



# Evaluation of rapeseed-mustard cultivars under late sown condition in coastal ecosystem of West Bengal

## Hirak Banerjee<sup>1</sup>\*, Soumitra Chatterjee<sup>2</sup>, Sukamal Sarkar<sup>3</sup>, Saikat Gantait<sup>4</sup> and Subhasis Samanta<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Regional Research Station (CSZ), Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Kakdwip, South 24-Parganas - 743347 (West Bengal), INDIA

<sup>2</sup>All India Coordinated Research Project on IFS, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Directorate of Research, Kalyani-741235 (West Bengal) INDIA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur- 741252, (West Bengal) INDIA

<sup>4</sup>All India Coordinated Research Project on Groundnut, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Directorate of Research, Kalyani- 741235, (West Bengal) INDIA

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: hirak.bckv@gmail.com

Received: October 2, 2016; Revised received: February 6, 2017; Accepted: April 30, 2017

**Abstract:** In our present report, we evaluated seven rapeseed mustard cultivars at coastal saline zone of West Bengal, India under rice-mustard sequence in a triplicated randomized block design for 14 traits to study their performance under late sown ( $2^{nd}$  December) condition. The cultivars were sown at 30 cm × 10 cm spacing during winter of 2013–14 and 2014–15. The soil was clay in texture and had the following key properties for the 0–30 cm layer: pH 5.84, electrical conductivity (EC) 1.55 dS/m, available nitrogen (N) 155.24 kg/ha, available phosphorus (P) 105.76 kg/ha, available potassium (K) 365.86 kg/ha and available B 2.63 kg/ha. Among the seven cultivars, Kranti produced significantly (p≤0.05) higher seed yield (1.33 t/ha) closely followed by the hybrids PAC-409 (1.23 t/ha) and Pusa Bold (1.21 t/ha). Seed yield showed significant (p≤0.05) positive correlation with all the independent variables (plant height, R<sup>2</sup>=0.88; dry matter, R<sup>2</sup>=0.42; days to 50 % flowering, R<sup>2</sup>=0.27; number of siliqua/plant, R<sup>2</sup>=0.38; seeds/siliqua, R<sup>2</sup>=0.48; except number of fertile plants/m<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>2</sup>=-0.06; number of secondary branches/plant, R<sup>2</sup>=-0.97 and length of siliqua, R<sup>2</sup>=-0.07). However, number of secondary branches/plant had significant (p≤0.05) and negative correlation with seed yield of mustard (R<sup>2</sup>=-0.97). Plant height revealed the highest degree of correlation (R<sup>2</sup>=0.88) with seed yield followed by siliqua per main branch (R<sup>2</sup>=0.77), days to harvest (R<sup>2</sup>=0.75) and 1000-seed weight (R<sup>2</sup>=0.52). The results indicated that selection of suitable rapeseed mustard cultivars based on these traits would be more effective in improving seed yield in mustard.

Keywords: Correlation, Path coefficient analysis, Saline soil, Seed yield

## **INTRODUCTION**

Rapeseed-mustard is one of the most important oilseed crops of India which is grown on an area of 6.70 million ha with 7.88 million t production and the productivity of 1188 kg/ha (Crop Production Statistics Information System, 2016). In West Bengal, it is grown under sub-tropical climate as a cold weather crop under irrigated or restricted irrigated condition (Ray et al., 2015) where the state contributes only 6.07 % to the national production (Economic Review, 2015). Mustard sown in lines can benefit by irrigation, where irrigation is applied by providing channels in between two adjacent rows. Normally, 2 irrigations are recommended, one at rosette stage (20-30 DAS) and another at siliqua formation stage (50-60 DAS). The productivity of the crop in the state (1066 kg/ha) is quite lower than developed countries mainly due to cultivation of age-old varieties having low yield potential (De et al., 2014, Directorate of Agriculture, 2014–15). The productivity is also constrained further due to its cultivation in the soil with residual or marginal fertility, and conserved soil moisture (Ray et al., 2016). Contrarily, improved agro- techniques like use of improved cultivars, timely sowing, ridge and furrow sowing method, adequate nutrient supply, irrigation at critical stages, chemical weed management at critical period (15-40 DAS) and use of plant growth regulators (PGR) are the keys to achieve higher productivity of the crop in developed countries under fertile land situation. However, the trend of rapeseed-mustard cultivation in the state all together has been increasing during the last five years, the reason being the adoption of varieties with improved yielding ability. Considering the demand-supply gap of edible oil in West Bengal, still there is huge growth potential in this segment.

ISSN : 0974-9411 (Print), 2231-5209 (Online) All Rights Reserved © Applied and Natural Science Foundation www.jans.ansfoundation.org

Since rapeseed-mustard is grown in resource-scarce regions of the state, their contribution to livelihood security to the small and marginal farmers in coastal region is also very important. In coastal areas of West Bengal, farmers grow mostly low yielding traditional rainfed or long duration high yielding varieties (145-150 days) of rice during wet season, leaving most of the lands fallow during dry season (monocropping). Growing of rapeseed-mustard as a second crop in rice-based system could bring an additional net income of Rs. 23127/- per hectare per annum following standard package of practice (Singh et al., 2010). Lack of good quality irrigation water and modern agro-techniques are some of the reasons for which growing a second crop is limited. In some cases, immediately after harvesting of kharif rice, heavy moisture status of clay soil provide hindrance towards the sowing of next crop at optimum time (Ray et al., 2014a). Hence, there is an urgent need for diversification and intensification of rice-based cropping system that can improve the productivity and profitability per unit area per unit time without jeopardizing the soil health (Shah et al., 2015). Cultural management practices such as selection of cultivars, planting time and duration of cultivar's life cycle are the key factors influencing the growth and yield of crop (Sheoran et al., 2014). Hence, it is a challenge to identify a suitable cultivar of rapeseedmustard crop that could perform well under delayed sowing (beyond November after harvesting of kharif rice) in coastal saline zone of West Bengal, particularly under assured irrigation and NPK fertilization.

Seed yield of rapeseed-mustard, a complex dependent character, is contributed by a number of component characters (Ray et al., 2014b). Thus, direct selection of a cultivar for seed yield is often not very effective rather indirect selection based on some associated traits may be useful (Hassan et al., 2013). Understanding the nature of associations among traits is important for direct or indirect selection and consequently to improve the efficiency of selection gains in plant breeding programs (Shimelis and Hugo, 2011). Simple correlation analysis establishes the mutual associations of variables without regard to cause and effect. Path coefficient analysis is useful statistical procedures to estimate the magnitude and nature of associations between selection parameters (Mashilo et al., 2016). With this background information, the present study was carried out for consecutive two years under coastal ecosystem of West Bengal for understanding the variation in growth and yield-determining traits of rapeseed-mustard under late sown condition.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study area:** Field trials were set up at the Regional Research Station (RRS) (Coastal Saline Zone) of Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya (BCKV),

situated at the south-eastern part of the state (22°40' N latitude, 88°18' E longitude and 7 m above mean sea level) at Kakdwip, South 24-Parganas, West Bengal. The region belongs to the sub-humid and sub-tropical agro-ecological zone with an average annual rainfall of 1560 mm, 80% of which falls during the rainy season (June-September) due to the southwest monsoon. Weather data were logged at Automated Weather Station (AWS), RRS, BCKV, Kakdwip during the growing season (December to March) in 2013-14 and 2014–15 (Table 1). Maximum and minimum fluctuated between 24.4–31.8 temperature and 11.5-20.6 °C during winter 2013-14, while 24.9-32.1 and 12.3-20.5 °C during winter 2014-15. Average relative humidity prevailed between 86.7-88.3 and 73.4-78.2 % during winter 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively. There was no rain during 1st year cropping while the rainfall during 2<sup>nd</sup> year was recorded 36.6 mm. The soil was having clay in texture and had the following key properties for the 0-30 cm layer: pH 5.84 (in 1:2.5:: Soil : Water), EC 1.55 dS/m (in 1:2.5:: Soil : Water), available N 155.24 kg/ha (Hot alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub> Method), available P 105.76 kg/ha (0.5 M NaHCO3 extract), available K 365.86 kg/ha (Neutral N NH<sub>4</sub>OAc extract) and available B 2.63 kg/ ha (Hot water-CaCl<sub>2</sub> extraction described by Berger and Truog, 1939).

