



Biointensive integrated management of *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt. (Homoptera: Aphididae) in *Brassica* spp.

Deepak Sharma, Satyapal Yadav¹ and Sunita Yadav^{*}

Department of Entomology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004 (Haryana), INDIA ¹Regional Research Station, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Rohtak-124004 (Haryana), INDIA *Corresponding author. E-mail: sunitayadav10@rediffmail.com

Received: January 13, 2017; Revised received: May 15, 2017; Accepted: October 2, 2017

Abstract: Field experiment was conducted at Regional Research station, Samargopalpur, Rohtak (Haryana) during *Rabi* season of the year 2015-2016 to evaluate bioefficacy of various treatments against mustard aphid, *Lipaphiserysimi* on Indian mustard. Treatments were: T₁–*Verticillium lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml, T₂–*Beauveria bassiana* @ 10⁸ CS/ml, T₃ - Neem seed kernel extract @ 5%, T₄ - Neem seed methanol extract @ 5%, T₅ - *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + Clipping of infested twigs, T₆ - *B. bassiana* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + Clipping of infested twigs, T₇ - NSKE @ 5% + Clipping of infested twigs, T₈ - *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5%, T₉ - *B. bassiana* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5%, T₁₀ - Dimethoate 30EC @ 250 ml/acre. Dimethoate was found to be most effective in reducing the aphid population (95.03 %) followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5% (88.52 %), NSKE @ 5% + Clipping of infested twigs (87.77 %) and *B. bassiana* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @5% (86.91 %) after ten days of spray. The highest seed yield was recorded in treatment dimethoate 30EC (1702 kg/ha) followed by *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5% (1635 kg/ha), NSKE @ 5% + Clipping of infested twigs (1626 kg/ha) and *B. bassiana* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5% (1617 kg/ha). Dimethoate was found to be highly costeffective with highest cost benefit ratio (1:14.92) followed by NSKE @ 5% + clipping ofinfested twigs (1:13.81) and NSKE @ 5% (1:11.41).

Key words: Dimethoate, Lipaphis erysimi, Mustard aphid, NSKE, Seed yield

INTRODUCTION

Oilseed Brassicas, collectively known as rapeseedmustard comprise traditionally grown indigenous species, namely toria (Brassica rapa L. var. toria), brown sarson (Brassica rapa L. var. brown sarson), yellow sarson (Brassica rapa L. var. yellow sarson), Indian mustard (Brassica juncea), black mustard (Brassica nigra) and taramira (Eruca sativa), which have been grown since about 3,500 BC along with non -traditional species like gobhi sarson (Brassica napus) and Ethiopian mustard or karan rai (Brassica carinata). India is one of the largest rapeseed mustard growing countries in the world, occupying the first position in area and second position in production after China (Khavse et al., 2014). India accounts for 14.8 % of rapeseed production at global level and occupies prime position in the World (Singh, 2014). Among the seven edible oilseeds (Groundnut, rapeseed mustard, soybean, sunflower, sesame, safflower and niger) cultivated in India, rapeseed-mustard contributes 28.6% in the total oilseeds production and ranks second after groundnut sharing 27.8% in the India's oilseed economy (Shekhawat et al., 2012). In India, during 2013-14, rapeseed and mustard were grown over an area of 6.70 million ha area with production and productivity of 7.96 m tonnes and 1188 kg/ha respectively (Anonymous, 2015). Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Haryana, Gujarat and West Bengal states accounted for nearly 86.5% area and 91.4% production of rapeseed-Mustard in the country during 2012-13 (Anonymous, 2015a). Among oilseed *Brassica* species, major area is under *B. juncea* which contributes about 80% of the total rapeseed-mustard production in the country. In Haryana, during 2013-14, rapeseed and mustard were grown on 0.54 million ha area with production and productivity of 0.88 m tonnes and 1639 kg/ha, respectively (Anonymous, 2015b).

