



# Effect of different organic inputs and transplanting dates on seed quality parameters of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.)

# D. P. Singh\*, S. C. Verma, H. K. Sharma, D. K. Mehta, K. S. Thakur and N. K. Bharat

Department of Seed Science and Technology, Entomology and Vegetable Science, Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan-173230 (H. P.), INDIA \*Corresponding author. E-mail: d.p.singhag@gmail.com

Received: June 22, 2016; Revised received: February 20, 2017; Accepted: May 22, 2017

**Abstract:** An investigation was carried out during two consecutive years (2014-15 and 2015-16) at experimental farm of Department of Seed Science and Technology, Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan-273230 (H. P.). The experiment was conducted on effect of different organic inputs like vermicompost, FYM, *Azotobactor*, PSB and PGPR and transplanting dates on seed quality attributes of radish (*Raphanussativus*L.). The transplanting was done on three different dates during both years. There were seven treatments including control and each treatment was replicated thrice. The data was analysed in factorial randomized block design. The study revealed that all the seed quality parameters like germination % (95.08), seedling length (24.46 cm), shoot length (13.02 cm), root length (13.04 cm), seedling dry weight (0.110 mg), seed vigour index I (2329.07) and seed vigour index II (10419.25) were found maximum with treatment Vermicompost (@ 50 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) + *Azotobacter* (root dip @ 2.5 Kg/ ha<sup>-1</sup>) + PSB (root dip @ 2.5 Kg/ ha<sup>-1</sup>) + NSKE (5 %) and maximum 1000 seed weight (12.52 g) was found with treatment FYM @100 q ha<sup>-1</sup> + *Azotobacter* @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (root dip) + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (root dip) + NSKE @ 5 % in 4<sup>th</sup> November transplanting. All parameters showed a decreasing trend as sowing date was delayed.

Keywords: Seed quality parameters, Organic inputs, Raphanussativus, Transplanting dates

## **INTRODUCTION**

Radish (Raphanus sativus L.) belongs to the family Brassicaceae where the edible part is root. It is a popular vegetable in both tropical and temperate regions of the world. It is one of the most ancient vegetable. It is being cultivated in India over an area of 1, 69, 000 ha with annual production of 22, 03, 000 MT (Anonymous, 2015). Radish is a good source of Vitamin A and Vitamin C and minerals like calcium, potassium, iron and phosphorus. The most popular eating part of radish is the tuberous roots although the entire plant is edible and the tops can be used as a leafy vegetable. Radish has got several medicinal properties. It increases appetite; prevent constipation, beneficial for the patients suffering from piles, liver trouble, enlarged spleen, jaundice, gall bladder and urinary disorders. The growth and yield of radish greatly depends on soil and climatic conditions. Different varieties have different soil and climatic requirements for their optimum performance. India being a vast country with varied agro-climatic regions viz., temperate, subtropical, tropical and coastal tropical humid regions, a single variety may not be suitable for all the agro-climatic regions. Hence, different varieties have to be identified for specific regions (Khadem et al., 2010; Tung and Fernandez, 2007).

To overcome the ecological problems resulting from the loss of plant nutrients and to increase crop yield, microorganisms that allow more efficient nutrient use or increase nutrient availability can provide sustainable solutions for present and future agricultural practices. It is well known that the biofertilizers contain a variety of beneficial microorganisms which accelerate and improve plant growth and protect plants from pests and diseases. Organic agriculture practices rely upon recycling of crop residues, animal manure, farm organic residues and wastes etc. (Choudhary et al., 2002; Stockdale et al., 2001 and Bhuma, 2001). In view of higher cost of synthetic fertilizers and its contribution to poor health of soil and water it becomes imperative to go for alternative and cheaper source like organic manures.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted in 2014 and 2015 at Pandah Research Farm, Department of Seed Science and Technology, Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan-273230 (H. P.), India. Radish var. Japanese White *Raphanussativus* L. was subjected to seven treatments *viz.*, (T<sub>1</sub>) FYM @ 100 q/ha + NSKE (5 %), (T<sub>2</sub>) vermicompost @ 50 q/ha + NSKE (5 %), (T<sub>3</sub>) FYM (@ 100 q/ha) +

PGPR (1 litre/ha) + NSKE (5 %), (T<sub>4</sub>) Vermicompost @ 50 q/ha + PGPR (1 litre/ha) + NSKE (5 %), (T<sub>5</sub>) FYM (@ 100 q/ha) + *Azotobacter* (root dip @ 2.5 Kg/ha) + PSB (root dip @ 2.50 Kg/ha) + NSKE (5 %), (T<sub>6</sub>) Vermicompost (@ 50 q/ha) + *Azotobacter* (root dip @ 2.5 Kg/ha) + PSB (root dip @ 2.5 Kg/ha) + NSKE (5 %) and (T<sub>7</sub>-Control) RDF+ Malathion (0.05 %) with three transplanting dates (4<sup>th</sup> November, 19<sup>th</sup> November and 4<sup>th</sup> December in both years).