Experimental design and crop management: Seven rapeseed-mustard cultivars (Table 2) were evaluated under rice-mustard sequence in a triplicated randomized block design for 14 traits to study their performance under late sown condition. Seeds were hand-placed in moist horizon under line sowing @ 5 kg/ha with a spacing of 30 cm  $\times$  10 cm on 2<sup>nd</sup> December during both the years of study. Thinning was practiced at 20 days after sowing (DAS) to maintain optimum plant population per unit area. Phosphorus (40 kg/ha) as single super phosphate and potassium (40 kg/ha) as muriate of potash were applied basal at the time of final land preparation. Nitrogen (80 kg/ha) as urea was given in two equal splits namely, half N as basal (at the time of final land preparation) and rest half N at 25 DAS (after completion of first weeding). The size of the individual plot was 5m  $\times$ 4m. Three irrigations were imposed based on critical phonological growth stages viz. active growth stage (at 20 DAS), siliqua development stage (at 40 DAS) and grain filling stage (60 DAS). Confidor (Imidacloprid) 17.8 % SL was applied @4 ml/10 l of water at 40 DAS particularly against sucking insects like aphids, jassids, thrips etc. Single spray of Actara (Thiamethoxam) 25 % WG @5 g/15 l of water was given at 50 DAS to protect the crop against a broad spectrum of sucking pests. Two sprays of Tarzan (Triazophos) 40 % EC was done @1.5 ml/l of water at 50 and 70 DAS to check whitefly and leaf miner attack.

**Measurements of crop parameters:** Five randomly selected plants in each plot were uprooted and cleaned, and observations on growth parameters (height, dry matter, secondary branches/plant, tertiary branches/ plant and length of stem upto starting point of siliqua) were recorded to obtain mean data. Yield attributes were recorded at harvest to assess the contribution towards yield. The seeds/siliqua was the average of 10 randomly selected siliqua from 5 plants. The seed and stover yield were computed from the harvest of the net plots at 14 % moisture basis by using the following formula and data were presented as t/ha.

Y = [plot yield (kg)/plot size  $(m^2)$ ] × 10000 × [(100-M)/(100-14)]

Where, Y = seed/stover yield in t/ha and M = moisture content of seed (%) at the time of taking weight

**Statistical analysis:** The collected data were analyzed statistically by the analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique using the SAS 9.3 version (SAS Institute Inc., 2011). The ANOVA of rapeseed-mustard yield across the years revealed a non-significant variation within the years as well as year  $\times$  cultivar interactions at  $p \le 0.05$ . The homogeneity of error variance was



Fig. 1. Scattered plot matrix score of rapeseed-mustard cultivars and associated traits.

-	3.6 .	1 . 1		1 .	1	. 1	-	
lahle	Meteoro	logical	variables	during	raneseed	-mustard	growing	seasons
1 ant	 1VICtC010	iogicai	variables	uuring	rapeseeu	-mustaru	growing	seasons

Growing season	Month	T <sub>max</sub> (°C)	T <sub>min</sub> (°C)	Relative humidity (%)	Rainfall (mm)
Winter 2013-14	December	26.2	13.3	87.2	0
	January	24.4	11.5	88.3	0
	February	26.8	15.1	86.7	0
	March	31.8	20.6	87.2	0
Winter 2014-15	December	25.9	12.6	78.2	0
	January	24.9	12.3	77.1	3
	February	27.6	16.1	76.3	0
	March	32.1	20.5	73.4	36.6

 $T_{\text{max}}$  and  $T_{\text{min}}$  represent maximum and minimum temperatures, respectively

Table 2. Salient features of different rapeseed-mustard cultivars used for the study and their source of	collection
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------

Category	Scientific name	Days to har- vest (nos.) <sup>#</sup>	Seed colour	Oil con- tent (%)	Source
Toria	Brassica napus var. toria	90	Brown	38	PORS*, Berhampore, West Bengal
Rai / Indian mustard	Brassica juncea	107	Brown	38 - 40	PORS*, Berhampore, West Bengal
Rai / Indian mustard	Brassica juncea	107	Brown	40	PORS*, Berhampore, West Bengal
Yellow sarson	Brassica napus var. glauca	110	Yellow	45	PORS*, Berhampore, West Bengal
Yellow sarson	Brassica napus var. glauca	90	Yellow	46	PORS*, Berhampore, West Bengal
Rai / Indian mustard	Brassica juncea	110	Brown	40	PORS*, Berhampore, West Bengal
Rai / Indian mustard	Brassica juncea	95	Brown	38	PORS*, Berhampore, West Bengal
	Category Toria Rai / Indian mustard Rai / Indian mustard Yellow sarson Yellow sarson Rai / Indian mustard Rai / Indian mustard	CategoryScientific nameToriaBrassica napus var. toriaRai / Indian mustardBrassica junceaRai / Indian mustardBrassica junceaYellow sarsonBrassica napus var. glaucaYellow sarsonBrassica napus var. glaucaYellow sarsonBrassica napus var. glaucaRai / Indian mustardBrassica napus var. glaucaRai / Indian mustardBrassica junceaRai / Indian mustardBrassica junceaRai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea	CategoryScientific nameDays to har-vest (nos.)#ToriaBrassica napus var. toria90Rai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea107Rai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea107Yellow sarsonBrassica napus var. glauca110Yellow sarsonBrassica napus var. glauca90Rai / Indian mustardBrassica napus var. glauca110Rai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea110Rai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea110Rai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea95	CategoryScientific nameDays to har-vest (nos.)#Seed colourToriaBrassica napus var. toria90BrownRai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea107BrownRai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea107BrownYellow sarsonBrassica napus var. glauca110YellowYellow sarsonBrassica napus var. glauca90YellowRai / Indian mustardBrassica napus var. glauca90YellowRai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea110BrownRai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea110BrownRai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea95Brown	CategoryScientific nameDays to har-vest (nos.)#Seed colourOil content (%)ToriaBrassica napus var. toria90Brown38Rai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea107Brown38 - 40Rai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea107Brown40Yellow sarsonBrassica napus var. glauca110Yellow45Yellow sarsonBrassica napus var. glauca90Yellow46Rai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea110Brown40Yellow sarsonBrassica napus var. glauca90Yellow46Rai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea110Brown40Rai / Indian mustardBrassica juncea95Brown38

<sup>#</sup>based on present experimental data, \*Pulses and Oilseeds Research Station

tested using Bartlett's  $\chi^2$  test. As the error variance was homogeneous, pooled analysis was done. The Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) was applied to determine the least significant difference (LSD) at  $p \leq$ 0.05 unless otherwise mentioned (Duncan, 1955). The Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated to describe the degree and pattern of associations of observed traits of cultivars. Direct and indirect path coefficients were calculated for quantitative traits using the software OPSTAT, as proposed by Sheoran et al. (1998). For path analysis of quantitative traits, seed yield was considered as response variable whereas plant height (PH), dry matter (DM), fertile plants (FP), days to 50 % flowering (DTFPF), days to 100% flowering (DTHPF), days to harvest (DTH), secondary branches/plant (SBP), tertiary branches/plant (TBP), length of stem upto starting point of siliqua (LSSPS), siliqua/plant (SP), siliqua in main branches (SMB), length of siliqua (LS), seeds/siliqua (SS), and test weight (TW) were considered as causal variable.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Characterization of cultivars using phenotypic traits: Combined analysis of data revealed that cultivar  $\times$  year interaction was not significant at 5 % probability level, which indicates that cultivars responded similarly to weather condition in both the years (data not shown). The ANOVA revealed that the assessments were significant for all the traits at 0.1 % probability level, except for three traits, namely, fertile plants/m<sup>2</sup>, secondary branches/plant and length of stem up to starting point of siliqua, which were significant at 1 % probability level (Table 3). This suggests that there is genetic diversity among tested rapeseed-mustard cultivars for all these traits under study.