The realization of full yield potential ofrapeseed mustard is prevented by various factors but main reason is thatthese energy rich crops are generally grown on marginal and sub marginal lands under rainfed conditions and are also severally affected by vagaries of biotic (weeds, diseases and insect-pests) and abiotic (drought, frost and salinity) stresses. Insect pests are important biotic constraints that posed severe threat to mustard from germination to harvest and about 50 insect species have been found infesting the rapeseedmustard in India (Sharma and Singh, 2010), out of which about a dozen of species are considered as major pest (Singh, 2009). Among them, the aphid species that damage rapeseed-mustard in India include

Lipaphis erysimi, Brevicornae brassicae L. and Myzuspersicae Sulzer (Sarangdevot et al., 2006). Among these, L. erysimi referred as both the turnip and mustard aphid is one of the major limiting factors causing up to 96 percent yield losses (Sharma and Kashyap 1998; Singh and Sharma 2002 and Shylesha al., 2006). Verma and Singh, recorded 15% reduction in oil content due to mustard aphid infestation. Aphid sucks the cell sap from the stems, twigs buds, flowers and developing pods causing a significant loss in yield. Kular and Kumar (2011) reported that the losses in seed yield ranged from 6.5 to 26.4 per cent of different Brassica species (B. juncea, B. napus, B. carinata, B. rapa and E. sativa) by the infestation of mustard aphid.

Control of aphids is a difficult task because of their rapid growth, mode of reproduction, polymorphic nature and ability to adopt different kinds of environment. A number of chemical insecticides have been found effective against this pest in different parts of the country (Singh and Verma, 2008; Singh and Singh, 2009). But the indiscriminate use of the insecticides has resulted into several problems like environmental pollution, health hazards to human beings, toxicity to pollinators & natural enemies etc. (Singh, 2001). So it is necessary to find alternate economical and environmentally safe methods for pest control. The botanicals and bio-agents are more compatible with the environmental components, eco-friendly with plant health and nonhazardous to human being. Meena et al. (2013) evaluated microbial agents and bio-products for the management of mustard aphid and found that the per cent reduction of aphid population after 10 days of spray was maximum under dimethoate 30EC @ 300 g a.i/ha (91.00%) followed by NSKE @ 5% (83.20%), B. bassiana @ 5 g per litre of water (78.00%), cow urine @ 50 litre per ha (76.33%), onion extract @ 5% (76.00%), tobacco extract @ 5% (75.40%), V. lecanii @ 5 g per litre of water (75.0%) and M. anisopliae @ 5 g per litre of water (74.0%). Keeping the above facts in mind the present investigation was undertaken to evaluate the eco-friendly bio-products.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out during *Rabi* season of the year 2015-16 at Regional Reasearch Station, Samargopalpur, Rohtak (Haryana), India. Experiment was conducted in a completely randomized block design with ten treatments including control and replicated thrice with plot size of 4.2×3m on mustard cv. RH 0749. The crop was sown during first fortnight of November with row to row and plant to plant distance as 30cm and 10cm respectively and all the standard agronomic practices were followed to raise the good crop. Sowing was done 13th November, 2015 i.e. under late sown conditions to ensure heavy aphid

infestation. A fertilizer dose of 80 kg N, 30 kg P₂O₅ and 20 kg K₂O/ha was given to all the plots uniformly. Crop was irrigated once at the time of flowering. Elevincluding control treatments were Verticillium lecanii @ 10⁸CS/ml (Conidial Spore per millilitre), T2: Beauveria bassiana@ 10⁸CS/ml, T3: NSKE @ 5%, T4: Neem Seed Methanol Extract @ 5%, T5: V.lecanii@ 10⁸CS/ml + Clipping of infested twigs, T6: B. bassiana@ 10⁸CS/ml + Clipping of infested twigs, T7: NSKE @ 5% + Clipping of infested twigs, T8: *V.lecanii*@ 10⁸CS/ml + NSKE @ 5%, T9: B.bassiana@ 10^8 CS/ml + NSKE @ 5%, T10: Dimethoate 30EC @ 250 ml/acre and T11: Control with no spray. The population of aphids was counted from ten randomly selected plants from each plot one day before and 3, 7, and 10 days after spray of insecticides. The aphids were counted from the top 10 cm apical twigs of these selected plants with the help of a magnifying glass. The numbers of aphids/plant were converted into % reduction of aphid population over the control. Yield was recorded from net plot area and converted in to kilogram per ha and data were statistically analyzed. The incremental cost benefit ratio was calculated by prevailing market price of mustard seed, cost of insecticides and labour used with the following formula.

