

Agronomic performance of Indian wheat varieties and genetic stocks known for outstanding *chapati* quality characteristics

Satish Kumar*, V. S. Sohu, and N. S. Bains

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana -141004 (Punjab), INDIA *Corresponding author. E-mail: kumarsatish227@gmail.com

Received: June 19, 2017; Revised received: September 4, 2017; Accepted: January 20, 2018

Abstract: The aim of the present study was to evaluate set of wheat genotypes in one location for their agronomic performance and *chapati* quality. The cultivars included the tall traditional wheat of the pre-dwarfing era, which were known to excel for *chapati* quality. This set also included varieties known to have good *chapati* quality, commercially grown wheats, advanced lines and genetic stocks excelling in one or other quality attribute. All these genotypes developed for different component traits were evaluated along with the recently released high yielding varieties to assess their quality. The mean *chapati* score of these genotypes revealed that the tall varieties had a distinct edge over others and are the best resource available for this trait. C306 had a high mean chapati score of 8.17 followed by C518, C591 and C273. The released varieties WG357, HD2733 and PBW343 were intermediate in chapati quality whereas the genetic stocks WH1103 and WH712 had lowest score in the group. Among other agronomic traits recorded the traditional varieties were tall and had lower yields than the recently released varieties. The tall varieties had a slightly higher test weight. The environmental conditions and the quantitative nature of the *chapati* quality did not auger well with the set of genotypes tested however, better and stable performance of the tall traditional varieties. C306 the wheat variety released in 1965 for cultivation has remained the best quality genotype over the years and was also established from this study.

Keywords: Chapati quality, C 306, Tall traditional wheats, Wheat

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is the leading cereal grain produced, consumed and traded in the world. During 2015-16 total wheat production of wheat in world was 736MT with a consumption of 720MT (Source: International Grains Council (IGC), 2017). In the Indian sub-continent and parts thereof, wheat is consumed as unleavened, single -layered flat bread, commonly known as *chapati*. During 2016-17 total wheat production of wheat in India was 97.44MT with a consumption of more than 90.0MT (Anonymous, 2017). Just as bread is a staple food item in the western world, chapati prepared from whole wheat meal (locally known as *atta*) is the staple diet of a sizable population of Indian sub-continent. India harbours about 1.25 billion people which amount to about 18 per cent of total world populations. Out of these more than 80 per cent consume wheat in the form of chapati. Not only in India but the adjoining countries Pakistan, Nepal, Bangladesh and Bhutan also prefer 'chapati' as their main food item. These facts emphasize the importance of this end product of wheat and also underline the need for an in-depth analysis of 'chapati' quality.

The quality of wheat, as far as *chapati* is concerned, is relative. The concept of good *chapati* varies from re-

gion to region, as also on the preparation. However, a soft and pliable texture, creamish colour, pleasing flavour and sweet taste along with good puffing and long keeping quality are recognized as desirable quality attributes of good *chapaties* everywhere (Kumar, 2009). Studies on *chapati* quality have been devoted mainly to standardization of laboratory tests and comparison of available cultivars (Austin and Ram, 1971; Abrol, 1972; Finney et al., 1973; Bakshi et al., 1979; Mishra, 1998; Singh et al., 2016; Panghal et al., 2017). Though several studies have been conducted on the technological aspects of chapati processing, the underlying indices that can be used for selection and the genetic basis of *chapati* quality, remain incompletely understood, particularly in comparison to similar studies on bread making. As a consequence, significant progress for *chapati* quality has not been made in Indian wheat breeding programmes over the last four decades.

The superiority of tall wheats (C 306, C 273, C 591, etc.) grown in North India before the advent of semidwarf varieties, has been documented for various quality traits such as grain hardness (Austin and Ram, 1971), keeping quality of *chapati* (Abrol, 1972), color, texture and flavour of *chapati* (Mishra, 1998), etc. C 306, a variety released more than four decades ago in

ISSN : 0974-9411 (Print), 2231-5209 (Online) | journals.ansfoundation.org

This work is licensed under Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0). © 2018: Author (s). Publishing rights @ ANSF.

1965, originally rainfed condition but its popularity among *chapati* consumers has taken it to marginal irrigated areas. Out of about 180 wheat varieties released in the country till then, none was found to surpass C 306 for *chapati*- making quality (Mishra, 1998). It is widely acknowledged that the *chapaties* made from modern, improved, high yielding varieties do not have the above desirable characteristics to the same extent as those found in *chapaties* prepared from indigenous (*desi*) wheats (Austin and Hanslas, 1983). With the advent of semi-dwarf varieties in mid 1960s, the *chapati* making quality of traditional tall Indian wheats of the pre semi-dwarf era was eroded and no serious effort was made to recapture this trait in the new high yielding varieties.

Other than C 306, wheat varieties popular for good *chapati* quality evolved in different eras/periods. Lok 1 released in 1982 and Raj 1482 released in 1983 for central zone have been preferred by consumers for their superior *chapati* quality over the semi-dwarf wheats. 1990's saw the release of wheats such as PBW 154, PBW 175, Raj 3765 and later the varieties HD 2733, GW 322 were released in 2001 and 2002 (Das *et al.*, 2006; Hemalatha *et al.*, 2006). All these genotypes were known to have good *chapati* quality. As mentioned earlier none of the genotypes could surpass C 306 for its *chapati* making qualities. And also they were released in different eras and for different agro climatic zones, comparative information is either lacking or anecdotal i.e. not supported by any concrete data.

Since *chapati* making quality is a complex trait involving various components, development of genetic stocks for each component has been carried out in last decade. These genetic stocks are evaluated for yield and quality every year under Quality Component Screening Nursery (QCSN) (Anonymous 2017). These genetic stocks can be an important part of the breeding programme for wheat quality. Thus these stocks along with the varieties mentioned above need to be put together under one environment to evaluate for yield and for other traits important for commercialization along with *chapati* quality. This will help in not only assessing these genotypes for quality but will be useful for establishing a benchmark or a baseline as far as *chapati* quality is concerned.