Mean performance and rank amongst the rapeseedmustard cultivars are summarized in Table 4 and Table 5. Promising cultivars were identified considering three important yield-attributing traits, namely, dry matter, siliqua/plant and 1000 seed weight. Top three ranking cultivars based on dry matter accumulation were RB-50, PAC-409 and Pusa Bold. Dry matter accumulation at harvest among these cultivars ranged from 504 to  $643 \text{ g/m}^2$ . Cultivars that produced the highest siliqua/ plant were RB-50, PAC-409 and Pusa Bold. Number of siliqua/plant ranged from 254 to 295. Best three cultivars based on1000 seed weight were Kranti, Pusa Bold and RB-50. Test weight among these cultivars ranged from 5.24 to 6.65 g. Consequently, these three discussed traits were supposed to have a positive influence on seed yield of rapeseed-mustard cultivars. The results confirm observations of Mandal and Sinha (2004), Gangapur et al. (2009) and Rathore et al. (2015) who concluded that increasing yield attributes mainly dry matter, siliqua/plant and 1000 seed weight would be a good combination to obtain high seed yield.

These yield attributes have been extensively used to compare different species or cultivars at different agroecological situations.

Growth parameters: For all the tested cultivars, plant height increased as the age of the crop progressed up to maturity. Plant height recorded at different interval was significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) influenced by the cultivar (Table 6). At harvest, PAC-409 produced taller plants, followed by Pusa Bold. Lowest plant was recorded in B-9. Irrespective of cultivars, dry matter accumulation increased steadily up to 90 DAS, and finally decreased at harvest, showing significant effect between cultivars (Table 6). Till at harvest, it was significantly higher for the cultivar RB-50, followed by PAC-409. Vegetative growth of the plants was poor in B-54, resulting in significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) lower dry weight of above ground biomass. Till harvest, significant variations were observed in number of fertile  $plant/m^2$ . The cultivar B-54 produced higher number of fertile plant/  $m^2$ , closely followed by B-85 and Kranti. On the other number of fertile plant/m<sup>2</sup> decreased hand significantly in PAC-409 and Pusa Bold as compared to the rest of cultivars. Cultivar exerted significant  $(p \le 0.05)$  variation on the flowering behavior (Table 6). The cultivar B-54 attained 50 and 100 % flowering early while Pusa Bold took maximum time to complete 100 % flowering. Both PAC-409 and Kranti took maximum time (100 days) to reach at harvest maturity, while B-54 and B-9 were ready for harvesting too early (90 days) when compared with other cultivars under study.

Yield and its attributes: Early maturing cultivars namely B-9, B-54 produced relatively higher number of secondary branches per plant, followed by RB-50. Similar was in case for tertiary branch production where the maximum number was produced by B-54, closely followed by B-85 and RB-50 (Table 7). The cultivar RB-50 proved its superiority over all other tested cultivars by producing significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) higher number of siliqua per plant, closely followed by PAC-409 and Pusa Bold. Early maturing cultivars like B-9, B-54 and B-85 produced lower number of siliqua per plant. Number of siliqua on branch considered being one of the most important yield-determining factors in rapeseed-mustard, and this was higher in Pusa Bold, PAC 409 and Kranti. The tested cultivars showed significant difference in length of stem up to starting point of siliqua. The cultivar Pusa Bold achieved maximum length of stem up to starting point of siliqua, and it was statistically at par with the length attained by Kranti and RB-50. Significant (p≤0.05) variation was also observed for length of siliqua among the tested cultivars. The cultivar Kranti produced longest siliqua, closely followed by those produced by B-54 and B-9. The pooled data on seeds per siliqua (nos.) of rapeseed-mustard showed that cultivars fail to show any significant variation among

	years
	OM M
Ċ	S 01 1
-	value
-	led
	ood
-	
5	Dase
	2
2	n
2	7
ξ	5
	on
	ars
	2
÷	Ħ
_	3
	E C
	513
	ä
	Ę
-	ģ
	ŝ
	ab
	E
	Its
,	Ца
Ī	ēd
-	elai
-	a D
	ē
-	р С
	ĕ
	N S
د	E E
	Ë
-	ð
د	б
•	/SIS
-	Jaly
_	lai
	ne
÷	Б
	Ä
ζ	Ŭ
•	j.
,	e

Table 3. C	ombined analysis	of data for	r seed yield related	traits in rape	eseed-mustard cul	tivars on CRBD (	(based on poole	ed values of tw	vo years).			
						Mean Square	0					
		Plar	it height (cm)			Dry matter accum	ulation (g m <sup>-2</sup> )		Plants/	Fertile	Days to	Days to
Source	DF 30 DAS	60 DA	SAD 90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	SVD 06	At harvest	m <sup>2</sup> (nos.)	plants m <sup>-2</sup>	50%	100%
									(Al	(nos.)	-19Wer-	IIOWEFING
									harvest)	(At narvest)	Ing (nos.)	(nos.)
Rep.	2 8.56	1289.2	20 177.63	21.01	80.79	252.73	338.58	126.10	5.37	7.00	4.00	0.57
Var.	6 224.6 <sup>***</sup>	1865.1.	$2^{**}$ 1907.10 <sup>***</sup>	$2662.54^{***}$	$360.52^{***}$	$7376.00^{***}$	$76721.97^{***}$	71475.88***	$30.48^{**}$	$30.48^{**}$	$135.00^{***}$	$122.71^{***}$
Error	12 15.04	380.3	3 122.60	37.52	8.27	49.67	124.56	479.47	5.59	5.06	3.83	14.74
CV (%)	- 12.12	16.8(	0 8.21	4.31	9.02	4.39	2.49	4.99	7.89	7.51	3.84	6.59
	Secondary	Tertia	ry Siliqua	Siliqua	Length of ster	n Length of	Seeds sili-	1000 seed	Seed	Stove	er yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup>	
	branches	Brancl	hes plant <sup>-1</sup>	main	upto starting po	oint siliqua	qua <sup>-1</sup> (nos.)	weight (g)	yield			
	plant <sup>-1</sup> (nos.)	) plant (nos.	- <sup>-1</sup> (nos.)	branch <sup>-1</sup> (nos.)	of siliqua (cm	(cm)			(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
Rep.	2 0.22	0.48	94.58	47.82	69.07	0.21	3.14	0.01	0.02		0.01	
Var.	$6$ $3.00^{**}$	$12.04^{*}$	18850.64***	$117.04^{***}$	$153.36^{**}$	2.74***	$0.29^{ns}$	$5.21^{***}$	$0.14^{***}$		6.78***	
Error	12 0.48	0.46	103.63	14.31	33.02	0.21	0.99	0.20	0.02		0.02	
CV	- 15.73	9.26	5.46	9.77	8.85	7.88	7.98	10.19	11.39		3.41	
(%)												
DF = Degr	ees of freedom, <b>D</b>	AS = Day	s after sowing, Rel	). = Replicat	ion, Var. = Variety	y, CV = Coefficie	nt of variation,	$^{*}p \leq 0.05, ^{**}p$	$\phi \leq 0.01$ and	$p \le 0.001; r$	ns = non-sign	nificant
Table 4 M	foon rochonco and	(D) from		this protonom	for the second for	and the second second	عامل بيمانية مل	( 2000 L 0114				
1 auto 4. IV	rean response and		n seven rapesed	ווומאמומ למווו	IVALS EVALUATED TUI	יען מוגשו ווואטוצ ו	JUICH VAILUS UL	two yearsy.				
Cultivar	Plant height	at R	Dry matter accu	- R F(	rtile R	Days to 50%	R Days to	0 100% R	Seconda	ry R	Tertiary	R
	harvest (cm)	~	mulation at har-	lq	ants m <sup>-2</sup>	flowering	floweri	ng	branches	-	Branches	
			vest (g $m^{-2}$ )	u)	os.)	(nos.)	(nos.)		plant <sup>-1</sup> ()	10S.)	plant <sup>-1</sup> (nos	