Organic manures and fertilizers were applied as per the recommendations. Data were statistically analysed as suggested by Cochran and Cox (1964). 100 seeds from all replications of each treatment were used for conducting the germination test as per ISTA (Anonymous, 1985). This was carried out by using paper roll method in the seed germinator at 25 °C. The first and final counts were taken after 7 and 10 days, respectively. Seed vigour indexes were calculated as per method of Abdul-Baki and Anderson, (1973).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data presented in Table 1 that all the treatments produced significant effects on seed quality parameters in both the years and all parameters showed a decreasing trend as sowing date was delayed. Among the organic treatments, significantly maximum 1000 seed weight (13.69 g) was found with I (4<sup>th</sup> November) date of transplanting followed by II (November 19<sup>th</sup>) date (11.96 g) and III (4<sup>th</sup> December) date of transplanting (10.73 g). Maximum 1000 seed weight (12.52 g) was recorded with treatment T<sub>5</sub> which was statistically at par with  $T_6(12.41 \text{ g})$ . Minimum 1000 seed weight was recorded with treatment T<sub>2</sub>(11.68 g). On I date of transplanting, maximum 1000 seed weight (13.98 g) was recorded with treatment T<sub>5</sub> which was statistically at par with  $T_6(13.96 \text{ g})$  and both the treatments were statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> control (14.19 g) of the same transplanting date and significantly differed from II and III date of transplanting. This might be due to bulk planting density on account of higher seed rates used that eventually declined the seed weight. The results are in accordance with the findings of Shahzad et al. (2007) who also observed that earlier sowing resulted in better development of the grain due to longer growing period. Similar findings were also reported by Chauhan et al. (1995); in raya, Panwar et al. (2000); in radish Lamo (2009) in radish and Mehta (2010) in

Among the organic treatments, significantly maximum germination percentage (96.96) was found with I (4<sup>th</sup> November) date of transplanting followed by II (November 19<sup>th</sup>) date (94.04) and III (4<sup>th</sup> December) date of transplanting (91.91). Maximum germination percentage (95.08) was recorded with treatment  $T_6$  which was statistically at par with  $T_5$ (94.92) and both the treatments were statistically at par with  $T_7$  control (95.29). Minimum germination percentage was record-

ed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (93.54). On I date of transplanting, maximum germination percentage (97.38) was recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was statistically at par with  $T_5$  (97.13) and both the treatments were statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> control (97.75) of the same transplanting date and significantly differed from II and III date of transplanting.. In general, the gradual delay in sowing resulted in the reciprocal increase in the seed germination period which is a result of lowering down of the temperature. Shrivastava et al. (1992) and Pervez et al. (2004). Similar results were also obtained under different climatic conditions as influenced sowing time by Lamo (2009) and Gill and Gill (1995). Similarly, maximum seedling length (26.96 cm) was recorded with I (4th November) date of transplanting followed by II (November 19th) date (23.68 cm) and III (4<sup>th</sup> December) date of transplanting (19.38 cm). Maximum seedling length (24.46 cm) was recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> control (24.69 cm). Minimum seedling length was recorded with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (21.94 cm). On I date of transplanting, significantly maximum seedling length (28.24 cm) was recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was differed from rest of the treatments of same date of transplanting and II as well as III date of transplanting. Similar results were observed under different set of climatic conditions as influenced by time of planting in radish by Gill and Gill (1995) and Warde et al. (2004). The seed quality characters were significantly influenced by time of planting. This might be due to wider spacing helped the individual plant to utilize more water, nutrient, light and air.