The present study was thus largely built around the cultivars/genotypes which were known to excel for *chapati* quality. The major aim was to analyze the genotypes for their agronomic characteristics and to study their quality attributes in one environment.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

40 wheat genotypes were evaluated over a period of three years for their field performance and quality attributes (2007-09). The research project was largely built around the tall traditional cultivars of the predwarfing era, which were known to excel for *chapati*

quality (Table 1). Varieties such as C 306 remain unsurpassed for *chapati* quality in the Indian wheat breeding programme to date. The set of cultivars and stocks used in the study included tall traditional wheats, namely C 306, C 273, C 518 and C 591 as the most important constituents. Further a set of present day cultivars excelling in yield and grain quality (PBW 509, PBW 550), yield and bread quality (DBW 16, PBW 533) and some good-grained advanced lines (PBW 531, PBW 534, PBW 554) were included to represent genotypes of highest overall worth obtained so far in terms of quality as well as vield. Besides these genotypes, stocks from the National Genetic Stocks Nursery (NGSN) which excelled in specific quality components were also included. Finally commercial cultivars that rule the farmer's fields by virtue of yield but are average for quality traits (PBW 343, PBW 502) were included to obtain a quality spectrum of sufficient amplitude.

The material was grown in replicated trials using standard agronomic practices with the aim of expressing the normal quality potential of the genotypes. The set genotypes sown during first year was re-constituted in second year with some changes. Unexpectedly, the genetic stocks DI 9 and DI 105 (the dwarf versions of C 306 and C 591 respectively) were found to yield less than or at par with their parental isolines. Also the chapati score was numerically less than both C 306 and C 591, leading to their omission. Pusa 5-3, a high protein stock, had reddish grains and thus was left out in second year. The genotypes WH 423, WH 595, WH 800, KYZ K2K-13, PBW 509 and PBW 531 were replaced by Madhya Pradesh wheats such as HI 1418 and HI 1479, known to have good chapati quality. The few cultivars that had emanated from crosses of superior chapati quality wheats with dwarf wheats formed another important component of this set and included WG 357, PBW 175, PBW 154 and PBW 226. These varieties were released in 1970s-80s and no longer command significant acreage, but are known to have good chapati quality. These genotypes along with others constituted the set for third year. Raj 1482, Raj 3077 and Raj 3765 along with UP 262 were also included in this set. These genotypes replaced the poor performing ones in the second year. A genotype adapted to Central India, Lok 1, was included for its superior chapati quality, probably derived from tall traditional variety NP 4 which is one of its parents. NP 4 has been known internationally for its superior quality.

Agronomic data recorded: The field performance of the genotypes was observed on the basis of following characteristics: Days to heading: recorded as number of days from date of sowing to 50% flowering. Plant height: measured in centi meter from base of plant to the tip of spike excluding the awns. Tiller number: recorded as numbers of tillers per meter. Number of

Spikelets/Spike: Number of spikelets was counted on five randomly selected spikes and average was worked out. Spike yield: calculated as the weight of grains per spike. Spike grains: five spiked were threshed together and total grains were calculated for single spike, 1000 grain weight: as weight of thousand counted grains, Test weight: as weight per unit volume of wheat grains, Grain yield: Recorded as yield of plot in g/plot. Chapati making characteristics: The whole meal was produced by grinding the grains in a laboratory stone grinder (*Chakki*). The gap between the two stone discs was adjusted so as to pass the meal through 40 micron mesh sieve. The 50 g whole meal (atta) and optimum quantity of water were mixed mechanically for 2 min using Swanson mixer. The dough was evaluated for stickiness while rounding it up manually and kept in the humidity cabinet maintained at 30°C and 80% R.H for 30 min. The dough was sheeted to 2mm thickness with the rolling pin and *chapaties* of 15.0 cm diameter were cut using appropriate die. Chapaties were baked on an automatic *roti-maker* having thermostatically controlled constant temperature for 20 sec on one side and for 40 sec on other. Finally it was puffed for 10 sec by turning the *chapati* and bringing the upper plate of the roti-maker in contact with the chapaties. Chapaties were cooled to room temperature in the humidity cabinet and evaluated by a panel of trained judges using the evaluation performa based on dough stickiness (5), puffing of *chapati* (5), color of *chapati* (5), texture of chapati (5), taste of chapati (5), flavor of chapati (5) and texture of *chapati* after 2 hrs (5). The total score was finally calculated out of a maximum of 10. The methodology has been derived from Rao et al. (1986) and Sekhon et al. (1991).

Statistical analysis: The material had been planted in a randomized complete block design. The analysis of variance for different traits was done as per the following model:

 $Y_{ij} = m + t_i + b_j + e_{ij}$

 Y_{ij} = observation obtained from the ith treatment and jth block.

m = general mean

 t_i = the effect of ith treatment b_j = the effect of jth block

 e_{ii} = error associated with ith treatment and jth block

The analysis of variance based on the above model takes the following form:

Where, $r = no$.	of replic	ations,g = r	no. of genotypes
-------------------	-----------	--------------	------------------

Source	d. f.	Sum of Squares	Mean sum of squares	F-ratio
Replica- tions	r-1	RSS	MSR	MSR/MSE
Treatments	g-1	GSS	MST	MST/MSE
Error	(r-1) (g-1)	SSE	MSE	

CD to compare two genotypes was computed as follows:

$$\sqrt{2MSE}$$

CD = Vr x t_a (r-1) (g-1) Where, a = level of significance

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of genetic variation for *chapati* quality was a major objective of the present study. It would be instructive to discuss the chapati quality of the set of genotypes collected from various wheat growing zones of India. This is the character the study seeks to explain in terms of various quality components and indices. Almost all the genotypes used in the study are known to have either one or the other attribute which contributes to superior end use quality.