Hirak Banerjee et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 9 (2): 940 - 949 (2017)

4 L 9 2

 $8.1\pm0.82$ 

4 ----5 1

5 4 6 3 1 2 7

 $58\pm3.61$  $55 \pm 1.73$ 

 $52 \pm 2.65$  $46 \pm 1.00$ 

0 6 9 9 9

 $50 \pm 1.73$ 

4 ς β

 $29.3\pm2.30$ 

6 4 2 5 3

 $332.3 \pm 16.59$ 

 $\sim$ ε

 $88.3 \pm 5.32$ 

B-9

 $567.2 \pm 10.21$ 

 $496.1\pm29.79$ 

 $158.9 \pm 3.55$  $138.2 \pm 4.78$ 

Kranti

B-85

 $310.3 \pm 24.32$ 

 $32.0\pm0.00$  $33.0 \pm 2.00$ 

9 3 5

 $3.4 \pm 0.44$  $5.9 \pm 0.46$  $8.6\pm0.70$ 

 $9.0 \pm 0.44$  $8.5\pm0.40$  $7.8\pm1.15$ 

 $5.1 \pm 0.46$  $4.3\pm0.60$  $3.6 \pm 0.94$  $4.1\pm0.87$  $6.3\pm1.73$  $3.4 \pm 0.36$  $4.0\pm0.82$ 

