va	alues for classification	1	
rarucular	Low	Medium	High	
Organic carbon	0.5	0.5-0.75	> 0.75	
2. Available N (kg ha <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	280	280-560	> 560.0	
3. Available $P_2O_5$ (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	22.5	22.5-55.0	>55.0	
4. Available $K_2O$ (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	144	144-336	>336.0	
5. Available S (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	< 10	10-20	>20.0	
6. Available Zn (ppm)	< 0.6	0.6-1.2	>1.2	
7. Available B (ppm)	< 0.33	0.33-1.0	> 1.0	

Table 1. Growth parameters of sunflower a	is influence	ed by site	specific nu	trient manag	gement (SSN	M) under ir	rigated co	ndition.				
						Growt	h parame	ters				
Treatments	Plan	t height	(cm)	Le	af area (cm	- <sup>-</sup> )	Le	af area in	dex	Dry matter p	oroduction	(g plant <sup>-1</sup> )
	2013	2014	Pooled	2013	2014	Pooled	2013	2014	Pooled	2013	2014	Pooled
$T_1 - RDF + FYM$	145.4	140.1	142.7	1036.92	978.00	1007.46	0.57	0.54	0.56	73.22	77.90	75.56
$T_2$ - SSNM for 0.6 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	134.1	129.2	131.6	837.77	792.00	814.89	0.47	0.44	0.45	62.22	67.96	65.09
$T_3$ - SSNM for 0.8 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	142.0	136.8	139.4	947.65	894.00	920.82	0.52	0.50	0.51	63.98	75.56	69.77
$T_4$ - SSNM for 1.0 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	150.0	144.2	147.1	1170.06	1104.00	1137.03	0.65	0.61	0.63	80.41	82.19	81.30
$T_{5}$ - SSNM for 1.2 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	154.5	150.4	152.4	1270.40	1200.00	1235.20	0.68	0.67	0.68	85.78	87.13	86.46
$T_6$ - SSNM for 0.6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM	142.4	137.0	139.7	999.87	943.00	971.44	0.56	0.53	0.54	64.43	76.02	70.23
$T_7$ -SSNM for 0.8 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM	148.0	142.6	145.3	1091.85	1026.00	1058.93	0.61	0.57	0.59	67.04	78.56	72.80
$T_8$ - SSNM for 1.0 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM	150.3	144.8	147.6	1194.86	1131.00	1162.93	0.66	0.64	0.65	81.67	83.11	82.39
T <sub>9</sub> -SSNM for 1.2 t ha <sup><math>-1</math></sup> + Rec. FYM	157.3	153.5	155.4	1332.20	1254.00	1293.10	0.69	0.70	0.69	87.51	88.82	88.16
S.Em.+	3.17	2.73	2.88	55.067	52.122	53.37	0.031	0.0284	0.03	3.18	2.90	2.97
C.D. (P=0.05)	9.50	8.2	8.28	165.1	156.27	153.40	0.09	0.08	0.09	9.52	8.68	8.52
C. V.	10.3	8.6	9.45	12.4	9.5	10.95	8.9	11.2	10.05	8.5	10.8	9.65
ו משור בי בימאי ויו וווין אבורטוו ווס אנוווש מוו	u uays tu u		nwolline		de nie ka na		Da	vec) mour		ungaroa condi	1011.	
Treatments				50% f	lowering					Maturity		
			2013	20	14	Poole	q	20	13	2014	Pc	oled
$T_1 - RDF + FYM$			61.39	63.	.85	62.62	0	.66	36	103.33	10	01.35
$T_2$ - SSNM for 0.6 t ha <sup>-1</sup>			59.36	61.	.73	60.55		96.	61	100.47	6	8.54
$T_3$ - SSNM for 0.8 t ha <sup>-1</sup>		-	60.15	62.	.56	61.35		.66	01	102.97	10	0.99
T <sub>4</sub> - SSNM for 1.0 t ha <sup>-1</sup>		-	62.89	65.	.41	64.15	10	101	59	105.65	10	13.62
$T_5$ - SSNM for 1.2 t ha <sup>-1</sup>		-	63.53	99	.07	64.8(	0	102	.35	106.44	10	)4.40
$T_6$ - SSNM for 0.6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM		-	60.48	62	.90	61.69	•	99.	42	103.40	10	1.41
$T_7$ - SSNM for 0.8 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM		-	61.58	64.	.04	62.81		.66	73	103.72	10	01.72
$T_8$ -SSNM for 1.0 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM		-	63.21	65.	.74	64.48	~	101	.73	105.80	10	13.77
T <sub>9</sub> - SSNM for 1.2 t $ha^{-1}$ + Rec. FYM		-	63.61	.99	.15	64.88	~	102	69	106.80	10	)4.75
S.Em. <u>+</u>			0.66	0.0	69	0.65		0.8	90	0.89	0	.85
C.D. (P=0.05)			1.97	2.0	05	1.87		2.5	L.	2.67	(1	2.43
C. V.			10.5	12	2.8	11.65	2	12	.6	11.9	1.	2.25
RDF – 62.50:75:62.50 kg NPK ha-1; S <sup>*</sup> 82.30:19.11:56.21 kg NPK ha-1; SSNM	SNM for 0. for 1.2 t ha	6 t ha-1 – -1 – 98.7	49.37:11.4 5:22.92:67	16:33.72 kg .44 kg NPK	NPK ha-1; ha-1;FY	SSNM fo M - 7.5 t h	r 0.8 t ha- a-1	1 – 65.83:	15.28:44.96	kg NPK ha-1;	SSNM for	1.0 t ha-1 –