Significant genotypic differences were observed for chapati score of the genotypes in all the three seasons. The mean *chapati* score (Table 1) ranged from 7.2 (Pusa 5-3) to 8.1 (C 306) during the first year. The chapati score showed variation between the groups of genotypes, with the tall wheats of pre dwarfing era excelling over other groups. The tall varieties not only established themselves as a distinct group with highest chapati score but the next numerical best score (7.8 for both DI 9 and DI 105) also went to the derivatives of C 306 and C 591. The commercial high yielding cultivars gave intermediate *chapati* scores, significantly inferior to the best tall wheat i.e., C 306. Similar was the case of genetic stocks and other varieties which showed chapati scores well below the best entry i.e., C 306. Stocks excelling in one or more quality component. The advanced lines (PBW 531, PBW 534, PBW 554) used in the set performed almost similar to the commercially released varieties. On the whole the genetic stocks DI 9, DI 105 and WH 423 with chapati scores of 7.8, 7.8 and 7.7 respectively were adjudged to be at par with the best cultivar C 306 (Table 1).

During second year, significant genotypic differences were again observed for chapati score. The mean chapati score (Table 1) ranged from 7.1 in case of 'PBW 502' to 8.1 in case of 'C 306' and 'C 518'. The tall varieties were again found significantly superior to all other groups, thus confirming their status as best chapati wheats. The commercial wheat group gave intermediate score with PBW 343 (7.6) having highest score in the group. DBW 16 and PBW 533 were included in the set as they are identified for their excellent bread making properties. These varieties did not fare well for *chapati* making giving a score of 7.4 only. This suggests that there is environmental influence on the chapati quality which is a quantitative trait. Among the released varieties known for chapati quality, Lok 1 (chapati score 7.9) performed better than others in the group though slightly lower than C 306. Thus Lok 1 was able to express good *chapati* quality outside Central Zone also, where it is recommended for cultivation. Similarly HI 1418 also registered a

Satish Kumar et al. / J. Appl	& Nat. Sci.	10 (1): 149 -	157 (2018)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	------------

Ist	Year	IIn	d Year	IIIr	d Year
Genotype	Mean Chapati	Genotype	Mean <i>Chapati</i>	Genotype	Mean <i>Chapati</i>
	score		score		score
C 273	7.9	8A	7.9	PBW 343	7.8
C 306	8.1	9D	7.8	PBW 550	7.8
C 518	8.0	C 273	8.0	PBW 533	7.5
C 591	8.0	C 306	8.1	PBW 534	7.6
WG 357	7.6	C 518	8.1	DBW 16	7.8
PBW 343	7.6	C 591	8.0	PBW 154	7.5
PBW 550	7.4	WG 357	7.9	PBW 175	7.5
PBW 502	7.4	PBW 343	7.6	PBW 226	7.5
PBW 509	7.6	PBW 502	7.1	LOK 1	8.0
PBW 554	7.6	PBW 550	7.4	8A	8.0
PBW 531	7.6	DBW 16	7.4	9D	8.0
PBW 534	7.5	PBW 533	7.4	C 273	7.9
Pusa 5-3	7.2	PBW 534	7.3	C 306	8.3
DI 105	7.8	PBW 554	7.6	C 518	8.0
DI 9	7.8	HI 1418	7.8	C 591	8.0
WH 423	7.7	HI 1479	7.5	WG 357	7.7
WH 595	7.6	PBW 154	7.2	HD 2329	7.5
WH 712	7.5	PBW 175	7.3	HD 2733	7.7
KO 123	7.4	PBW 226	7.3	UP 262	7.9
KYZ K2K-13	7.5	LOK 1	7.9	WH 712	7.5
HD 2793	7.5	KO 123	7.5	WH 1003	7.5
WH 800	7.5	HD 2793	7.6	RAJ 1482	7.9
WH 1003	7.4	WH 712	7.3	RAJ 3077	7.7
		WH 1003	7.4	RAJ 3765	7.8
Means	7.5	Means	7.6	Means	7.7
CD (5%)	0.41	CD (5%)	0.31	CD (5%)	0.26

Table 1. The set of genotypes evaluated for their *chapati* score during three years.

chapati quality score of 7.8, at par with C 306. PBW 154 (7.2), PBW 175 (7.3) and PBW 226 (7.3) fell into next group with respect to *chapati* quality. These genotypes are regarded to be superior for *chapati* quality among released semi-dwarf varieties and PBW 175 has C-306 as a parent. The genetic stocks, on the other hand again failed to give good *chapaties* thus emphasizing the fact that good *chapati* quality resulted from a combination of different component traits and not because of one or two traits. The advanced breeding lines in this season again showed an intermediate *chapati* score.

During third year again significant genotypic differences were again observed for *chapati* score. The mean *chapati* score (Table 1) ranged from 7.4 in case of 'WH 712' to 8.3 in case of 'C 306'. As during first two years, tall traditional varieties were significantly superior in chapatti quality to all other groups. The commercial wheat group gave intermediate score with PBW 343 (7.8) having highest score in the group. Lok 1 (*chapati* score 8.0) again performed better along with Raj 1482 (7.9) and UP 262 (7.9).

The similar results were reported by earlier studies (Bakshi *et al.*, 1979; Austin and Hanslas, 1983; Das *et al.*, 2006; Hemalatha *et al.*, 2006; Mehta *et al.*, 2006) which emphasized that the tall traditional wheat varieties of pre-dwarfing era were superior in chapati quality in comparison to the semi dwarf varieties.