 $48\pm2.65$  $64 \pm 2.65$  $65 \pm 3.61$  $64\pm6.08$  $54 \pm 3.00$ 

 $39 \pm 1.00$ 

----

 $58 \pm 1.00$ 

Ś

 $\mathbf{28.0} \pm 0.10$  $27.0 \pm 2.60$ 

----

 $643.3 \pm 28.57$ 

 $158.1 \pm 10.92$  $161.4\pm4.80$  $172.6\pm6.19$ 

**RB-50** 

B-54

 $217.0 \pm 8.36$ 

9

 $118.1\pm1.33$ 

 $504.4 \pm 16.07$ 

2 4

> Pusa Bold **PAC-409**

 $34.3 \pm 4.60$ 

 $56 \pm 2.65$  $56\pm2.65$ 

9

 $26.0\pm0.40$ 

Data were shown in mean  $\pm$  S.D., n = 3

<b>Table 5.</b> Mea	n response and	rank (R	) of seven rapese	ed-must	ard cultivars evalu	lated for	r yield attribut	es and	l yields (pooled	l values o	f two years)	_				
Cultivars	Siliqua plan (nos.)	t <sup>-1</sup> R	Siliqua main branch <sup>-1</sup> (nos.)	R L' of u	ength of stem oto starting point siliqua (cm)	×	Length of siliqua (cm)	¥	Seeds sili- qua <sup>-1</sup> (nos.)	R 10 wc	00 seed eight (g)	R Seed y (t ha <sup>-1</sup>	yield I	R Stover (t ha <sup>-1</sup>	· yield R )	~
B-54	$112.3 \pm 15.6$	9	$34.0 \pm 4.0$	6 55	$5.7 \pm 5.9$	7	$6.65 \pm 0.2$	7	$12.4 \pm 1.1$	4 3.	$44 \pm 0.2$	5 0.99 ±	= 0.1 6	5 2.10 ±	0.1 7	
RB-50	$295.4 \pm 6.9$	1	$36.0 \pm 4.4$	5 70	).5 ± 6.1	ŝ	$4.74\pm0.6$	9	$12.0 \pm 2.0$	7 5.2	$24 \pm 0.7$	<b>3</b> 1.03 ±	= 0.2 5	5 6.38 ±	0.0 1	_
Pusa Bold	$253.6\pm8.9$	ŝ	$47.0\pm6.2$	1 74	$1.6 \pm 6.5$	-	$5.28\pm0.3$	5	$12.3 \pm 1.0$	6 5.4	$40 \pm 0.1$	2 1.21 ±	= 0.1 3	3 4.98 ±	0.2 3	~
PAC-409	$255.7 \pm 8.8$	2	$46.7 \pm 4.1$	2 62	$2.0 \pm 7.5$	4	$5.82 \pm 0.1$	4	$13.0\pm0.5$	1 3.5	$36 \pm 0.1$	7 1.23 ±	= 0.1 2	2 5.30 ±	0.1 2	~
B-9	$107.9 \pm 12.5$	7	$30.3 \pm 5.1$	7 60	).7 ± 3.6	5	$6.34\pm0.8$	Э	$12.3 \pm 0.7$	5 3.4	$44 \pm 0.4$	€ 0.69 ±	= 0.0	7 3.26 ±	0.1 5	
Kranti	$159.0\pm8.8$	4	$39.2 \pm 3.4$	3 71	$1.5 \pm 8.2$	0	$7.07 \pm 0.1$	-	$12.6\pm1.0$	2 6.0	$55 \pm 0.6$	1 1.33 ±	= 0.0 1	l 4.89 ±	0.1 4	<b>+</b>
B-85	$122.3 \pm 5.3$	5	$37.7 \pm 1.2$	4 55	<b>∂</b> .7 ± 3.5	9	$4.59\pm0.3$	7	$12.5 \pm 0.6$	3.5	$54 \pm 0.1$	4 1.17 ±	= 0.0 4	<b>t</b> 3.07 ±	0.1 6	
Data were sh Table 6. Gro	own in mean ± vth parameters	S.D., n <sup>:</sup> and phe	= 3 nobhases of seve	an rapesee	ed-mustard cultive	ars erov	vn in coastal a	lero-ec	cosystem under	r late sow	n condition	(pooled va	alues of 1	two vears		I
	7	Plant	height (cm)	-	Drv ma	tter acc	umulation (9	ر س_2	Ferti	e plants	Davs to	0 50%	Davs to	100%	Davs to	I
Cultivar	30 DAS	60 DA	S 90 DAS	At har-	- 30 DAS 6	0 DAS	90 DAS	Ath	ar- m <sup>-2</sup>	(nos.)	flowe	ring	flowe	ring	harvest	
				vest				ves	t (At h	arvest)	ou)	s.)	) (no	s.) _	(nos.)	
B-54	51.1 <sup>a</sup>	$92.3^{\mathrm{bt}}$	° 118.1°	118.1 <sup>d</sup>	53.3 <sup>a</sup>	$134.8^{\circ}$	$217.0^{\circ}$	217.	0 <sup>e</sup> 3	4.3 <sup>a</sup>	36	p(	48	<u>ې</u>	90°	I
RB-50	$26.4^{\mathrm{b}}$	$121.1^{6}$	$^{1b}$ 149.0 <sup>ab</sup>	158.1 <sup>b</sup>	31.1 <sup>°</sup>	147.1 <sup>c</sup>	$654.4^{a}$	643.	3 <sup>a</sup> 2	$8.0^{cd}$	55	Sa	64	-a	$107^{a}$	
Pusa Bold	$29.9^{\mathrm{b}}$	133.2	<sup>b</sup> $148.0^{ab}$	161.4 <sup>b</sup>	30.2°	142.0 <sup>c</sup>	517.9 <sup>c</sup>	504.	4° 2	7.0 <sup>d</sup>	5(	p.	65	a	$107^{a}$	
PAC-409	$26.8^{\mathrm{b}}$	142.8	<sup>a</sup> $163.9^{a}$	172.6 <sup>a</sup>	1 37.7 <sup>b</sup>	214.9 <sup>b</sup>	581.4 <sup>b</sup>	567.	2 <sup>b</sup> 2	6.0 <sup>d</sup>	5(	) <sup>a</sup>	64	a.	$110^{a}$	
B-9	$29.5^{\mathrm{b}}$	72.3°	88.3 <sup>d</sup>	88.3 <sup>a</sup>	23.8 <sup>de</sup>	103.1 <sup>d</sup>	332.3 <sup>d</sup>	332.	3 <sup>d</sup> 25	).3 <sup>bcd</sup>	5(	q(	54	pc	$90^{\circ}$	
Kranti	$32.5^{b}$	130.0	<sup>a</sup> 146.3 <sup>ab</sup>	$158.9^{b}$	27.0 <sup>cd</sup>	243.2 <sup>a</sup>	$516.2^{\circ}$	496.	1° 32	$2.0^{abc}$	52	م م	58	ab	$110^{a}$	
B-85	27.8 <sup>b</sup>	120.6	<sup>1b</sup> 130.3 <sup>bc</sup>	$138.2^{\circ}$	20.1 <sup>e</sup>	138.2°	318.4 <sup>d</sup>	310.	3 <sup>d</sup> 3.	$3.0^{ab}$	46	5°	55	bc	$95^{\rm b}$	1
Means follow	ed by a differe	nt letter	are significantly	different	at $p \leq 0.05$ by Dur	ican's n	uultiple range	test; L	DAS days after	sowing						
Table 7. Yiel	d attributes and	l yields (	of seven rapeseed	1-mustar(	d cultivars grown	in coast	al agro-ecosy:	stem u	nder late sown	condition	ı (pooled va	ilues of tw	o years).			
	Secondary	Terti	ary Siliqu	18	Siliqua main	Lengt	h of stem	Γ	ength of Se	eds	1000 see	d Seed	•1	Stover	Harvest	1
Cultivars	branches plant <sup>-1</sup> (nos.)	bran( plant (nos.)	ches plant		branch <sup>-1</sup> (nos.)	upto s of silid	tarting point qua (cm)	is (C	liqua sil m) (n	iqua <sup>-1</sup> os.)	weight (g	g) yield (t ha <sup>-</sup>		yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	index (%)	
B-54	5.1 <sup>ab</sup>	$9.0^{a}$	112.3	q	$34.0^{\mathrm{bc}}$	55.7 <sup>d</sup>		9.	65 <sup>ab</sup> 12	.4 <sup>a</sup>	$3.44^{\circ}$	$0.99^{b}$		2.10 <sup>e</sup>	32.0	1
RB-50	4.3 <sup>bc</sup>	8.5 <sup>a</sup>	295.4	a	$36.0^{\mathrm{bc}}$	70.5 <sup>abi</sup>	0	4	74 <sup>d</sup> 12	.0 <sup>a</sup>	$5.24^{\mathrm{b}}$	$1.03^{b}$	C	$6.38^{a}$	13.9	
Pusa Bold	3.6°	7.8 <sup>a</sup>	253.6	Ą	$47.0^{a}$	74.6 <sup>a</sup>		5.	28 <sup>cd</sup> 12	.3 <sup>a</sup>	$5.40^{\mathrm{b}}$	1.21 <sup>ab</sup>	7	4.98°	19.5	
PAC-409	4.1 <sup>bc</sup>	8.1 <sup>a</sup>	255.7	ą	$46.7^{a}$	$62.0^{bc}$	q	5.	82 <sup>bc</sup> 13	.0 <sup>a</sup>	$3.36^{\circ}$	$1.23^{ab}$		$5.30^{\mathrm{b}}$	18.8	
B-9	$6.3^{a}$	$3.4^{\circ}$	107.9	p	$30.3^{\circ}$	60.7 <sup>be</sup>	q	9.	34 <sup>ab</sup> 12	.3 <sup>a</sup>	$3.44^{\circ}$	$0.69^{\circ}$		3.26 <sup>d</sup>	17.5	
Kranti	3.4°	5.9 <sup>b</sup>	159.0	2	39.2 <sup>b</sup>	71.5 <sup>ab</sup>		7.	07 <sup>a</sup> 12	.6 <sup>a</sup>	$6.65^{a}$	$1.33^{a}$	7	4.89°	21.4	
B-85	4.0 <sup>bc</sup>	$8.6^{a}$	122.3	р	37.7 <sup>b</sup>	59.7 <sup>cd</sup>		4	59 <sup>d</sup> 12	.5 <sup>a</sup>	$3.54^{\circ}$	1.17 <sup>ab</sup>	0	3.07 <sup>d</sup>	27.6	

Hirak Banerjee et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 9 (2): 940 - 949 (2017)

Kranti $3.4^{\circ}$  $5.9^{\circ}$  $159.0^{\circ}$  $39.2^{\circ}$  $71.5^{av}$  $7.0^{\prime -}$ B-85 $4.0^{bc}$  $8.6^{a}$  $122.3^{d}$  $37.7^{b}$  $59.7^{cd}$  $4.59^{d}$ Means followed by a different letter are significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$  by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT).