D. H. Patil et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 10(1): 379 - 385 (2018)

381

under	
(WN	
(SS)	
ment	
inage	
nt ma	
ntrie	
ific r	
spec	
y site	
ced b	
fluen	
as in:	
ower	
sunfle	
lg of	
fillin	
seed	
ercent	
nd pe	
eds a	
led se	
of fill	
nber	
s, nur	
seed	
er of	
humb	
total	
neter,	
dian	
tulum	tion.
Capi	condi
ble 3.	igated

	Yield pa	rameters										
Treatments	Capitulı	um diamet	ter (cm)	Total no.	of seeds he	ad <sup>-1</sup>	No. of fil	led seeds		Per cent	t seed filli	ng
	2013	2014	Pooled	2013	2014	Pooled	2013	2014	Pooled	2013	2014	Pooled
$T_1 - RDF + FYM$	16.32	18.05	17.19	444.94	477.59	461.27	223.31	251.47	237.39	50.11	52.37	51.24
$T_{2}$ - SSNM for 0.6 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	14.63	16.09	15.36	406.85	414.22	410.54	152.54	165.86	159.20	37.02	40.06	38.54
$T_{3}$ - SSNM for 0.8 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	15.34	16.87	16.11	438.50	472.88	455.69	183.93	230.73	207.33	41.78	48.43	45.11
$T_4$ - SSNM for 1.0 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	17.57	19.33	18.45	489.36	513.97	501.66	286.75	304.96	295.85	58.65	59.37	59.01
$T_{5}$ - SSNM for 1.2 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	18.35	20.19	19.27	509.52	548.43	528.98	315.12	353.05	334.08	61.84	64.36	63.10
$T_6$ -SSNM for 0.6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM	16.02	17.62	16.82	439.98	473.44	456.71	187.12	239.02	213.07	42.46	49.92	46.19
$T_7$ -SSNM for 0.8 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM	16.13	18.08	17.10	445.33	476.59	460.96	235.00	256.02	245.51	52.74	53.73	53.24
$T_8$ -SSNM for 1.0 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM	17.75	19.41	18.58	491.07	514.15	502.61	293.87	310.70	302.28	59.63	60.33	59.98
$T_9$ - SSNM for 1.2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM	18.94	20.83	19.89	524.76	564.64	544.70	332.13	374.35	353.24	63.30	66.38	64.84
S.Em. <u>+</u>	0.51	0.64	0.57	12.53	19.73	16.57	24.07	25.65	26.27	3.4356	2.41	2.89
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.56	1.90	1.63	37.56	59.14	47.63	72.15	76.89	75.49	10.3	7.21	8.30
C. V.	8.3	10.4	9.35	12.1	10.6	11.35	12.3	10.6	11.45	11.2	9.4	10.3
RDF – 62 50.75.62 50 ko NPK ha-1.	SSNM for (	) 6 t ha-1 —	4037.1140	6-33 72 ko N	JPK ha-1	SSNM for	0 8 t ha-1 –	65 83-15 28	.44 96 ko NI	oK ha-1	SSNM fo	·10 t ha-1 -
82.30:19.11:56.21 kg NPK ha-1; SSNN	M for 1.2 th	a-1 – 98.7	5:22.92:67.4	14 kg NPK	ha-1; FYN	A - 7.5 t ha-	1	07.01.00.00	1 9 0/11 -	(T 1) T 1		1 111 1 0.1
Table 4. 1000 seed weight, hybrid seed y	/ield, stalk y	ield and ha	urvest index	of sunflowe	r as influenc	ed by site sp	ecific nutrie	nt managem	ent (SSNM)	under irrig	ated cond	tion.