Evaluation of the genotypes for yield and other

agronomic traits: Analysis of variance indicated that there are significant differences present among the genotypes across all the three years (Table 2a, 2b & 2c). Mean performance of the genotypes across first, second and third year for different traits is given in the table 3, table 4 and table 5 respectively. Trait wise discussion on evaluation of the genotypes is presented below:

Test weight: The test weight gives an account of the stresses suffered by the crop during the grain formation along with the indication of the shape and size of the grains. The values of test weight among the genotypes ranged from 75.00 kg/hl for 'WH 423' to 83.00 kg/hl for 'C 591 and WH 800' during first year. All the genotypes in tall wheats group gave high values for test weight along with the commercial; wheats and the advanced lines known to have good grains. Only PBW 534 gave a value of 76.67 kg/hl. In case of genetic stocks medium to high values were obtained for all the genotypes except DI 9 (76 kg/hl), DI 105 (75.67) (chapati quality score not so low) and WH 423. In second year the values of test weight ranged from 73.50 kg/hl for 'Lok 1' to 79.33 kg/hl for 'C 591'. Overall the values of test weight observed were on lower side in comparison to previous season. Again the values of test weight for tall wheats were highest in this season, whereas the commercial wheats, advanced lines and the varieties with known quality gave medium range of values. The genetic stocks however gave low values of Satish Kumar et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 10 (1): 149 - 157 (2018)

Source of	Degree of				Mean	Squares				
Variation	freedom	Days to flowering	Plant Height	Grains	Spike vield	No. of spikelets	Tillers	1000 grains	Test	Yield (Kg/
		(50%)	(cm)	spike	(g)	per spike	meter	weight (g)	(g)	pioty
Replication	2	0.97	48.12	3.89	0.017	3.02	218.26	14.16	4.59	20308.46
Genotypes	22	36.90*	449.21	153.08*	0.36*	5.63	454.86*	55.70*	17.39*	40705.05*
Error	44	2.21	11.84	54.05	0.10	1.47	137.35	4.54	3.85	14496.43

Table 2a. Analysis of variance of evaluated during first year

* Significant at 5% level

Table 2b. Analysis of variance of evaluated during second year

Source of	Degree of				Mea	n Squares				
Variation	freedom	Days to	Plant	Grains	Spike	No. of	Tillers	1000 grains	Test	Yield
		flowering (50%)	Heigh t (cm)	per snike	yield (g)	spikelets	per meter	weight (g)	weight	(Kg/plot)
Replication	2	1.33	339.85	88.62	0.14	4.43	3711.10	15.33	2.56	0.89
Genotypes	23	218.34*	512.52	537.11*	1.39*	4.39	1041.71	45.54*	7.94*	1.08*
Error	44	2.95	30.99	55.52	0.10	1.31	368.58	6.75	0.98	0.20

* Significant at 5% level

Table 2c. Analysis of variance of evaluated during second year

Source of	Degree of				Mear	Squares				
Variation	freedom	Days to flowering (50%)	Plant Height (cm)	Grains per spike	Spike yield (g)	No. of spikelets per spike	Tillers per meter	1000 grains weight (g)	Test weight (g)	Yield (Kg/ plot)
Replication	2	2.08	31.59	235.85	0.005	38.51	1049.97	17.25	15.84	0.02
Genotypes Error	23 44	26.57* 9.73	511.86* 28.35	127.66 73.56	0.22* 0.10	6.58 2.45	459.76 295.02	41.18* 11.10	9.30 4.48	0.55* 0.15

* Significant at 5% level

Table 3. Mean performance of genotypes for different agronomic traits and yield evaluated during first year.

Genotype	Days to	Plant	No. of	Spike	No. of spike-	Tillers/	Yield	1000	Test
	heading	height	grains /	yield	lets / spike	meter	(Kg/	grains	weight
	(50%)	(cm)	spike	(g)			plot)	wt.(g)	(kg/hl)
C 273	93.0	102.0	39.0	1.3	18.0	91.0	2.26	31.0	81.0
C 306	94.0	101.0	47.0	1.7	19.0	70.0	3.27	35.0	79.0
C 518	95.0	105.0	48.0	1.7	18.0	114.0	4.83	33.0	81.0
C 591	96.0	114.0	58.0	1.9	19.0	102.0	3.63	30.0	83.0
WG 357	95.0	83.0	46.0	1.9	15.0	102.0	3.31	36.0	81.0
PBW 343	97.0	74.0	49.0	2.1	18.0	106.0	6.29	39.0	80.0
PBW 534	91.0	81.0	36.0	1.6	19.0	79.0	3.60	40.0	76.0
PBW 550	95.0	72.0	51.0	2.0	17.0	72.0	5.46	38.0	82.0
PBW 554	95.0	71.0	51.0	2.2	18.0	75.0	5.00	40.0	82.0
PBW 531	94.0	72.0	48.0	2.1	17.0	76.0	4.94	38.0	81.0
PBW 502	96.0	72.0	51.0	2.4	17.0	90.0	6.12	40.0	80.0
PBW 509	96.0	72.0	58.0	2.5	18.0	79.0	6.11	40.0	81.0
Pusa 5-3	94.0	88.0	42.0	1.7	18.0	102.0	4.54	32.0	78.0
DI 105	96.0	80.0	59.0	1.7	19.0	85.0	3.31	28.0	75.0
DI 9	99.0	83.0	53.0	1.7	17.0	77.0	2.83	27.0	76.0
WH 423	88.0	84.0	55.0	2.4	20.0	70.0	4.74	35.0	75.0
WH 595	96.0	78.0	60.0	2.2	19.0	92.0	5.08	35.0	77.0
WH 712	97.0	80.0	66.0	2.4	21.0	87.0	4.83	31.0	80.0
KO 123	96.0	68.0	52.0	1.8	19.0	97.0	6.34	31.0	80.0
KYZ	87.0	83.0	50.0	2.4	17.0	85.0	4.61	42.0	80.0
HD 2793	101.0	82.0	50.0	1.6	18.0	82.0	5.64	35.0	79.0
WH 800	96.0	76.0	55.0	2.4	19.0	91.0	4.94	39.0	83.0
WH 1003	103.0	78.0	58.0	2.5	21.0	76.0	3.50	35.0	78.0
CD (5%)	2.4	5.6	2.6	0.5	1.9	14.6	0.98	3.5	3.2

test weight with HD 2793 (76.00 kg/hl) being an exception. During third year of evaluation the values of test weight among the genotypes ranged from 70.00 kg/hl for 'PBW 343 and Raj 1482' to 77.00 kg/hl for '8A, C 306 and PBW 534'.The performance during this year was similar to the performance of the genotypes during first two years.