Cost benefit ratio = Additional profit over the control – Cost of treatment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before treatment, mean aphid population ranged from 19.62 to 25.90 aphids/10cm main apical shoot and found to be non-significant which indicates that the aphid population was uniformly distributed. Aphid population decreased in all treated plot at 3rd day after spray, and ranged from 3.93 to 15.56 aphids/10cm main apical shoot as compared to control with the highest population of 27.07 aphids/10cm main apical shoot. The minimum aphid population (3.93 aphids/10 cm main apical shoot) was recorded in treatment T₁₀: Dimethoate 30 EC and it was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. Similar results were obtained at 7th and 10th day after spray. The mean aphid population ranged from 3.11 to 12.60 aphids/10cm main apical shoot at 7th day after spray and 1.97 to 9.80 aphids/10cm main apical shoot at 10th day after spray.

The per cent aphid reduction over control after ten days of spray was found to be maximum (95.03 %) in treatment T₁₀: Dimethoate 30 EC followed by T₈: *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸CS/ml + NSKE @ 5% (88.52 %), T₇: NSKE @ 5% + clipping of infested twigs (87.77 %), T₉: *B. bassiana* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5% (86.91 %), T₅: *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + clipping of infested twigs (86.71 %), T₆: *B. bassiana* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + clipping of infested twigs (84.09 %) and T₃: NSKE @ 5% (82.63 %). Minimum reduction in aphid population

Table 1. Efficacy of various treatments against mustard aphid during 2015-16

		Mean nu	Mean number of aphids/10 cm main apical shoot	0 cm main apic	al shoot	Aphid		Increased
Sr No.	Treatment	Pre- treatment	3 DAS	7 DAS	10DAS	reduction over control (%) 10 DAS	Yield (kg/ha)	in yield over control (%)
T_1	Verticillium lecanii @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml	24.97 (5.09)	14.27 (3.91)	11.0 (3.47)	7.43 (2.90)	81.30	1575	13.80
T_2	Beauveriabassiana @ $10^8 \mathrm{CS/ml}$	25.90 (5.18)	15.56 (4.06)	11.90 (3.59)	8.17 (3.03)	79.44	1554	12.31
T_3	Neem seed kernel extract @ 5%	23.90 (4.98)	12.84 (3.72)	9.49 (3.24)	6.90 (2.81)	82.63	1584	14.45
T_4	Neem seed methanol extract @ 5%	24.23 (5.01)	14.13 (3.89)	12.60 (3.69)	9.80 (3.28)	75.33	1517	9.59
T_5	V . lecanii @ 10^8 CS/ml + Clipping of infested twigs	19.96 (4.56)	10.86 (3.44)	8.36 (3.06)	5.27 (2.50)	86.71	1608	16.18
T_6	B. bassiana @ 10^8 CS/ml + Clipping of infested twigs	22.73 (4.86)	11.73 (3.56)	9.03 (3.17)	6.33 (2.71)	84.09	1595	15.27
T_7	NSKE @ 5% + Clipping of infested twigs	19.62 (4.54)	8.64 (3.10)	6.86 (2.80)	4.86 (2.40)	87.77	1626	17.49
T_8	V. lecanii @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5%	20.17 (4.59)	7.84 (2.97)	6.11 (2.66)	4.56 (2.33)	88.52	1635	18.14
T_9	B. bassiana @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5%	20.10 (4.59)	9.20 (3.19)	7.77 (2.96)	5.20 (2.49)	86.91	1617	16.81
T_{10}	Dimethoate 30EC @ 250 ml/acre	20.93 (4.65)	3.93 (2.21)	3.11 (2.03)	1.97 (1.72)	95.03	1702	22.98
T_{11}	Control (Unsprayed)	20.57 (4.63)	27.07 (5.29)	32.78 (5.81)	39.73 (6.38)		1384	
$\frac{\text{CD}}{\text{(P = 0.05)}}$		NS	(0.38)	(0.30)	(0.28)	1	23.98	1
,								