I able 4. 1000 seea weight, nyoria seea yie	au, staik yieiu	and narvest	index of suni	lower as in	inuencea o	y sue specifi	c nument r	nanagemer	IN (ININICC) II	nder Itrigal	ea conatuc	n.
						<b>Yield paran</b>	neters					
Treatments	1000	) Seed weigh	it (g)	Hybrid	seed yield	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stal	lk yield (kg	g ha <sup>-1</sup> )	H	larvest ind	ex
	2013	2014	Pooled	2013	2014	Pooled	2013	2014	Pooled	2013	2014	Pooled
$T_1 - RDF + FYM$	39.77	41.42	40.60	825	769	<i>L6L</i>	1712	1715	1714	0.32	0.31	0.32
$T_2$ - SSNM for 0.6 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	38.53	40.01	39.27	709	633	671	1544	1547	1546	0.31	0.29	0.30
$T_{3}$ - SSNM for 0.8 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	38.63	40.2	39.42	787	726	757	1621	1624	1623	0.33	0.31	0.32
$T_4$ - SSNM for 1.0 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	41.6	42.64	42.12	894	897	895	1771	1774	1772	0.33	0.33	0.33
T <sub>5</sub> - SSNM for 1.2 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	43.41	43.35	43.38	964	696	996	1856	1860	1858	0.34	0.34	0.34
$T_6$ - SSNM for 0.6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM	39.26	40.24	39.75	810	744	LLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLL	1635	1638	1637	0.33	0.31	0.32
$T_7$ - SSNM for 0.8 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM	41.33	41.34	41.34	834	<i><b>779</b></i>	806	1750	1753	1752	0.32	0.31	0.31
$T_8$ - SSNM for 1.0 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Rec. FYM	42.12	42.91	42.52	918	921	920	1807	1811	1809	0.34	0.34	0.34
T9 - SSNM for 1.2 t ha-1 + Rec. FYM	43.8	43.63	43.72	993	1013	1003	1882	1886	1884	0.34	0.35	0.35
S.Em. <u>+</u>	0.78	0.51	0.66	35.11	39.97	36.43	36.21	43.655	39.05	0.01	0.021	0.02
C.D. (P=0.05)	2.35	1.50	1.89	105.27	119.80	109.30	108.57	130.88	112.23	NS	NS	NS
C.V.	8.6	10.5	9.55	11.2	10.9	11.05	10.4	12.6	10.5	12.6	14.3	13.45
RDF – 62.50:75:62.50 kg NPK ha-1; SS 82.30:19.11:56.21 kg NPK ha-1; SSNM f	SNM for 0.6 t for 1.2 t ha-1	ha-1 – 49.37 – 98.75:22.9	7:11.46:33.72 2:67.44 kg1	kg NPK NPK ha-1	ha-1; SS] ; FYM – 7	NM for 0.8 1 7.5 t ha-1	: ha-1 – 65.	.83:15.28:4	4.96 kg NPK	c ha-1; S	SNM for 1	0 t ha-1 –