Days to heading: During first year, he days to 50% heading ranged from 87.00 days for 'KYZ-K2K-13' to 103.00 days for 'WH1003'. The tall wheats, the commercial wheat varieties and the advanced lines gave equivalent values for the days to flowering whereas the genetic stocks showed a lot of variation for this trait. WH 423 (88.33 days) and KYZ K2K-13 gave

Satish	Kumar	et al.	/ J.	Appl.	& N	at. S	<i>ci</i> . 1	.0 ([1):	149 -	157	(201)	8)
--------	-------	--------	------	-------	-----	-------	---------------	------	------	-------	-----	-------	----

Construns	Dave to	Dlant	No of	Spilzo	No of	Tillors/	Viold (Kg/	1000	Test
Genotype	booding	r lallt hoight	roine /	viold (g)	spikolots /	motor	nlot)	aroins wt	Test
	(50%)	(om)	grains /	yielu (g)	spikelets /	meter	piot)	grains wt.	Weight
DDW 242	105.0	01.0	42.0	17	19.0	110.0	2.6	<u>(g)</u>	(Kg/III) 75.0
FDW 545	105.0	91.0	43.0	1./	18.0	119.0	3.0	40.0	75.0
PDW 502	103.0	94.0	34.0	1.5	18.0	100.0	5.5 2.5	48.0	75.0
PBW 550	90.0	//.0	30.0	1.9	18.0	81.0	3.5	41.0	75.0
DBW 16	106.0	89.0	35.0	1.5	16.0	111.0	3.3	41.0	//.0
PBW 154	89.0	89.0	25.0	1.2	18.0	107.0	2.5	44.0	75.0
PBW 175	103.0	102.0	33.0	1.6	16.0	160.0	2.2	45.0	75.0
PBW 226	82.0	83.0	33.0	1.4	17.0	120.0	3.2	39.0	76.0
PBW 533	101.0	94.0	22.0	1.5	17.0	116.0	3.8	52.0	76.0
PBW 534	91.0	81.0	36.0	1.6	19.0	79.0	3.6	40.0	76.0
PBW 554	89.0	78.0	38.0	2.0	19.0	74.0	3.4	42.0	76.0
8A	102.0	108.0	35.0	1.7	17.0	125.0	1.9	44.0	79.0
9D	100.0	121.0	32.0	1.5	19.0	118.0	1.7	41.0	78.0
C 273	97.0	115.0	31.0	1.2	18.0	104.0	1.4	37.0	76.0
C 306	96.0	114.0	29.0	1.4	18.0	112.0	2.3	44.0	78.0
C 518	100.0	113.0	28.0	1.3	18.0	114.0	1.6	41.0	78.0
C 591	98.0	122.0	21.0	1.5	19.0	128.0	1.8	39.0	79.0
WG 357	99.0	95.0	27.0	1.4	18.0	112.0	2.9	44.0	76.0
LOK 1	88.0	93.0	23.0	1.0	16.0	117.0	1.3	44.0	73.0
HI 1418	86.0	85.0	32.0	1.3	18.0	111.0	2.8	36.0	74.0
HI 1479	87.0	92.0	35.0	1.6	19.0	107.0	2.6	42.0	74.0
KO 123	103.0	84.0	39.0	1.6	19.0	122.0	3.5	37.0	76.0
HD 2793	115.0	99.0	42.0	1.6	20.0	94.0	3.0	37.0	76.0
WH 712	103.0	96.0	35.0	1.6	20.0	126.0	3.0	37.0	74.0
WH 1003	114.0	95.0	37.0	1.6	20.0	83.0	2.7	41.0	73.0
CD (5%)	2.8	9.1	2.3	0.2	1.8	21.0	0.7	4.2	1.6

Table 4. Mean performance of genotypes for different agronomic traits and yield evaluated during second year

low values whereas HD 2793 (101.33 days) and WH 1003 gave high values. Other genetic stocks were observed to have values intermediate to these extremes. In year the days to 50% heading ranged from 82.00 days for 'PBW 226' to 114.00 days for 'WH 1003'. Higher values for the days to heading were observed in this season. The tall wheats were found to have high values with C 518 (100.33 days), 8A (102.00 days) and 9D (100.67 days) giving higher values than other varieties in this group. The commercial varieties, advanced lines and the genetic stocks were observed to show a lot of variation with both highest and lowest values giving a lot of difference. During third year the days to 50% heading ranged from 91.00 days for 'PBW 226' to 105.00 days for 'PBW 175'. Values of days to heading were even higher during this year. A mixed pattern was observed among different groups.

Plant height: Variation for plant height in genotypes ranged from 71.22 cm for 'PBW 554' to 114.66 cm for 'C 591' in first year. The tall wheat varieties expressed their character with all the tall group wheats showing high values of plant height. The commercial group however gave lowest plant height values as most of the varieties had dwarfing genes. The genetic stocks and the advanced lines gave intermediate values of plant height in this season. In second year the values of plant height varied from 77.92 cm for 'PBW 550' to 122.17 cm for 'C 591'. As during first year different groups of genotypes followed similar trends. During third year the values of plant height varied from 73.00 cm for

'HD 2329 and LOK 1' to 125.00 cm for 'C 273'. Similar trends in plant height for the genotypes were again observed in this season. The tall wheats were observed to have highest value of plant height followed by varieties with known quality and the genetic stocks, which again showed values ranging from low to medium for plant height. The commercial wheat varieties gave low to intermediate values of plant height in this season.

Number of grains per spike: The number of grains per spike ranged from 36.20 for 'PBW 534' to 66.53 for 'K 0123' in first year. The tall wheats and the advanced lines were found to have intermediate values for grains per spike. The genetic stocks and the commercial wheats however gave more number of grains per spike. In second year the number ranged from 21.93 for 'C 591' to 42.60 for 'HD 2793'. The number of grains per spike in this season was lower in comparison to first year. Cultivars such as PBW 154 (25.93) and PBW 533 (22.27) gave low values whereas the commercial varieties as well as the genetic stocks gave high values of number of grains per spike. The tall wheats gave intermediate number of grains per spike. During third year, the number ranged from 29.00 for 'PBW 175 and WG 357' to 51.00 for '9D'. No particular trend was observed for this trait among the genotypes during third year of testing.