Figures in parentheses are square root transformations, DAS = Days after spray

 Table 2. Economic analysis of different treatments against mustard aphid, L. erysimi

Sr No.	Treatment	Cost of insecticides (Rs./ha)	Labour charge	Total expenditure on insecticide	Mean yield	Gross income*	Net return over control	Cost benefit
		,	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(kg/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	ratio
T_1	Verticillium lecanii @ 108 CS/ml	1280	352	1632	1575	52763	6399	1:3.92
T_2	Beauveriabassiana @ 108 CS/ml	1280	352	1632	1554	52070	5706	1:3.50
T_3	Neem seed kernel extract @ 5%	235	352	587	1584	53064	9209	1:11.41
T_4	Neem seed methanol extract @ 5%	8281	352	8633	1517	50808	4444	1:0.51
T_5	V. lecanii @ 108 CS/ml + Clipping of infested twigs	1280	352	1632	1608	53868	7504	1:4.60
T_6	B. bassiana @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml + Clipping of infested	1280	352	1632	1595	53444	7080	1:4.34
	twigs							
T_7	NSKE @ 5% + Clipping of infested twigs	235	352	587	1626	54471	8107	1:13.81
T_8	V. lecanii @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5%	1515	352	1867	1635	54773	8409	1:4.50
T_9	B. bassiana @ 10 ⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5%	1515	352	1867	1617	54158	7794	1:4.17
T_{10}	Dimethoate 30 EC @ 250 ml/acre	362	352	714	1702	57017	10653	1:14.92
T_{11}	Control (Unsprayed)				1384	46364		

over control after ten days of spray was recorded in treatment T₄: neem seed methanol extract @ 5% (75.33 %) followed by T_2 : B. bassiana @ 10^8 CS/ml (79.44 %) and T₁: V. lecanii @ 10^8 CS/ml (81.30) %). Gour and Pareek(2003) and Konar and Paul (2005) also observed that dimethoate was the most effective insecticide against mustard aphid. The present studies are further supported by work of Singh et al. (2014) who found that dimethoate @ 300g a.i. ha⁻¹ was effective against aphid population causing 91.1, 93.5 and 96.2 per cent reduction in aphid population after 3, 7 and 10 days of spray respectively. Singh et al. (2008) while testing entomopathogenic fungi against the mustard aphid found that V. lecanii @108 spores/ml was effective in controlling the aphid population by 75.79 per cent. Singh (2007)reported 79.4 per cent reduction in aphid population after 10 days of application of NSKE @5%. Singh and Lal (2011) reported that use of NSKE @ 5% alone was effective in reducing the mustard aphid population significantly while in combination with mechanical (hand removal) and botanical (NSKE) was found to be more effective. Nagar et al. (2012) revealed that dimethoate @ 300 g a.i/ha and NSKE @ 5% reduced the aphid population by 90.87 % and 84.48 %, respectively after 10 days of spray. Meena et al. (2013) found 91.0,83.2, 78.0 and 75.0 per cent reduction in aphid population after 10 days of spray of dimethoate 30EC @ 300 g a.i/ha, NSKE @ 5%, B. bassiana @ 5 g per litre of water and V. lecanii @ 5 gper litre of water, respectively (Table 1). Kumar (2011) reported that the pooled mean aphid population in the spray of V. lecanii @ 10⁸ CS/ml was 11.8, 14.0 and 17.0 aphids/plant as against 64.4, 84.3 and 73.8 aphids/plant in the control after 3, 7 and 10 days of treatment.