D. H. Patil et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 10(1): 379 - 385 (2018)

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Growth components of sunflower: Application of SSNM for a target yield of 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM (T<sub>9</sub>) recorded significantly higher plant height (155.4 cm) over recommended package (T1:RDF+ FYM) which recorded significantly lower plant height (142.7 cm). There was 8.9 per cent increase in the plant height due to the application of fertilizers based on SSNM compared to a recommended package. This increase in plant height may be due to greater availability of nutrients that helped in the metabolic processes of the plant leading to greater cell division, elongation and dry matter production there by increasing the plant height. Similar results have been reported by Ram et al. (1992) in sunflower and Anand (2010) in sunflower and maize. The results are also in line with the findings of Biradar et al. (2016) in rice, Indu Bala (2016) in maize, Neha Sahu (2017) in maize, Sinha (2016) in maize and Anand et al. (2017) in maize crops.

Significantly higher leaf area (1293.10 cm<sup>-2</sup>) of sunflower (28.4 per cent increase) was obtained with application of SSNM for a target yield of 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM (T<sub>9</sub>) over recommended package (T<sub>1</sub>:RDF+ FYM) which indicated significantly lower leaf area (1007.46 cm<sup>-2</sup>). Significantly lower leaf area index was obtained in  $T_2(0.45)$ . Significantly higher leaf area index was obtained with  $T_9$  (SSNM for 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>+ FYM) (0.69) over other treatments. Application of SSNM for 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM (T<sub>9</sub>) recorded significantly higher total dry matter production (88.16 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) compared to  $T_1(75.56 \text{ g plant}^{-1})$ . The extent of increase in dry matter production was 16.67 per cent over  $T_{1}$ Leaf area index is the green leaf area available per unit ground surface area. LAI is used to predict and measure the photosynthetic production area of plant system, evapotranspiration and as a reference tool for crop growth. LAI plays an essential role in plants food synthesis. A plant should have higher leaf area index for higher productivity. Higher the leaf area higher is the photosynthesis and higher crop productivity (Breda, 2003). The favourable effect of optimum nutrition on higher dry matter distribution in leaf, stem and capitulum has resulted in higher total dry matter production. This increase could also be attributed to the positive effect of farm yard manure along with NPK in increasing the nutrients uptake leading to higher dry matter production. Similar results have been reported by Ram et al. (1992) in sunflower, Shivaprasad et al. (1996) in sunflower Sarmah et al. (2000) in sunflower, Thavaprakash (2000) in sunflower and Anand (2010) in sunflower and maize.

Application of SSNM for 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM (T<sub>9</sub>) recorded significantly (P=0.05) more number days to 50 per cent flowering (64.88 days) and days to maturity (104.75 days) compared to T<sub>1</sub> (RDF + FYM) (62.62 and 101.35 days to 50 per cent flowering and maturity

respectively). In the present study under irrigation, the supply of optimum and balanced nutrients along with FYM created a favourable environment for plant growth which enabled the plant to grow luxuriantly and put up maximum vegetative growth before they enter the reproductive phase. Thus, under assured nutrition environment extending the vegetative phase by putting better growth and delaying flowering as well as translocation of assimilates to sink by delaying maturity. Similar results have been reported by Tripathiand Kalra (1981) in sunflower, Tomar *et al.* (1997) in sunflower, Thavaprakash (2000) in sunflower, Singh *et al.* (2016) in rice, Singh *et al.* (2017) in Fice and wheat and Basavanneppa *et al.* (2016) in Bt cotton.