Spike yield: The spike yield showed variation from 1.35 g for 'C 273' to 2.52 g for 'WH 1003' in first year. The tall wheats gave low spike yield whereas the commercial varieties and the advanced lines gave high

Satish Kumar et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 10 (1): 149 - 157 (201	(8)
---	-----

|--|

Constyne	Deve to	Plant	No of	Sniko	No of	Tillors/	Viold (Kg/	1000	Tost
Genotype	booding	hoight	r_{0}	vield (g)	snikelets /	motor	nlot)	arains	weight
	(50%)	(cm)	snike	yiciu (g)	snike	meter	pioty	wt. (g)	(Kg/hl)
8A	99.0	109.0	37.0	1.3	19.0	59.0	1.1	33.0	77.0
9D	101.0	112.0	51.0	1.7	20.0	80.0	0.9	33.0	74.0
C 273	96.0	125.0	40.0	1.2	18.0	97.0	1.1	30.0	76.0
C 306	103.0	123.0	36.0	1.3	18.0	80.0	1.6	36.0	77.0
C 518	104.0	117.0	37.0	1.2	20.0	100.0	1.2	32.0	74.0
C 591	99.0	115.0	38.0	1.3	20.0	102.0	1.1	34.0	76.0
DBW 16	94.0	90.0	43.0	1.5	17.0	97.0	2.2	35.0	75.0
HD 2329	97.0	73.0	30.0	0.7	17.0	52.0	0.8	25.0	72.0
HD 2733	95.0	84.0	46.0	1.3	19.0	52.0	1.7	28.0	75.0
LOK 1	95.0	73.0	33.0	0.5	15.0	63.0	0.4	33.0	72.0
PBW 154	96.0	83.0	36.0	1.3	18.0	81.0	1.3	36.0	74.0
PBW 175	105.0	97.0	29.0	1.1	16.0	89.0	1.5	39.0	71.0
PBW 226	91.0	79.0	30.0	1.0	14.0	88.0	1.6	34.0	76.0
PBW 343	101.0	95.0	35.0	1.2	20.0	94.0	2.3	34.0	70.0
PBW 533	102.0	95.0	46.0	1.8	21.0	75.0	2.1	39.0	72.0
PBW 534	101.0	79.0	43.0	1.6	18.0	69.0	2.0	37.0	77.0
PBW 550	100.0	81.0	36.0	1.2	21.0	67.0	2.3	35.0	74.0
RAJ 1482	104.0	78.0	35.0	0.8	17.0	56.0	1.1	23.0	70.0
RAJ 3077	101.0	81.0	30.0	0.8	18.0	81.0	1.1	25.0	71.0
RAJ 3765	100.0	79.0	40.0	1.1	20.0	74.0	1.6	29.0	72.0
UP 262	104.0	85.0	39.0	1.2	19.0	74.0	1.3	29.0	74.0
WG 357	97.0	93.0	29.0	0.9	18.0	73.0	1.1	31.0	73.0
WH 1003	100.0	90.0	49.0	1.7	20.0	76.0	2.3	34.0	72.0
WH 712	100.0	87.0	33.0	0.9	21.0	59.0	1.3	26.0	72.0
CD (5%)	6.4	11.2	3.4	0.6	3.2	6.7	0.8	5.4	4.3

Table 6. Mean performance of genotypes during core group for three years

Genotype	Days to	Plant	No. of	Spike	No. of	Tillers/	Yield	1000	Test	Chapati
	heading	height	grains	yield	spikelets /	meter	(Kg/plot)	grains	weight	score (out
	(50%)	(cm)	/spike	(g)	spike			wt. (g)	(Kg/hl)	of 10)
C 273	95.7	114.7	36.7	1.2	18.4	97.4	1.3	33.3	78.4	7.93
C 306	97.9	113.1	37.7	1.5	18.8	87.8	2.0	38.9	78.2	8.17
C 518	100.1	112.2	38.2	1.4	19.1	109.8	1.4	35.8	78.3	8.03
C 591	97.9	117.5	39.9	1.6	19.7	111.2	1.5	34.8	79.7	8.00
HD 2733	103.9	88.8	46.7	1.6	19.5	76.3	2.4	33.7	76.7	7.60
PBW 343	101.2	87.2	39.6	1.7	19.5	106.9	3.0	41.0	75.4	7.67
PBW 534	94.5	80.7	38.8	1.6	19.2	76.1	2.8	39.8	77.0	7.47
PBW 550	95.3	77.2	41.5	1.7	19.1	73.9	2.9	38.6	77.5	7.53
WG 357	97.2	91.0	34.2	1.4	17.6	96.1	2.0	37.1	77.3	7.73
WH 1003	105.7	88.1	48.7	1.9	20.9	78.8	2.5	37.2	74.7	7.43
WH 712	100.6	88.0	45.2	1.7	21.2	91.2	2.2	31.9	75.9	7.40

values with PBW 534 (1.64) being only exception in the group. The genetic stocks gave values for spike yield ranging from medium to high. In second year the variation ranged from 1.01 g for 'Lok 1' to 2.04 g for 'PBW 554'. Again in this season the values of spike yield were observed to be on lower side in comparison to first year. During third year the variation ranged from 0.5 g for 'Lok 1' to 1.8 g for 'PBW 534'. Again in this season the values of spike yield were observed to be on lower side in comparison to first two years. The tall wheats gave low spike yield whereas the commercial varieties and the advanced lines gave intermediate to high values. The genetic stocks were found to have medium to high spike yields.

Number of spikelets per spike: The number of spikelets per spike ranged from 15.88 for 'WG 357' to 21.89 for 'WH 1003' in first year, where as in second year the number varied from 16.03 for 'PBW 175' to

20.77 for 'WH 1003'. During third year the range varied from 14.00 for 'PBW 226' to 21.00 for 'PBW 533 and PBW 550'. The number of spikelets per spike was not observed to be significantly different in all the genotypes studied.