Maximum shoot length (14.23 cm) was found with I (4<sup>th</sup> November) date of transplanting followed by II (November 19<sup>th</sup>) date (12.26 cm) and III (4<sup>th</sup> December) date of transplanting (10.30 cm). Maximum shoot length (13.02 cm) was recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was statistically at par with  $T_5$  (12.87 cm). Minimum shoot length was recorded with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (11.36 cm). On I date of transplanting, significantly maximum shoot length (15.33 cm) was observed with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was differed from rest of the treatments of same date of transplanting and II as well as III date of transplanting. Similar results were obtained by El-Kalla et al. (1997) on faba bean, Hewedy (1999) on tomato. The above results were in close agreement with the finding of Kumaran et al. (1998) who tested different organic sources i.e. FYM, Neem cake, Vermicompost, Azotobacter and PSB in different combinations in tomato. Similar kind of results were also observed by Singh et al. (2014) in broccoli; Kumar et al. (2013) in tomato; Kashyap et al. (2014) in brinjal; Kumar et al. (2014) in radish, Dushyant et al. (2014) in stevia. Significantly maximum root length (12.50 cm) was found with I (4th November) date of transplanting followed by II (November 19<sup>th</sup>) date (11.40 cm) and III (4<sup>th</sup> December) date of transplanting (9.08

Table 1. Effect of different organic inputs and transplanting dates on seed quality parameters of radish (Raphanussativus L.) (pooled data).

	Table 1: Liver of all		Tan and	ama Sumudani	o ou seed dami	y parameters of	muday rami	(:=====================================	booted analy:		
I	Treatments /	$T_1$	$T_2$	$T_3$	$T_4$	$T_{5}$	$T_6$	$\mathrm{T}_7$	Mean	4	í
1	rarameters"	**								C.D. (0.03)	(er
1	1900 seed weight (g)		000		2, 2,	1000	10.01		97.67		000
	l " I ransplanting	13.46	13.38	13.35	13.53	13.98	13.96	14.19	13.69	I ransplanting	0.098
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Transplanting	11.56	11.60	11.75	11.61	12.45	12.23	12.53	11.96	Treatments	0.150
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Transplanting	10.65	10.05	10.30	10.89	11.12	11.04	11.08	10.73	Interaction	0.260
	Mean	11.89	11.68	11.80	12.01	12.52	12.41	12.60	12.13		
1	Germination (%)										
I	1st Transplanting	88.96	96.63	96.75	96.25	97.13	97.38	97.75	96.96	Transplanting	0.348
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Transplanting	93.25	93.50	93.75	93.50	95.00	94.50	94.75	94.04	Treatments	0.532
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Transplanting	90.50	91.00	91 00	91.50	92.63	93 38	93.38	91.91	Interaction	0.922
	Mean	93.54	93.71	93.83	93.75	94.92	95.08	95.29	94.30		
1	Seedling length (cm)										
I	1st Transplanting	25.20	25.42	25.65	25.87	27.83	28.24	28.64	26.69	Transplanting	0.137
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Transplanting	22.60	22.92	23.49	23.51	24.31	24.45	24.46	23.68	Treatments	0.210
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Transplanting	18.02	17.76	18.45	19.29	20.53	20.69	20.97	19.38	Interaction	0.363
	Mean	21.94	22.03	22.53	22.89	24.22	24.46	24.69	23.25		
ı	Shoot length (cm)										
ı	1st Transplanting	13.06	13.41	13.49	13.65	14.95	15.33	15.72	14.23	Transplanting	0.099
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Transplanting	11.66	11.78	12.39	12.16	12.65	12.48	12.70	12.26	Treatments	0.152
12	3 <sup>rd</sup> Transplanting	9.36	9.43	9.78	96.6	11.00	11.24	11.35	10.30	Interaction	0.262
05	Mean	11.36	11.54	11.89	11.92	12.87	13.02	13.26	12.26		
ı	Root length (cm)										
ı	1 <sup>st</sup> Transplanting	12.14	12.14	12.17	12.22	12.88	13.04	12.92	12.50	Transplanting	0.107
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Transplanting	10.94	11.14	11.10	11.35	11.65	11.85	11.74	11.40	Treatments	0.164
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Transplanting	99.8	8.33	8.67	9.33	9.52	9.44	9.62	80.6	Interaction	0.284
ļ	Mean	10.58	10.54	10.64	10.97	11.35	11.44	11.43	10.99		
	Seedling dry weight (mg)	(mg)									
	1st Transplanting	0.113	0.114	0.114	0.116	0.120	0.121	0.124	0.117	Transplanting	0.0010
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Transplanting	0.101	0.102	0.104	0.106	0.109	0.110	0.1111	0.106	Treatments	0.0016
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Transplanting	0.089	0.083	0.088	0.091	0.098	0.098	0.098	0.092	Interaction	0.0027
ı	Mean	0.101	0.099	0.102	0.104	0.109	0.110	0.111	0.105		
J	Seed vigour index-I										
	1st Transplanting	2438.12	2453.08	2475.34	2489.89	2702.37		2799.49		Transplanting	14.764
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Transplanting	2107.74	2142.98	2202.50	2198.14	2309.69	2310.58	2317.16	•	Treatments	22.552
	$3^{rd}$ Transplanting	1630.45	1615.88	1678.87	1764.67	1901.34	1926.67	1953.44	1781.62	Interaction	39.061
ı	Mean	2058.77	2070.65	2118.90	2150.90	2304.47	2329.07	2356.69	2198.49		
ļ	Seed vigour index-II										
	1st Transplanting	10957.13	10952.25							Transplanting	101.748
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Transplanting	9441.88	9513.00	9703.38	9864.50	10378.75	S		~	Treatments	155.422
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Transplanting	8030.75 <b>0476 58</b>	7564.75	8052.00	8243.00	9007.88	9103.00	9103.00	8443.48	Interaction	269.199
1	ean		7343.33	95.566	7141.23	10277.0					
,	( ) )	٠.									