Among the different treatments, the maximum seed yield of 1702 kg/ha was recorded in treatment T₁₀: Dimethoate 30 EC and it was found significantly superior over rest of the treatments. The next most effective treatment was T₈: V. lecanii @ 10⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5% (1635 kg/ha) which remained on par with T_7 : NSKE @ 5% + clipping of infested twigs (1626 kg/ha) and T₉: B. bassiana @ 10⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5% (1617 kg/ha). The treatment T₅: V. lecanii@ 10⁸ CS/ml + clipping of infested twigs (1608 kg/ha) and T₆: B. bassiana @ 108 CS/ml + clipping of infested twigs (1595 kg/ha) were found on par with each other. The treatment T3: NSKE @ 5% (1584 kg/ha) was found on par with treatment T₁: V. lecanii @ 10⁸ CS/ml (1575 kg/ha). The least effective treatment was T₄: neem seed methanol extract @ 5% (1517 kg/ha). The minimum seed vield (1384 kg/ha) was recorded in untreated plot(Table 1).

The highest C:B (1:14.92) was obtained from treatment T₁₀: Dimethoate 30 EC followed by T₇: NSKE @ 5% + clipping of infested twigs (1:13.81), T₃: NSKE @ 5% (1:11.41), T₅: *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + clipping of

infested twigs (1:4.60), T_6 : *B. bassiana* @ 10^8 CS/ml + clipping of infested twigs (1:4.34) and T_9 : *B. bassiana* @ 10^8 CS/ml + NSKE @ 5%) (1:4.17). The lowest C:B (1:0.51) was obtained from treatment T_4 : Neem seed methanol extract @ 5% followed by T_2 : *B. bassiana* @ 10^8 CS/ml (1:3.50) and T_1 : *V. lecanii* @ 10^8 CS/ml (1:3.92). Meena *et al.* (2013) evaluated microbial agents and bio-products for the management of *L. erysimi* and found the most favourable c ost-benefit ratio under the treatment i.e. dimethoate 30 EC @ 300 g a.i/ha (1:38) followed by neem seed kernel extract @ 5% (1:18) (Table 2).

Conclusion

From the present findings, it may be concluded that though dimethoate 30EC was most effective in managing mustard aphid but there is urgent need to adopt eco and user friendly pest control methods against mustard aphidto conserve the pollinators and natural enemies as well as to protect the human health. Among non-chemical methods, *V. lecanii* @ 10⁸ CS/ml + NSKE @ 5% and NSKE @ 5% + clipping of infested twigs may be recommended as most economic and effective treatments for the management of mustard aphid, *L. erysimi* on Indian mustard.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous (2015). Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research, Bharatpur, Rajasthan. www.drmr.res.in/about rm.html
- Anonymous (2015a). Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research, Bharatpur, Rajasthan.www.icar.org.in/Vision% 202050%20DRMR%20Rajasthan.pdf
- Anonymous (2015b). Agriculture statistics at a glance, 2014. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. Published by Oxford University Press, New Delhi.1: 110-111.
- Gour, I.S. and Pareek, B.L. (2003). Field evaluation of insecticides against mustard aphid, *Lipaphiserysimi* (Kalt.) under semi-arid region of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, 31: 25-27.
- Khavse, R., Singh, R., Manikandan, N. and Chaudhar, J.L. (2014). Influence of temperature on rapeseed-mustard yield at selected locations in Chhattisgarh state. *Current World Environment*, 9(3): 1034-1036.
- Konar, A. and Paul, S.(2005). Comparative field efficacy of synthetic insecticides and biopesticides against aphid on potato. Annual of Plant Protection Science, 13: 34-36.
- Kular, J.S. and Kumar, S. (2011). Quantification of avoidable yield losses in oilseed Brassica caused by insect-pests. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, 51(1): 38-43.
- Kumar, S. (2011). Evaluation of eco-friendly products against *Lipaphiserysimi* (Kaltenbach) infesting Indian mustard. *Journal of Insect Science*, 24:132-135.
- Meena, H., Singh, S.P. and Nagar, R. (2013). Evaluation of microbial agents and bio-products for the management of mustard aphid, *Lipaphiserysimi* (Kalt.). *The Bioscan*, 8(3): 747-750.
- Nagar, A., Singh, S.P., Singh, Y.P., Singh, R., Meena, H. and