Tillers per meter: The number of tillers per meter was highest in C 518 (114.00) and lowest in C 306 (70.37) during first year. The tall wheats with an exception of C 306 gave high values of tillers per meter whereas in commercial varieties group all genotypes gave medium values of tillers per meter with PBW 343 (106.67) being an exception. The advanced lines and the genetic stocks gave medium low values of tillers per meter. In the second year of evaluation WH 712 (126.83) and PBW 554 (74.67) showed highest and lowest number of tillers per meter respectively. During the third year the number of tillers per meter was highest in C 591 (102.00) and lowest in HD 2329 and HD 2733 (52.00) The tall wheats and the varieties with known quality were observed to give high values for this trait. The advanced lines gave low values whereas the genetic stocks gave values ranging from medium to high.

1000 grains weight: The 1000 grain weight varied from 27.18 g for 'DI 9' to 42.54 g for 'KYZ-K2K-13' in first year. The advanced lines with good grains and the commercial varieties gave high 1000 grain weight values in comparison to tall wheats which gave medium range of values for this trait. All the genetic stocks with an exception of KYZ-K2K-13 (42.54 g) and WH 800 (39.31 g) gave low to medium range of values. The range was from 36.75 g for 'HI 1418' to 52.07 g for 'PBW 533' in second year. In comparison to previous season the values of 1000 grain weight were on higher side in this season. Higher values were obtained for commercial wheats such as PBW 343 (48.56 g) and PBW 502 (48.96 g). Most of other genotypes gave medium range of values with few genetic stocks recording low values for the trait. During third year of evaluation the 1000 grains weight ranged from 23.00 in Raj 1482 to 39.00 in PBW 175 and PBW 533.

Plot yield: The yield showed range from 2600.00 g for 'C 273' to 6340.00 g for 'K 0123' in first year. The tall wheats gave low values with C 518 (4830.67 g) being an exception. The commercial wheats gave high values with advanced lines and the genetic stocks vielding intermediate values for the plot vield. In second year the range for plot yield was from observed to be between 1310 g for 'Lok 1' and 3830 g for (PBW 533). The commercial varieties gave high values whereas tall wheats were observed to have low plot yields with C 306 (2300 g) being an exception. During third year of evaluation the plot yield for the genotypes ranged from 400.00 g in Lok 1 to 2300.00 g in PBW 550. The varieties with known quality also gave medium low values whereas advanced lines and the genetic stocks gave medium high values of plot yield across the years.

Results from a core set of genotypes evaluated during all three years: Over this period of testing, 11 genotypes were evaluated across all the three years. These genotypes formed the core group of genotypes which included the tall wheats of pre dwarfing era known for best chapati quality and few high yielding varieties released for cultivation along with two genetic stocks which performed better during each year. The mean chapati score of these genotypes revealed that the tall varieties had a distinct edge over others and are the best resource available for this trait. C 306 had a high mean chapati score of 8.17 followed by C 518, C 591 and C 273 (Table 6). The released varieties WG 357, HD 2733 and PBW 343 were intermediate in chapati quality whereas the genetic stocks WH 1103 and WH 712 had lowest score in the group. Among other agronomic traits recorded the traditional varieties were tall and had lower yields than the recently released varieties. The tall varieties had a slightly higher test weight. The quality attributes of these genotypes have been established by past studies (Uprety and Abrol, 1972; Qarooni, 1996; Singh, 2002; Singh and Gupta, 2004; Srivastava *et al.*, 2003; Mallick *et al.*, 2013; Hemalatha *et al.*, 2013, Kundu *et al.*, 2016).

This study for evaluating the performance of Indian wheat varieties known for good chapati making quality revealed that the tall traditional wheats from predwarfing era are still unmatched for their unique end use quality for the Indian flat breads commonly known as *chapattis*. Similar studies of quality parameters of Indian wheat varieties (Sekhon et al., 1976; Singh et al., 1983; Syed et al., 1990; Supekar et al., 2005; Gill et al., 2006, Inamdar et al., 2015; Harshwardhan et al., 2016) have indicated that there is a wide variation for various physico-chemical characteristics but majority of the varieties produce average to good chapaties. Chapati quality evaluation of candidate varieties and checks conducted under the All India Coordinated programme over three decades have shown variety C 306 to be superior to almost all the other cultivars tested (Mishra, 1998). Bansal et al. (2000) studied the suitability of advanced wheat genotypes for bread, biscuit and *chapaties* and reported some new genotypes to be better than the check varieties but none could surpass the best chapati wheat, C 306. Gupta et al. (2005) while working on wheat samples collected from different zones of India found that the location has some influence on chapati quality. It was found that some genotypes performed better in specific environments.