cm). Maximum root length (11.44 cm) was recorded with treatment  $T_6$  which was statistically at par with  $T_5$ (11.35 cm) and both the treatments were statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> control (11.43 cm). Minimum root length was recorded with treatment  $T_2(10.54 \text{ cm})$ . On I date of transplanting, maximum root length (13.04 cm) was recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>5</sub> (12.88 cm) and both the treatments were statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> control (12.92) of same transplanting date and significantly differed from II and III date of transplanting. Such results are obtained on account of favourable conditions available during the growing period and also early sowing possibly attributed to maximum photosynthesis with longer growth period than the later plantings, which also faced severe winter months after planting causing cessation of growth. Similar results were made by Joshi et al. (1975) and Gill and Singh (1979). Vermicompost may influence plant growth directly via the supply of plant growth regulating substances (PGRs) proposed Tomati and Galli (1995) in radish. Similarly maximum seedling dry weight (0.117 mg) was found with I (4<sup>th</sup> November) date of transplanting followed by II (November 19<sup>th</sup>) date (0.106 mg) and III (4<sup>th</sup> December) date of transplanting (0.092 mg). Maximum seedling dry weight (0.110 mg) was recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>5</sub>(0.109 mg) and both the treatments were statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> control (0.111 mg). Minimum seedling dry weight was recorded with treatment T<sub>2</sub> (0.099 mg). On I date of transplanting, maximum seedling dry weight (0.121 mg) was recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was statistically at par with  $T_5(0.120 \text{ mg})$  and both the treatments were statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> control (0.124 mg) of same transplanting date and significantly differed from II and III date of transplanting. Similar results were observed under different set of climatic conditions as influenced by time of planting in radish by Brahma et al. (2009) and Khan et al. (2012). Whereas Bendegubal et al. (2008) were of the opinion that vermicompost which acts as chelating agent and regulated the activity of micronutrient in radish plant might have increased the seed yield and seed vigour by providing nutrients in the available form.

Significantly maximum seed vigour index-I (2586.89) was found with I (4<sup>th</sup> November) date of transplanting followed by II (November 19<sup>th</sup>) date (2226.97) and III (4<sup>th</sup> December) date of transplanting (1781.62). Maximum seed vigour index-I (2329.07) was recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was found differed from rest of the treatments. Minimum seed vigour index-I was recorded with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (2058.77). On I date of transplanting, maximum seed vigour index-I (2749.69) was observed with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> control (2799.49) of same date of transplanting and significantly differed from II as well as III date of transplanting. Similarly maximum seed vig-