two years).	ATS	* 0.745 <sup>ns</sup>		s 0.944**	$0.921^{**}$	s 0.887**	$** -0.517^{ns}$	<sup>s</sup> 0.085 <sup>ns</sup>	s 0.779*	s 0.907**	k 0.508 <sup>ns</sup>	<sup>ns</sup> -0.317 <sup>ns</sup>	$-0.07^{ns}$	0.579 <sup>ns</sup>	$0.404^{\rm ns}$	Days to 100% siliqua in cm, over yield in t		coastal agro-	ıl correlation h seed yield	0.875**	0.415	-0.056	0.271	0.450	0.746	-0.967**	0.492	0.459	0.379	0.771*	-0.073	0.483	0.520
d values of	SEY	s 0.875*	214.U SI	nco.o	0.45 <sup>ns</sup>	<sup>s</sup> 0.746 <sup>n</sup>	<sup>su</sup> -0.967*	<sup>ns</sup> 0.492 <sup>n</sup>	* 0.459 <sup>n</sup>	s 0.379 <sup>n</sup>	s 0.771*	° –0.073	<sup>ns</sup> 0.483 <sup>n</sup>	$0.52^{ns}$	1.000	DTHPF = I ag point of a STY = Sto		s grown in	V Tota wit	96	04	16	06	83	27	- 22	18	70	70	48	129	48	93
on poole	ΜL	0.496 <sup>n</sup>	765C.U	0.061 0.466	$0.430^{n}$	$0.659^{n}$	-0.629	-0.094	0.877*	$0.361^{n}$	$0.248^{n}$	$0.150^{n}$	-0.249	1.000		vering, J to startir in t ha <sup>-1</sup> ,		cultiva	T	0.0-	-0.1	0.0	0.0-	0.0-	-0.1	0.13	0.0	-0.1	-0.0	0.0-	0.0-	0.0	-0.1
urs (based o	SS	$0.33^{ns}$	-0.00/-	$-0.04^{ns}$	$0.043^{ns}$	$0.269^{ns}$	$-0.263^{ns}$	$0.049^{ns}$	$-0.282^{ns}$	$-0.087^{ns}$	$0.507^{ns}$	$0.321^{\rm ns}$	1.000			50% flov of stem up eed yield j		d-mustard	SS	0.206	-0.004	-0.054	-0.025	0.027	0.168	-0.165	0.030	-0.176	-0.054	0.317	0.201	0.625	-0.156
ard cultiva	LS	$-0.291^{\rm ns}$	-0.301 0.216 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.347 <sup>ns</sup>	$-0.46^{ns}$	-0.111 <sup>ns</sup>	$0.236^{ns}$	-0.481 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.157 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.465 <sup>ns</sup>	$-0.223^{ns}$	1.000				= Days to = Length o , SEY = So		m rapesee	LS	-0.013	-0.013	0.014	-0.015	-0.020	-0.005	0.010	-0.021	-0.007	-0.020	-0.010	0.044	0.014	0.007
eed-must	SMB	0.840*	250 NS	000	$0.724^{ns}$	0.752 <sup>ns</sup>	$-0.75^{ns}$	0.401 <sup>ns</sup>	$0.482^{ns}$	).631 <sup>ns</sup>	1.000					s., DTFPF ., LSSPS = eight in g.		its in seve	SMB	-0.135	-0.087	0.091	-0.085	-0.117	-0.121	0.121	-0.065	-0.078	-0.102	-0.161	0.036	-0.082	-0.040
even rapes	SP	.772*		848* (	916** (	.796*		.373 <sup>ns</sup> (	.654 <sup>ns</sup> (	000.1						vest in no nt <sup>-1</sup> in nos V = Test w	1	ifferent tra	SP	-0.132	-0.156	0.136	-0.145	-0.157	-0.136	0.084	-0.064	-0.112	-0.171	-0.108	0.080	0.015	-0.062
d among s	SSPS	599 <sup>ns</sup> 0	/4/ 0.	766* 0	760* 0.	767* 0	.615 <sup>ns</sup> –(	.070 <sup>ns</sup> 0	0 000	-						ants at har anches plaı iqua <sup>-1</sup> , TW		alues of di	SdSST	0.516	0.643	-0.426	0.660	0.654	0.660	-0.530	-0.060	0.861	0.563	0.415	-0.135	-0.243	0.755
its assesse	TBP L	541 <sup>ns</sup> 0.	106 <sup>ns</sup> 0.	1100 0	$132^{ns}$ 0.	191 <sup>ns</sup> 0.	.51 <sup>ns</sup> –0	0- 000.	1							<ul> <li>Fertile pl</li> <li>Tertiary bra</li> <li>Seeds sil</li> </ul>		efficient v	TBP	0.229	0.039	0.045	-0.050	0.056	0.081	-0.215	0.424	-0.030	0.158	0.170	-0.204	0.021	-0.040
ifferent tra	BP J	898** 0.	0 515 0 8101	385 <sup>ns</sup> –(	558 <sup>ns</sup> 0.	782* 0.	)- 000	1								m <sup>-2</sup> , FP = ., TBP = T 1 cm, SS =		ct path co	SBP	-0.082	-0.047	0.011	-0.035	-0.051	-0.072	0.092	-0.047	-0.057	-0.045	-0.069	0.022	-0.024	-0.058
iation of d	S HJ	-0- **6	0.7ns -0.	02 - 0-	56* -0.	0-000	-									urvest in g nt <sup>-1</sup> in nos of siliqua i	:	nate/indire	DTH	0.066	0.064	-0.043	0.058	0.061	0.072	-0.056	0.014	0.055	0.057	0.054	-0.008	0.019	0.047
wise assoc	IPF D	[6 <sup>-0</sup> *0.	2.0 1.83 67* _0.8	0/ 0/ 0/ 0/ 0/ 0/ 0/ 0/ 0/ 0/ 0/ 0/ 0/ 0	00 0.8	1.(										natter at ha anches pla = Length o nificant	•	) and alter	THPF	0.241	0.290	-0.272	0.300	0.313	0.268	-0.175	0.041	0.238	0.287	0.227	-0.144	0.014	0.135
ng pair-	DTH	0.77	0.920	0.0	1.0											= Dry m idary bra n <sup>-1</sup> , LS = non-sigi		agonals	PF D	5	9	50 -	8		0	- 23	47	5	8	1	- 38	16	5
ts showii	DTFPF	0.616 <sup>ns</sup>	0.940***	1 000												m, DM = = Secon n branch 11; ns =	:	main di o years).	DTFI	0.24	0.37	-0.35	0.39	0.38	0.32	-0.1	-0.04	0.30	0.33	0.21	-0.13	-0.0]	0.18
soefficient	FP	0.448 <sup>ns</sup>	- /00 -	1.000												rvest in civest, SBP $\sim p \le 0.00$		ooldfaced lues of two	FP	-0.292	-0.500	0.651	-0.573	-0.565	-0.389	0.078	0.069	-0.322	-0.518	-0.370	0.206	-0.056	-0.053
vrrelation c	DM	)- *092.	- 1 1													sight at ha ays to harv SMB = Si 0.01 and *	;	of direct (t pooled val	DM	-0.319	-0.419	0.322	-0.396	-0.389	-0.376	0.215	-0.039	-0.313	-0.383	-0.226	0.126	0.003	-0.226
arson's co	Hd	1.000 0.	-													= Plant he DTH = Dz a plant <sup>-1</sup> , $05, ** p \le 1$		stimates c based on ]	Hd	0.439	0.334	-0.197	0.271	0.338	0.404	-0.394	0.238	0.263	0.339	0.369	-0.128	0.145	0.218
Table 8. Pe	Traits	Hd	LJM ED	DTEPF	DTHPF	DTH	SBP	TBP	LSSPS	SP	SMB	LS	SS	TW	SEY stv	Notes: PH flowering, SP = Siliqu ha <sup>-1</sup> ; $p \le 0$		Table 9. E         ecosystem (	Traits	Hd	DM	FP	DTFPF	DTHPF	DTH	SBP	TBP	<b>S</b> dSST	SP	SMB	LS	SS	TW

## Hirak Banerjee et al. / J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 9 (2): 940 - 949 (2017)

them. However, there were significant differences in test weight among the studied cultivars and Kranti was recorded with highest mean test weight. Additionally, Kranti documented significantly ( $p\leq0.05$ ) higher seed yield, closely followed by PAC-409 and Pusa Bold; though the cultivar RB-50 produced significantly ( $p\leq0.05$ ) higher stover yield followed by PAC-409 and Kranti (Table 7). Cultivars under study showed variations in harvest index, and it was maximum in B-54 followed by B-85. A better harvest index is indicative of a shift in conversion of complex carbohydrate into simpler form and its mobilization towards increased sink capacity (Mishra *et al.*, 2014).