Conclusion

The performance of the varieties released after semi dwarf wheat were introduced in India and various genetic stocks developed for different component traits was found below par across all the three years of evaluation. The varieties from warm and humid central areas of India, did not perform to their potential when grown in northwestern plains which experience high temperatures during grain filling. The environmental conditions and the quantitative nature of the chapati quality did not auger well with the set of genotypes tested however, better and stable performance of the tall traditional varieties. C 306 the wheat variety released in 1965 for cultivation has remained the best quality genotype over the years and was also established from this study. The present study provides an established base for further studies on understanding the basis of chapati making quality of wheat. To infer the role of component traits in *chapati* quality some specific recombinant populations can be used. The knowledge generated during this study can be utilized for developing wheat genotypes with excellent end use quality.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous (2017). Director's Report of AICRP on Wheat and Barley 2016-17, Eds: Gyanendra Pratap Singh. ICAR-Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal, Haryana, India. P. 92.
- Abrol, Y.P. (1972). Studies on *chapati* quality: Discoloration of *chapaties. Bull. Grain Technol.*, 10: 41-46.
- Austin, A. and Ram, A. (1971). Studies on chapati making quality of wheat. Rajendra Printers, Ram Nagar, New Delhi, pp 1-108.
- Austin, A. and Hanslas, V.K. (1983). *Quality characteristics* of Indian wheat cultivars. Wheat Project Directorate, IARI, New Delhi.
- Bansal, G., Singh, R.P. and Nanda, G.S. (2000). Suitability of advanced wheat genotypes for bread, biscuit and *chapaties*. J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ., 47: 63-70.
- Bakshi, A.K., Sekhon, K.S., Sehgal, K.L. and Gill, K.S. (1979). *Chapati* making performance of some promising wheat strains. J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ., 26:267-271
- Das, B.K., Sharma, A., Marathe, S.A., Rao, V.S. and Bhagwat, S.G. (2006). Evaluation of bread and *chapati* making quality of wheat selections and parents differing in HMW subunits of glutenin. *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 43: 69-72.
- Finney, P.L., Bains, G.S., Hoseney, R.C. and Lineback, D.R. (1973). Quality of Indian wheats. *Cereal Sci. Today*, 18 (12): 392-397.
- Gill, B.S., Singh, N. and Sodhi, N.S. (2006). Studies on physico-chemical, textural and functional properties of wheat flour from different Indian cultivars. J. Food Sci. Technol., 43: 56-64.
- Gupta, R.K., Mohan, D., Ram, S. and Shoran, Jag. (eds) DWR Progress Report, (2005). *Wheat Quality*, AICW and BIP, Vol.4, Directorate of Wheat Research, Karnal-132001.
- Harshwardhan, Kumar, A., Kumar, A. and Prasad, B. (2016). Study of variance, heritability and genetic advance for various yield contributing and quality traits in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Journal of Applied and Natural Science, 8(4): 1811-1814.
- Hemalatha, M.S., Manu, B.T., Bhagwat, S.G., Leelavathi, K. and Rao, U.J.S.P. (2006). Protein characteristics and peroxidase activities of different Indian wheat varieties and their relationship to *chapati*-making quality. *European Food Res. Technol.*, 225: 463-471.
- Hemalatha, M., Manohar, R.S., Salimath, P.S. and Rao, U.J.S.P. (2013). Effect of Added Arabinoxylans Isolated from Good and Poor Chapati Making Wheat Varieties on Rheological Properties of Dough and Chapati Making Quality. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*, 4:884-892
- Inamdar, A.A., Sakhare, S.D. and Prabhasankar, P. (2015). Chapati Making Quality of Whole Wheat Flour (Atta) Obtained by Various Processing Techniques. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 39: (6) 3032–3039.
- International Grains Council (2017) http://agricoop.nic.in/ sites/default/files/Wheat.pdf
- Kumar, S. (2009). Association of physico-chemical, rheological and molecular indices of quality with *chapati* mak-

ing properties in recombinant wheat populations. *PhD thesis*. Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.

- Kundu, M., Gulia, N. and Khatkar, B.S. (2016). Diversity in Quality Traits of Indian Wheat Cultivars: Grain, Whole Wheat Flour and Protein Characteristics. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 5(10): 17590-17594.
- Mallick, S.A., Azaz, K., Gupta, M., Sharma, V. and Sinha, B.K. (2013). Characterization of grain nutritional quality in wheat. *Ind J Plant Physiol.*, 18(2): 183–186.
- Mehta, A., Mandeep, K., Gupta, S.K. and Singh, R.P. (2006). Physicochemical, rheological and baking characteristics of bread wheat as affected by farmyard manure and nitrogen management. J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ., 43: 263-270.
- Mishra, B.K. (1998). Quality needs for Indian traditional products. In: *Wheat: Research Needs Beyond 2000 A D.* Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Panghal, A., Chhikara, N. and Khatkar, B.S. (2017). Characterization of Indian wheat varieties for *chapati* (flat bread) quality. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas. 2017.02.005.
- Qarooni, J. (1996). Wheat characteristics for flat breads. *Cereal Foods World*, 41: 391-395.
- Rao, H.P., Leelvathi, K. and Shurpalekar, S.R. (1986). Test baking of *chapati* development of a method. *Cereal Chem.*, 63: 297-303.
- Sekhon, K.S., Sharma, S. and Nagi, H.P.S. (1991). Technology of flat bread production. *Indian Baker*, 22: 18-19.
- Sekhon, K.S., Bakshi, A.K., Sehgal, K.L. and Gill, K.S. (1976). Studies on the physico chemical and rheological characteristics of some improved wheat verities. *Indian J. Biochem. Biopys.*, 13: 22.
- Singh, R.P. (2002). Wheat flour: use of supplements for making better quality *chapaties*. *Indian Grains*, 49:18-20
- Singh, R.P. and Gupta, S.K. (2004). Quality characteristics of the newly released wheat varieties. *India Miller*, 35: 25-29.
- Singh, S., Verma, A. and Bala, N. (2016). Sensory and nutritional evaluation of unleavened flat bread prepared by multigrain flour mixture. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 8(3): 1168-1171.
- Singh, R.P., Sehgal, K.L., Saxena, A.K., Sekhon, K.S. and Gill, K.S. (1983). Physico chemical, rheological and baking characteristics of some promising strains of wheat. J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ., 20: 403-410.
- Srivastava, A.K., Rao, J.S.P. and Rao, P.H. (2003). Studies on protein and its high molecular weight subunit composition in relation to *chapati* making quality of Indian wheat cultivars. J. Sci. Food Agric., 83: 225-231.
- Supekar, D.T., Patil, S.R. and Munjal, S.V. (2005). Comaprative study of some important *aestivum, durum* and *dicoccum* wheat cultivars for grain, flour quality and suitability for *chapati* making characteristics. J. *Food Sci. Technol.*, 42: 488-492.
- Syed, H.M. (1990). Indian wheats in relation to *Chapati*: a review. *Indian Miller*, 21: 17-20.
- Uprety, D.C. and Abrol, Y.P. (1972). Studies on *Chapati* quality. *Bull. Grain Tech.*, 10: 276-282.