Yield components of sunflower: In the present study, application of SSNM for target yield of 1.2 t  $ha^{-1}$  + FYM (T<sub>9</sub>) produced significantly (P=0.05) higher hybrid seed yield (1003 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stalk yield (1883 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) over recommended package ( $T_1$ :RDF+ FYM) which gave significantly lower seed yield (797 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) and stalk yield (1714kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The yield increase in  $T_9$ over  $T_1$  was in the magnitude of 25.83%. This was mainly due to the application of a balanced and optimum quantity of nutrients at the root zone enabled the crop to utilize and put higher total dry matter accumulation which translocated in seeds (Mahesh et al., 2017 and Qureshi et al. (2016) in rice and wheat crops. This might have contributed to the increase in the yield attributes. Favourable influences on the yield attributes, in turn, contributed to the significant increase in hybrid seed yield. Similar reports of an increase in yield were noticed by Mishra et al. (1995), Reddy and Sudhakarababu (1997) and Biradar et al. (2016).

An analysis of yield attributing characters revealed that application of SSNM for a target yield of 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM  $(T_9)$  produced significantly (P=0.05) higher yield attributing characters compared to  $T_1(RDF +$ FYM). Significantly higher head diameter (19.89 cm) and a number of filled seeds (353.24) were recorded with the application of SSNM for a target yield of 1.2 t  $ha^{-1}$  + FYM (T<sub>9</sub>). Thus higher per cent of seed filling (64.84) and thousand seed weight (44.72 g) were recorded with  $T_9$  compared to  $T_1$ . Thus the significant difference in the performance of yield attributes was observed due to the differential application of nutrients to different treatments based on SSNM approach. Thus there was the optimum quantity of nutrients at the root zone of the crop  $(T_9)$  with SSNM approach making it available to plants and subsequent assimilation leading to better translocation of photosynthates from vegetative to reproductive parts. Similar results on yield attributing parameters have been reported by Tamak et al. (1997) in sunflower, Devidayal and Agarwal (1999) in sunflower, Singh et al. (2016) in rice and Anand et al. (2017) in maize. The harvest index (HI) did not differ significantly due to the application of fertilizers based on SSNM treatment which may be

due to the proportionate production of seed and stalk yields in sunflower. The results are in line with Anand *et al.* (2017) in maizeand Mahesh *et al.* (2017) in rape-seed and mustard crops.

The economic evaluation of SSNM in sunflower revealed that maximum net returns were obtained in application of SSNM for a target yield of 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub> : Rs. 64480 ha<sup>-1</sup>). Higher B: C ratio was also obtained with application of SSNM for a target yield of 1.2t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub> : 3.28) due to higher economic yields obtained in these treatments. But the application of Rec. NPK + FYM (T<sub>1</sub>) recorded lowest B: C ratio (2.34). The cost incurred on FYM application reduced the net returns and B : C ratio in this treatment. Similar economic benefits have been reported by Prasad and Singh (2002) in sunflower, Thavaprakash and Malligawad (2002) in sunflower, Reddy *et al.* (2002) in sunflower and Anand (2010) in chickpea and maize.

#### Conclusion

The present study concluded that application of fertilizers based on SSNM for a target yield of 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Recommended FYM recorded significantly higher growth parameters viz., plant height (155.4 cm), leaf area (1293.1 cm<sup>2</sup>), leaf area index (0.69), dry matter production (88.16 g plant<sup>-1</sup>). The yield parameters included capitulam diameter (19.89 cm), total number of seeds (544.7), number of filled seeds (353.24), per cent seed filling (64.84), thousand seed weight (43.72 g) and hybrid seed yield of sunflower (1003 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to recommended dose of fertilizer. Thus, the application of FYM along with SSNM in sunflower improved the yield and quality of sunflower. Higher seed yield, net returns and B: C ratio of sunflower were realized with application of fertilizer based on Site Specific Nutrient Management. The application of fertilizer based on SSNM approach would not only increase crop yield but also help in reducing excess fertilizer use.