- Nagar, R. (2012). Bioefficacy of vegetable and organic oils, cakes and plant extracts against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.). *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 74(2): 114-119.
- Sarangdevot, S.S. Kumar, A. and Chundawat, G.S. (2006). Field bio-efficacy of some newer insecticides against aphids infesting tomato crop. *Pestology*, 30(3): 20-22.
- Sharma, P. and Singh, Y.P. (2010). Directrate of Rapeseedmustard, Indian Council of Agriculture Research, Sewar, 321303, Bharatpur (Rajasthan). *Annual National Language Journal*, 1: 4751.
- Sharma, P.K., Kashyap, N.P. (1998). Estimation of losses in three different oil seed *Brassica* crops due to aphid complex in Himachal Pradesh (India). *Journal of Entomological Research*, 22: 22-25.
- Shekhawat, K., Rathore, S.S., Premi, O.P., Kandpal, B.K. and Chauhan, J.S. (2012). Advances in agronomic management of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Crenz. Cosson): An Overview. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2012: 14.
- Shylesha, A.N., Azad Thakur, N.S., Pathak, K.A., Rao, K.R., Saikia, K., Surose, S., Kodandaram, N.H. and Kalaishekar, A. (2006). Integrated management of insect pest of crops in north eastern hill region. Technical Bulletin No. 19. ICAR RC for NEH Region, Umiam, p. 50.
- Singh, A.K. and Lal, M.N. (2011). Ecofriendly approaches for management of mustard aphid, *Lipaphiserysimi*. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 19: 93-96.
- Singh, D. (2014). Genetic enhancement of mustard for seed yield and its sustainability. Paper presented in 2nd National Brassica Conference held at PAU Ludhiana from Feb. 14-16 (2014). p. 18.
- Singh, D.K., Pal, S., Dwivedi, R.K. and Pal, R.K. (2014). Efficacy of insecticides against mustard aphid, *Li-paphiserysimi* (Kalt.). *Annals of Plant Protection Science*, 22(1): 39-41.
- Singh, P.K. (2001). Control of mustard aphid, *Lipaphis ery-simi* (Kalt.) (Homoptera: Aphididae) with minimum insecticide use. *Journal of Aphidology*. 15: 139-42.
- Singh, R.K. and Verma, R.A. (2008). Relative efficacy of certain insecticides against mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi*) on Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 78: 821-823.
- Singh, S.P. (2009). Insect pest management in oilseed crops. *Indian farming*, 58(7): 29-33.
- Singh, S.P. and Singh, Y.P. (2009). Bio-efficacy of pesticides against mustard aphid. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 17(1): 240-242.
- Singh, Y.P. (2007). Efficacy of plant extracts against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) on mustard. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, 35(1): 116-117.
- Singh, Y.P., Sharma, K.C. (2002). Integrated approach to manage the mustard aphid *Lipaphiserysimi*K. (Homotera: Aphididae) in oil seed *Brassica* crops-a review. *Journal of Amphibiology*, 16: 77-88.
- Singh, Y.P., Singh, S.P. and Meghwal, H.P. (2008). Evaluation of bioagents against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) (Homoptera: Aphididae) under net covered condition in field. *Journal of Biological Control*, 22: 321-326.
- Verma, S.N., Singh, O.P. (1987). Estimation of avoidable losses to mustard by the aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi*in Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, 15: 87-89.