Correlation analysis: Pearson correlation coefficients showing pair-wise associations between the assessed traits of the cultivars are presented in Table 8. Among the quantitative traits evaluated, significant and positive correlations were observed between PH and DM (r = 0.76, p  $\le$  0.05), DTHPF (r = 0.77, p  $\le$  0.05), DTH (r = 0.92,  $p \le 0.01$ ), SP (r = 0.77,  $p \le 0.05$ ), SMB  $(r = 0.84, p \le 0.05)$  and SEY  $(r = 0.88, p \le 0.01)$ . DM showed highly significant and positive correlation ( $p \le p$ 0.01) with DTFPF (r = 0.95), DTHPF (r = 0.93), DTH (r = 0.89), SP (r = 0.91) and STY (r = 0.99). Significant and positive correlations were observed between DTFPF (r = 0.96,  $p \le 0.01$ ), DTH (r = 0.81, p  $\leq$  0.05), LSSPS (r = 0.77, p  $\leq$  0.05), SP (r = 0.85, p  $\leq$ 0.05) and STY (r = 0.94,  $p \le 0.01$ ). Similarly, DTHPF was significantly and positively correlated with DTH  $(r = 0.86, p \le 0.05)$ , LSSPS  $(r = 0.76, p \le 0.05)$ , SP  $(r = 0.76, p \le 0.05)$ = 0.92,  $p \le 0.01$ ) and STY (r = 0.92,  $p \le 0.01$ ). Significant and positive correlations were observed between DTH and LSSPS (r = 0.77, p  $\leq$  0.05), SP (r = 0.80,  $p \le 0.05$ ) and STY (r = 0.89,  $p \le 0.01$ ). Also significant and positive correlations were detected between LSSPS and TW (r = 0.88,  $p \le 0.01$ ) and STY  $(r = 0.78, p \le 0.05)$ . Significant and positive correlations were also observed between SP and STY  $(r = 0.91, p \le 0.01)$ , and SMB and SEY  $(r = 0.77, p \le 0.01)$ 0.05). The significant and positive association within the above mentioned attributes is rational in plants with indeterminate growth habit (rapeseed-mustard for instance) where it is challenging to confirm the date of maturity. The strong relationships among these characters in the present study indicated that these characters were governed by the same genetic system i.e. the characters were expected to be linked with each other and they should be given high priority during selection of high yielding genotypes of rapeseedmustard. Singh et al. (2003) and Lodhi et al. (2014) also observed such positive association of SEY with SMB and PH (main shoot length). Generally, the positive associations among these three traits suggest the prospect of improving these important yieldattributing characters concurrently. It was observed that DTFPF showed positive and significant correlation with SEY in our study that disagreed with

Shekhawat *et al.* (2014) who reported that DTFPF contributed negative correlation with seed yield of Indian mustard and its direct effect (-0.092) on seed yield per plant was also negative.

On the other hand, significant and negative correlations were observed between PH and SBP (r = -0.90, p  $\leq$ 0.01), besides DM and FP (r = -0.77,  $p \le 0.05$ ). Likewise, FP was significantly and negatively correlated with DTFPF (r = -0.88,  $p \le 0.01$ ) and DTHPF (r = -0.87,  $p \le 0.05$ ). Significant and negative correlations were observed between DTH and SBP (r =-0.78, p  $\leq 0.05$ ), plus SBP and SEY (r = -0.97, p  $\leq$ 0.05). It was most interesting to observe that number of FP showed negative association with DM, DTFPF and DTHPF as well. Hence, to attain maximum FP, the genotype(s) with early maturity should be considered during varietal selection for coastal saline zone in particular. Further, SBP (that is considered as an important vegetative attribute) negatively influenced the FP or eventually seed yield signifying the dry matter partitioning between vegetative and reproductive phases of any plant species in general. Opposing to our study, Ramanjaneyulu and Giri (2007), reported significant positive association between SBP and SEY in Indian mustard, which was supported later by Lodhi et al. (2014). Surprisingly, SP, being an imperative yield attributing character, didn't show any significant correlation neither to SEY or STY in our study. Generally, the positive association between SP and SEY was reported in ample of publications in Indian mustard (Akbar et al., 2003; Hasan et al., 2014; Shekhawat et al., 2014), nevertheless, with exceptions by Gangapur et al. (2009) and Lodhi et al. (2014).

Path coefficient analysis of various traits in rapeseed-mustard: direct and indirect effects: With the aim of analyzing the genetic correlations further and splitting the correlation coefficient into direct and indirect influences of different attributes, path coefficient technique was employed. It therefore warrants an acute study of attributes those affect a certain correlation and can be advantageous in preparing a competent selection approach (Scheiner et al., 2000). Results on the path coefficients with seed vield as the response variable are summarized in Table 9. Values of direct effects were <1, indicating that inflation due to multicollinearity was low. Among the growth and yield components, PH (0.875) followed by SMB (0.771) exhibited highly positive direct effects on seed yield of rapeseed-mustard (Table 9). The direct selection for these two characters could be beneficial for yield improvement of rapeseed-mustard since these characters also showed positive correlation with seed yield. Other growth attributes and yield-determining traits exerted either negligible or low or negative direct effects on seed yield. Selection based on these characters will not improve yield of rapeseed-mustard.

Residual effect was very low (-0.0012) suggesting inclusion of maximum seed yield influencing characters of rapeseed-mustard in the present analysis. Path coefficient analysis is increasingly being used in plant breeding (Samonte et al., 1998). There are scanty of studies on agronomic traits in rapeseed-mustard to identify the preeminent selection standards linked to increased yield and the other attributes that influence it. Earlier, Pandey and Singh (2005), Verma et al. (2008), and Lodhi et al. (2014) reported maximum positive direct influence of PH and SMB on seed vield in case of Indian mustard. The observed two specific traits (PH and SMB), in our study, with superior genetic variability, heritability, higher expected genetic gain under selection and are considerably correlated with seed yield can consistently be employed for enhancement of seed yield via ancillary selection.

Step-wise regression equations of prime contributing traits affecting seed yield of mustard: Regression analysis of seed yield as a dependent variable showed that trait like number of secondary branches/ plant had negative and seeds/siliqua had positive significant relationship with yield. Therefore, higher values of these traits would decrease and increase seed yield, respectively. Standard equations of regression were:

Seed yield = 1.998 - 0.206 number of secondary branches/plant<sup>\*\*\*</sup>

Seed yield = -0.156 - 0.192 number of secondary branches/plant<sup>\*\*\*</sup> + 0.168 seeds/siliqua<sup>\*\*\*</sup>

Diversity analysis: Biplot analysis was used to identify the cultivars most superior for an individual trait or set of traits (Figure 1). The scattered plot matrix score clustered the cultivars into groups showing superiority with a set of morphological traits. Both B-54 and B-85 were the most superior cultivars for length of siliqua and seeds/siliqua. The cultivars B-9 and RB-50 were characterized by more number of secondary branches/ plant and test weight. The cultivars Kranti and Pusa Bold had greater length of stem up to starting point of siliqua and number of siliqua/plant. On the other hand, PAC-409 is a single cultivar characterized by higher number of tertiary branches/plant and seeds/siliqua. This classification is not in exact, but in accordance with the cluster analysis generated employing single linkage using the same data for potato crop (Biniam et al., 2015).