### REFERENCES

- Anand, S. R. (2010). Site specific nutrient management (SSNM) for maximization of crop productivity in southern Karnataka. *Ph.D. Thesis*, UAS, Bangalore.
- Anand, S.R., Vishwanatha J. and Rajkumar, R.H. (2017). Site specific nutrient management using "nutrient expert" for hybrid maize (Zea mays L.) under zero tillage in Thungabhadra project (TBP) command area of Karnataka. *International Journal of Current Microbiology* and Applied Sciences, 6(8): 3597-3605.
- Anonymous (2006). A manual for the implementation and evaluation of Site-Specific Nutrient management in field crops, International Plant Nutrition Institute.
- Anonymous (2015). Annual Report, Department of agriculture, cooperation and farmers welfare, Ministry of agriculture and farmers welfare, Government of India, Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi

- Anonymous (2016-17). Annual Report, Department of agriculture, cooperation and farmers welfare, Ministry of agriculture and farmers welfare, Government of India, Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi.
- Basavanneppa, M. A., Biradar, D. P., Aladakatti, Y.R. and Satyanarayan, T., 2016, Response of bt cotton to site specific nutrient management in irrigated ecosystem. *International Journal of Science and Nature*, 7 (2): 286 -290.
- Biradar, D.P., Aladakatti, Y.R., Rao, and Tiwari, K.W., 2016, Site specific nutrient management for maximization of crop productivity in Northern Karnataka. *Better Crops International*, 90(3): 33-35.
- Breda, N., 2003, Ground-based measurements of leaf area index: A review of methods, instruments and current controversies. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 54: 2403 –2417.
- Deshpande, R. S. (2012). Evaluation of oilseed production programme in Karnataka, Research Project conducted in Institute for Social and economic Change, Bangalore (Karnataka).
- Devidayal, P. and Agarwal, S. K. (1999). Performance of sunflower Performance of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) genotypes at varying levels of nitrogen fertilization during spring season. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 13: 258-259.
- Hatcher, J.T. and Wilcox, L.V. (1950). Calorimetric determination of boron using carmine. *Analytical Chem.*, 22: 567-569.
- Indu Bala, Sethi Singh and V. K. Singh (2016). Approaches for resource conservation towards improving nutrient use efficiency. *Popular Kheti*, 5 (1): 82-92.
- Jackson, M.L. (1967). Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India, Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. P. 498.
- Jackson, M.L.(1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. pp 1-492
- Kannan, P., Elumalai, H. and Sujata Sundaram (2011). Analysis of trends in India's agricultural growth. Working paper 276, Institute for Social and Economic Change, Bangalore.
- Komol Singha, Parmod Kumar and Kedar Vishnu (2015). Problems and prospects of sunflower production: Agricultural development and rural transformation centre institute for social and economic change Bangalore. *Research Report: IX / 154-169*
- Lindsay, W.L. and norwel, W.A. (1978). Development of DTPA soil test for Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu. *Soil Sci.Soc. American J.*,42: 421-428.
- Mahesh, C. M., Dwivedi, B. S. and Datta, S. P. (2017). Sitespecific nutrient management is a key for enhancing productivity of rapeseed-mustard in India. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*, 8 (2): 95-105
- Mishra, A., Dash, P. and Palkaray, R.K. (1995). Yield and nutrient uptake by winter sunflower (*Helianthus annusL.*) as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorous. *Indian Journal Agron.*, 40(1):137-138.
- Neha Sahu, V.N. Mishra, L.K. Srivastava and Gaurav Jatav (2017). Crop Response based Assessment of Limiting Nutrients using Site Specific Nutrient Management for Yield Maximization in Vertisols of Bemetara District of Chhattisgarh, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 6(6): 1784-1791.