our index-II (11358.79) was observed with I (4th November) date of transplanting followed by II (November 19<sup>th</sup>) date (9966.66) and III (4<sup>th</sup> December) date of transplanting (8443.48). Maximum seed vigour index-II (10419.25) was recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was found differed from rest of the treatments. Minimum seed vigour index-II was recorded with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (2058.77). On I date of transplanting, maximum seed vigour index-II (2749.69) was observed with treatment T<sub>6</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>5</sub> (10327.00) and both the treatments were statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> control (10560.00) of same date of transplanting and significantly differed from II as well as III date of transplanting. Higher seedling vigour index might be due to adequate availability of nutrients in the desired quantity that might have helped the radish plants to produce bolder and heavier seeds (Panwar et al. 2000). Similar are the results of Singh and Singh (1978) in mustard and Rahman et al. (1996) in tomato. Karibasappa et al. (2007) were also of the opinion that improvement in all parameters including seed vigour index of a plant could be attributed to higher assimilatory surface which was due to photosynthetic activity and genetic makeup of the crop.

#### Conclusion

Based on the above results, it is concluded that application of Vermicompost @ 50 q/ha + *Azotobacter* root dip @ 2.5 Kg/ha + PSB root dip @ 2.5 Kg/ha + NSKE (5 %) and FYM (@ 100 q/ha + *Azotobacter* (root dip @ 2.5 Kg/ha) + PSB root dip @ 2.50 Kg/ha + NSKE (5 %) followed by control (RDF) was found more beneficial and significantly improved quality and yield components in radish. However, the variety Japanese white was found to be best, suitable for I date of transplanting (4<sup>th</sup> November) when concern to quality and yield components.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Head, Department of Seed Science and Technology, Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan-173230 (H. P.), for providing necessary facilities during the experiment.

# REFERENCES

Abdul-Baki, A. A. and Anderson, J. D. (1973). Vigour determination in soyabean seed by multiple criteria. *Crop Sci.*, 13: 630-633

Anonymous. (2015). Area and production estimates for horticultural crops. National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, Haryana, www.nhb.gov.in.

Anonymous. (1985). ISTA (International Seed Testing Association). International rules for seed testing. *Seed Sci. Technol.*, 13: 300-520

Brahma, S., Barua, P., Saikia, L. and Hazarika, T. (2009). Studies on response of tomato to different levels of N and K fertigation inside naturally ventilated polyhouse.

- Veg. Sci., 36: 336-339
- Bhuma, M. (2001). Studies on the impact of humic acid on sustenance of soil fertility and productivity of greengram (VBNGG-2). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, T.N. (INDIA).
- Chauhan, D. R., Paroda, S. and Singh, D. P. (1995). Effect of biofertilizers, gypsum and nitrogen on growth and yield of Raya (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian J. Agron.*, 40(4): 639-642.
- Cochran, G. C. and Cox, G. M. (1964). Experimental designs. *Asia Publishing House*, Bombay, 611 p.
- Choudhary, B. R., Fageria, M. S. and Dhaka, R. S. (2002). Role of growth hormones in chillies: A review. *Agric. Rev.*, 23(2): 145-148
- Dushyant, Maji, S., Kumar, S., Maurya, A. K. and Meena, K. R. (2014). Efficacy of organic manures on growth, yield and biomolecules of stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana*Bertoni). *J. Crop and Weed*, 10(1): 107-110
- El-Kalla, S. E., Mostafa, A. K., Leilah A. A. and Awad, R. A. (1997). Mineral and bio-phosphatic fertilization for inter-cropped faba bean and onion. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 77: 253–271
- Gill, S. S. and Gill, B. S. (1995). Seed yield in radish as influenced by the date of transplanting and steckling size. *Seed Res.*, 23(1): 28-30
- Gill, S. S. and Singh, H. (1979). Effect of seed size and sowing dates on germination and yield of radish (*Raphanussativus L.*) roots. *Seed Res.*, 7(1): 58-62
- Hewedy, A. M. (1999). Influence of single and multibacterial fertilizer on the growth and fruit yield of tomato. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 14: 508–523
- Joshi, R. P., Singh, A. K. and Phogat, K. P. S. (1975). Effect of differed sowings on bulb production of onion var. Pusa Red. *Punjab Hort. J.*, 15(1-2): 57-58
- Karibasappa, M., Uppar, D.S., Chetti, M.B. and Hiremath, S.M. (2007). Influence of plant nutrition on biochemical traits and seed quality attributes in okra (Abelmoschusesculentus(L) Moench) genotypes. Karnatka J. Agrl. Sci., 20(2): 249-251
- Kashyap, S., Kumar, S., Maji, S. and Kumar, D. (2014). Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers o growth, yield and quality of Brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) cv. Pant Rituraj. Intl. J. Agrl. Sci., 10(1): 305-308
- Khan, Zehra., Ali, S. T., Mahmood, I. and Rizvi, Rose. (2012). Effects of N fertilization, organic matter, and biofertilisers on the growth and yield of chilli in relation to management of plant-parasitic nematodes. *Turkey J. Bot.*, 36: 73-81
- Kumar, M., Meena, M. L., Kumar, S., Maji, S. and Kumar, D. (2013). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of tomato var. Azad T-6. *The Asian J. Horti.*, 8(2): 616-619
- Kumar, S., Maji, S., Kumar, S. and Singh, H. D. (2014). Efficiency of organic manures on growth and yield of radish (*Raphanussativus*) cv. Japanese White. *Intl. J. Pl. Sci.*, 9(1): 57-60
- Kumaran, S. S., Natrajan and Thamburaj, S. (1998). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth and quality of