#### Conclusion

Productivity and profitability of rapeseed-mustard in the major production domain of West Bengal could be increased through the cultivation of improved varieties using site-specific approach. Our results revealed that higher seed yield could be obtained with Kranti followed by PAC-409 and Pusa Bold in coastal ecosystem of West Bengal, particularly under late sown condition (December 1<sup>st</sup> week sowing) on account of late harvesting of preceding *kharif* paddy. Moreover, the adoption of any recommendation depends upon its economic viability. Hence, economic assessment revealed that the maximum net return (Rs. 23651/- per hectare) was recorded with Kranti due to higher seed yield. Higher benefit-cost ratio (1.57) was obtained with Kranti followed by PAC-409 and Pusa Bold. The results also indicated that number of secondary branches/plant had negative and seeds/ siliqua had positive significant relationship with seed yield of rapeseed-mustard.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by grants from the Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (BARC) of India. The authors are grateful to Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India for providing necessary technical support during the course of investigation. We thank Mr. Gurupada Khanra and Mr. Ajay Kar for their assistance in the farm work during the crop growth period.

#### REFERENCES

- Akbar, M., Mahmood, T., Yaqub, M., Anwar, M., Ali, M. and Iqbal, N. (2003). Variability, Correlation and Path Coefficient Studies in Summer Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, 2(9): 696–698
- Berger, K. C. and Truog, E. (1939). Boron determination in soils and plants. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, Analytical Edition, 11: 540–545
- Biniam, M. G., Githiri, S. M., Tadesse, M., and Kasili, R. W. (2015). Analysis of diversity among potato accessions grown in Eritrea using single linkage clustering. *Am. J. Plant Sci.*, 6: 2122–2127
- Crop Production Statistics Information System. (2016). Special Data Dissemination Standard Division, Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, New Delhi. Available from URL: (http://aps.dac.gov.in/APY/Index.htm). Accessed on 11 August 2016.
- De, B., Das, B., Das, B. and Sinha, A. C. (2014). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, nutrient uptake and economics of rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* var. yellow sarson) in terai region of West Bengal. J Crop and Weed, 10(1): 69–72
- Directorate of Agriculture. (2015). *Economic Review, Statistical Appendix* 2014–15, Directorate of Agriculture, Government of West Bengal, Kolkata, India.
- Duncan, D.B. (1995) Multiple range and multiple F tests, *Biometrics*, 11: 1–42
- *Economic Review.* (2015). Statistical Appendix. Department of Statistics and Programme Implementation. Government of West Bengal, India, Pp. 65
- Gangapur, D. R., Prakash, B. G., Salimath, P. M., Ravikumar R. L. and Rao M. S. L. (2009). Correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern and Coss). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 22(5): 971–977
- Hasan, E. U., Mustafa, H. S. B., Bibi, T. and Mahmood, T. (2014). Genetic Variability, Correlation And Path Anal-

ysis in Advanced Lines of Rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) for Yield Components. *Cercetări Agronomice în Moldova*, 47(1): 71–79

- Hassan, S. M. F., Iqbal, M. S., Rabbani, G., Naeem-ud-Din, Shabbir, G., Riaz, M. and Noorka, I. R. (2013). Correlation and path analysis for yield and yield components in sunflower (*Helianthus annus* L.). *African J. Biotech.*, 12(16): 1968–1971
- Lodhi, B., Thakral, N. K., Avtar, R. and Singh, A. (2014). Genetic variability, association and path analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). J. Oilseed Brassica, 5(1): 26–31
- Mandal, K. G. and Sinha, A. C. (2004). Nutrient Management Effects on Light Interception, Photosynthesis, Growth, Dry matter Production and Yield of Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea*). J. Agronomy and Crop Science 190(2): 119-129
- Mashilo, J., Shimelis, H. and Odindo, A. (2016). Correlation and path coefficient analysis of qualitative and quantitative traits in selected bottle gourd landraces. *Acta Agr. Scand. B-S-P.*, 66(7): 558–569
- Mishra, J. S., Thakur, N. S., Singh, P., Kubsad, V. S., Kalpana, R., Alse, U. N. and Nemade, S. M. (2014). Tillage and integrated nutrient management in rainy-season grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). *Indian J. Agron.*, 59 (4): 619–623
- Pandey, S and Singh, Basudeo. (2005). Inter character association and path analysis in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern. & Coss.]. Adv. Plant Sci., 18: 511-514
- Ramanjaneyulu, A. V. and Giri, G., (2007). Correlation and path coefficient analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. *The J. Res. ANGRAU*, 35: 107–110
- Rathore, S. S., Shekhawat, K., Meena, A. and Singh, D. (2015). Growth, productivity and economics of coral-432 (Indian mustard hybrid) under different cropping systems. J. Oilseed Brassica, 6(1): 202-208
- Ray, K, Sengupta, K., Pal, A. K. and Banerjee, H. (2015). Effects of sulphur fertilization on yield, S uptake and quality of Indian mustard under varied irrigation regimes. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 61(1): 6–10
- Ray, K., Banerjee, H., Paul, T. and Das, T. K. (2016). Irrigation and sulphur fertilization effects on the productivity, profitability and greenhouse gases emissions in Indian mustard. *Exp. Agr.*, 52(3): 434–446
- Ray, K., Dutta, J., Banerjee, H., Biswas, R., Phonglosa, A. and Pari, A. (2014a). Identification of principal yield attributing traits of Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czernj and Cosson] using multivariate analysis. *The Bioscan* 9(2): 803–809

- Ray, K., Pal, A. K., Banerjee, H. and Phonglosa, A. (2014b). Correlation and path analysis studies for growth and yield contributing traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Int. J. Bio-resource and Stress Manage.*, 5 (2): 200–206
- Samonte, S. O. P. B., Wilson, L. T. and McClung, A. M. (1998). Path analysis of yield and yield related traits of fifteen diverse rice genotypes. *Crop Sci.*, 38: 1130–1136
- SAS Institute. (2011). The SAS System for Windows. Release 9.3. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Scheiner, S. M., Mitchell R. J. and Callahan, H. S., (2000). Using path analysis to measure natural selection. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 13: 423–433
- Shah, M. S., Verma, N. and Vishwkarma, S. K. (2015). Diversification of rice (*Oryza sativa*)-based cropping system for higher production efficiency, water productivity and economic viability in Madhya Pradesh. *Indian J. Agron.*, 60(1): 5–30
- Shekhawat, N., Jadeja, G. C., Singh J. and Shekhawat, R. S. (2014). Character Association Studies Among Yield And Its Component Characters in Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss). *The Bioscan.* 9(2): 685-688
- Sheoran, O. P., Tonk, D. S., Kaushik, L. S., Hasija, R. C. and Pannu, R. S. (1998). Statistical Software Package for Agricultural Research Workers. Recent Advances in information theory, Statistics & Computer Applications (Eds. D. S. Hooda and R. C. Hasija). Department of Mathematics Statistics, CCS HAU, Hissar. Pp. 139–143
- Sheoran, P., Sardana, V. and Singh, R. (2014). Evaluating productivity potential of spring planted sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) hybrids in response to sowing time under changing climate scenario. *Indian J. Agron.*, 59 (1): 124–132
- Shimelis, H. and Hugo, A. (2011). Determination of selection criteria for seed yield and seed oil content in Vernonia (Vernonia galamensis variety ethiopica). Ind. Crop. Prod., 33: 436–439
- Singh, M., Srivastava, R. L., Prasad, L. and Dixit, R. K. (2003). Correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. *Adv. Plant Sci.*, 16: 311–315
- Singh, R. K., Singh, Y., Singh, A. K., Kumar, R. and Singh, V. K. (2010). Productivity and economics of mustard (*Brassica juncea*) varieties as influenced by different fertility levels under late sown condition. *Indian J. of Soil Conservation*. 38(2): 121-124
- Verma, R., Sharma, R. and Sharma, S. K. (2008). Association studies among yield and its component characters in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed.*, 68: 87–89