- Patil, A. G., Halepyati, A. S. and Chittapur, B. M. (2016). Influence of site specific nutrient management on growth and yield of soybean in north eastern transitional zone of Karnataka. *The bioscan*, 11(4): 2651-2654.
- Piper, C.S. (1966). Soil and Plant Analysis, Academic Press New York, p. 368
- Prasad, K. and Singh, L. (2002). Effect of fertility levels and incorporation of potato haulms on yield and profit of sunflower. *Crop Res.*, 20: 541-543.
- Qureshi A., Singh D.K., Pandey P.C., Singh V.P. and Raverkar K.P. (2016). Site specific nutrient management approaches for enhancing productivity and profitability in rice and wheat under rice-wheat cropping system. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 8 (54): 2838-2842.
- Rai, S. K., DeekshaCharak and Rajeev Bharat (2016). Scenario of oilseed crops across the globe. *Plant Archives*16 (1): 125-132.
- Ram, G., Patel, J.K., Chaurra, N.K. and Choudharry, K.K. (1992). Single and combined effect of bio, organic and inorganic fertilizers on yield of sunflower and soil properties under rainfed condition. *Advances in Plant Science*,5(1): 161-167.
- Reddy, B.N. and Sudhakarababu (1997). Effect of levels of nutrients on sunflower and their residual effect on productivity, economics, land use and profit efficiency of *rabi* crops in sequence. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 14(1): 47-50.
- Reddy, S.S., Yadahalli, Y.H., Kumar, V.K., Kumar, O. and Boraiah, B. (2002). Effect of fertilizer, gypsum and boron on dry matter accumulation, yield and nutrient content in sunflower hybrids. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 15(3): 569-572.
- Sarmah, P. C., Katyal, S. K. and Debnath, M. C. (2000). Effect of fertility levels and plant populations on some physiological parameters of sunflower. *Crop Research*, 19:391-397.

- Shivaprasad, M., Sheelavantar, M.N., Kulkarni, B.S., Harish Shenoy and Satish Patil (1996). Effect of sowing dates and phosphorous levels on performance of *rabi irrigat*ed sunflower. *Farming Systems*, 12 (1&2): 30-36.
- Singh, A. K. Gautam, U. S. Singh, S. R. and Singh, Jai (2017). Performance of site-specific nutrient management in rice-wheat cropping system. *The bioscan*, 11 (3): 1719-1723.
- Singh, V.K., Majumdar, K., Singh, M.P., Raj Kumar, and Gangwar. B. (2016). Maximizing productivity and profit through site-specific nutrient management in ricebased cropping systems. *Better Crops*, 95 (2): 23-29.
- Sinha, A.K. (2016). Effect of site specific nutrient management on production and productivity of maize (Zea mays L.) under mid hill condition of Chhattisgarh. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 11(2): 167-170.
- Subbaiah, B.Y. and Asija, G.L. (1959). A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci.*, 25: 259-260.
- Tamak, J.C., Sharma, H.C. and Singh, K.P. (1997). Effect of phosphorous, sulfur and boron on seed yield and quality of sunflower (*Helianthus annusL.*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 42(1):169-172.
- Thavaprakash (2000). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus levels and ratios on growth and yield of sunflower hybrid (DSH-1). *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis submitted to University* of *Agricultural Sciences*, Dharwad.
- Thavaprakash, N. and Malligawad, L. H. (2002). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus levels and ratios on yield and economics of sunflower. *Res. on Crops*, 3 : 40-43.
- Tomar, H.P.S., Singh, H.P. and Dadhwal, K.S.(1997). Effect of irrigation, nitrogen and phosphorous on growth and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annusL.*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 42(1):169-172.
- Tripathi, P.N, and Kalra, G.S. (1981). Effect of NPK on maturity and yield of sunflower. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 2(10): 66-70.