- tomato. South Indian Horti., 46(3-6): 203-205
- Khadem, S. A., Galavi, M., Ramrodi, M., Mousavi, S. R., Rousta, M. J., and Rezvani, M. P. (2010). Effect of animal manure and superabsorbent polymer on corn leaf relative water content, cell membrane stability and leaf chlorophyll content under dry condition. *Aust. J. of Cr.* Sc., 4: 642-647
- Lamo, K. 2009. Effect of organic and biofertilizers on seed production of radish (*Raphanussativus*L) cv Chinese Pink. M.Sc. thesis, Dr. Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, HP, India.
- Mehta, K. S. (2010). Integrated nutrient management studies on growth, seed yield and quality of radish (*Raphanussativus*L) cv Chinese Pink. MSc thesis. Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, HP, India.
- Panwar, A. S., Raiyan, J. S. and Verma, V. S. (2000). Yield and quality of radish (*Raphanussativus*L) seeds as affected by fertility levels and biofertilizers. *Indian J. Agron.*, 45(4): 822-826
- Pervez, M. A., Ayub, C. M. Saleem, B. A. Virk, N. A. and Mahmood, N. (2004). Effect of nitrogen levels and spacing ongrowth and yield of radish (*Raphanussativus*L.). *Int. J.Agri. Biol.*, 6(3): 504-506
- Rahman, M., Farid, A. T. M., Shahidullah, M. and Sultana. (1996). Improving seed yield and quality of tomato through integrated nutrient management and liming. *Seed Res.*, 24: 34-37
- Shahzad, M. A., Din, W. U., Sahi, S. T., Khan, M. M. and Ehsanullah, M. A. (2007). Effect of sowing dates and seed treatment on grain yield and quality of wheat. *Pa-kistan J. Agri. Sci.*, 44(4): 581-583
- Shrivastava, B. K., Singh, M. P. and Jain, S. K. (1992). Effect of spacing and nitrogen levels on growth, yield and quality of radish crop. *Seed Res.*, 20: 85-87
- Singh, B. and Singh, A. P. (1978). Effect of magnesium and sulphur on the yield and quality of mustard. *Oilseed J.*, 7: 136-139
- Singh, A., Maji, S. and Kumar, S. (2014). Effect of biofertilizers on yield and biomolecules of anti-cancerous vegetable broccoli. *Intl. J. Bio-res. Stress Manage.*, 5(2): 262 -268
- Stockdale, E. A., Lampkin, N. H., Hovi, M., Keatinge, R., Lemnartsson, F. K. M., Maconald, D. W., Padel, S., Tattersali, F. H., Walfe, M. S. and Watson, C. A. (2001). Agronomic and environmental implications of organic farming systems. *Adv. Agro.*, 70: 260-306
- Tomati, U. and Galli, E. (1995). Earthworms, Soil fertility and plant productivity. Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Soil Zoology. *Acta Zool. Fennica*, 196:11-14
- Tung, L. D., Fernandez, P. G., (2007). Yield and seed quality of modern and traditional soybean (glycine max) under organic, biodynamic and chemical production practices in the mekong delta of Vietnam. *Omonrice*. 15: 75-85
- Warde, A. D., Gonge, V. S., Kulwal L. V. and Giri, J. (2004). "Effect of time of planting and spacing on seed yield and quality of radish Var. Pusa Chetki," *Agrl. Sci. Dig.*, 24(1): 21-23